

FOCUS ARTICLES

As of March 1, 2023 • 213 Institutions And Offices In 40 Countries Have Responded To FOIs That Reveal They Have No Record Of SARS-COV-2 Isolation/Purification, Anywhere, Ever

**Dylan Eleven**

Mar 6, 2023 • 88 min



Fluoride Free Peel

Would a sane person mix a patient sample (containing various sources of genetic material and never proven to contain any alleged “virus”) with transfected monkey kidney cells, fetal bovine serum and toxic drugs, then claim that the resulting concoction is “SARS-COV-2 isolate” and ship it off internationally for use in critical research (including vaccine and test development)?

Because that's the sort of fraudulent monkey business that's being passed off as “virus isolation” by research teams around the world.

Subscribe

Just 1 of many examples is shown below – this is from a study **cited by the Australian Department of Health** as a paper “**which led to the isolation of SARS-CoV-2 in culture**”. (Can you spot the oxymoron in that quote?)

2.1 Cell culture of SARS-CoV-2 and electron microscopy

Vero/hSLAM cells (African green monkey kidney cells transfected to express the human signaling lymphocytic activation molecule (SLAM; also known as CDw150)¹) were grown at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in maintenance media consisting of 10mL Earle's minimum essential medium (EMEM), 7% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Bovogen Biologicals, Keilor East, AUS) 2mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1500mg/L sodium bicarbonate, 15 mM HEPES and 0.4mg/ml genetin to 95% confluence in 25cm² flasks. Prior to use for isolation, maintenance media was removed from the flask and 500µL of respiratory swab inoculum was overlaid on the cell monolayer. The flask was returned to the 37°C incubator to allow the virus to adsorb for 1 hour before addition of 10 mL viral culture media (EMEM as above but FBS reduced to 2%). Flasks were monitored for viral cytopathic effect and 140µL aliquots of supernatant removed every 48 hours to assess virus burden by TaqMan real-time RT-PCR. First passage culture grown virus isolate was subsequently shipped nationally and internationally in packaging compliant with UN 2814 Category A shipping requirements using credentialed, specialised courier services under the appropriate Australian government export approvals processes and receiving country import permissions.

If you're new to the topic of "virus isolation/purification", I strongly recommend that you begin by reading the **Settling the Virus Debate Statement: from Dr. Cowan.**

SETTLING THE VIRUS DEBATE Source

SETTLING-THE-VIRUS-DEBATE-Source.pdf • 103 KB



My colleague Michael S. in New Zealand and I (CM) and many other people around the world have been submitting Freedom of Information requests to institutions in various countries seeking records that describe the isolation/purification of the alleged “COVID-19 virus” from any unadulterated sample taken from a diseased patient.

The reason: without the crucial step of isolation/purification having been performed (from many patients, followed by characterization, sequencing and controlled experiments), there is no way to claim scientifically that the alleged “novel coronavirus” (blamed for widespread death/disease/lockdown measures) actually exists.

Without this step having been performed and followed by characterization, sequencing and controlled experiments, all claims of this alleged “virus” are nothing but wild speculation backed only by fraudulent science, fraudulent tests and fraud-based diagnoses.

The requests submitted by my colleague in NZ and I (and many of the other submitters) have **not** been limited to records of isolation performed by the respective institution, or limited to records authored by the respective institution, rather they were open to any records describing “COVID-19 virus” (aka “SARS-CoV-2”) isolation/purification performed by anyone, ever, anywhere on the planet.


Thus far (August 23, 2022) 52 Canadian institutions have provided their responses: **Public Health Agency of Canada** (and another from **Public Health Agency of Canada**, this one re the alleged “UK variant” aka “B.1.1.7” aka “Alpha”; and another from **Public Health Agency of Canada** re any alleged virus/variant, and another from **Public Health Agency of Canada** re ANY type of alleged virus at all), **Health Canada**

(and another from [Health Canada](#); and another from [Health Canada](#)), the [National Research Council of Canada, Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre \(VIDO-InterVac\)](#), [Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada](#), [Patented Medicine Prices Review Board Canada](#), [Royal Canadian Mounted Police \(RCMP\)](#), [Public Health Ontario](#), [Ontario Ministry of Health](#) (and another from [Ontario Ministry of Health](#) re “delta variant”, [University Health Network](#), [Ontario Ministry of the Solicitor General and Ontario Provincial Police](#), [Alberta Ministry of Health](#), [Alberta Premier Jason Kenney, his Office and Executive Council, Calgary Police Service](#), [Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec](#) (another from [Public Health Quebec](#)), [British Columbia's Ministry of Health](#) (re “the UK variant”), [British Columbia's Centre for Disease Control](#), [British Columbia's Provincial Health Services Authority](#) (2 responses, 1 re “SARS-COV-2”, 1 re the alleged “B.1.1.7” aka “Alpha variant” aka “UK variant”), [Vancouver Coastal Health Authority](#) (re “B.1.1.7” aka “Alpha variant” aka “UK variant”), [Newfoundland Labrador Department of Health & Community Services](#), [New Brunswick's Department/Ministry of Health](#), [McGill University](#), [University of Ottawa](#), [University of Waterloo](#), [Dalhousie University](#), [University of Guelph](#), [University of British Columbia](#), the [City of Toronto](#), [Toronto Police](#) (and another from [Toronto Police](#)), [Halton Region](#), [Hamilton Police Service](#) (Ontario), [Halton Regional Police Service](#) (Ontario), the [Region of Peel](#) (Ontario), [Region of Durham](#) (Ontario); [KFL&A Public Health](#) (Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington, Ontario, re “any variant”), [Grey Bruce Health Services](#), [Grey Bruce Health Unit](#), [Simcoe Muskoka Health Unit](#), [Niagara Regional Police Service](#), [Peterborough Public Health \(Ontario\)](#) (and another from [Peterborough Public Health](#)), [Peterborough Police Service](#) (Ontario) (another from [Peterborough Police](#)), [City of Peterborough](#) (Ontario) [Aylmer Police Service](#) (Ontario) (and another from [Aylmer Police](#)), [Woodstock Police Service](#) (Ontario), [Sudbury Police Service](#) (Ontario), [Hastings Prince Edward Public Health](#) (Ontario), the [Town of Collingwood](#), the [University of Toronto](#), [Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre](#), [McMaster University](#) and [Mount Sinai Hospital](#) (Toronto) (note that researchers from the last 4 institutions had publicly claimed to have “isolated the virus”, as had VIDO-Intervac).

Every institution has failed to provide or cite even 1 record describing the isolation aka purification of the alleged “COVID-19 virus” directly from a patient sample that was not first adulterated with other sources of genetic material. (Those other sources are typically monkey kidney aka “Vero” cells and fetal bovine serum).

And, to our knowledge, no one on the planet has ever purified the alleged “virus” even from a cell culture!

In their responses, numerous institutions have made it explicitly clear that **isolation/purification is simply never done in virology**, and that “isolation” in virology means the exact opposite of what it means in everyday English. This is also evidenced in every “virus isolation” paper we have ever seen, for any alleged “virus”.



For some insight into what's really going on: [Canadian public health officials have no record of SARS-COV-2 isolation/purification performed anywhere, ever](#)

Note: **Sébastien Grammond**, a man who acts as “Federal Court judge” here in Canada, has concocted a bogus “confirmation” that “SARS-CoV-2 virus is real” –

based on zero valid science and despite knowing of that all 138 institutions listed in **my affidavit** had failed to cite even 1 example of the alleged virus having been purified from any patient sample on the planet. Why waste time on science or logic when you can simply "accept" the "Attorney General of Canada's invitation to take judicial notice that the virus is real"? Sounds perfectly legit. "Noticing" widespread beliefs is so much better than reviewing the pertinent facts.

PLEASE SHARE THESE DOCUMENTS FAR AND WIDE

As of March 1, 2023: **213 institutions and offices in 40 countries** have responded thus far, as well as some "SARS-COV-2 isolation" study authors, and none have provided or cited any record describing actual "SARS-COV-2" isolation/purification.

All of the responses in our collection are available from this page.

Excel file listing 209 institutions (last updated September 11, 2022):

Institution list for website

Institution-list-for-website.xls • 68 KB



Google drive folder where all of the FOI documents as of July 14, 2022 are grouped by country (for the most part) and compiled into 11 pdfs (Exhibits 1 – 4; Exhibit 4 has 8 parts):

<https://tinyurl.com/IsolationFOIs>

Here is my updated **declaration regarding the worldwide FOI collection and the unscientific nature of virology**, which is notarized, dated December 2, 2022

2022 12 02 Christine virus FOIs declaration notarized 1

2022-12-02-Christine-virus-FOIs-declaration-notarized-1.pdf • 7 MB



The list shown below was current as of October 5, 2021; it's much longer now.

1	Country / Region	Institution
2	Australia	University of Western Australia
3	Australia	ACT Government (Government of the Australian Capital Territory) / Canberra Health Services (CHS)
4	Australia	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation – CSIRO
5	Australia	Department of Health
6	Australia	New South Wales Ministry of Health
7	Australia	Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity
8	Australia	South Australia Minister for Health and Wellbeing
9	Australia	Western Australia Minister & Dept of Health
10	Brazil	Anvisa (Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency)
11	Brazil	Ministry of Health
12	Britain	Health and Safety Executive
13	British Isles	Isle of Man Department of Health and Social Care
14	Canada	Aylmer Police Services
15	Canada	Centre for Disease Control, British Columbia
16	Canada	City of Toronto, Ontario
17	Canada	Department of Health & Community Services, Newfoundland Labrador
18	Canada	Halton Region
19	Canada	Hastings Prince Edward Public Health
20	Canada	Health Canada
21	Canada	Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec
22	Canada	Institutes of Health Research
23	Canada	Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington Public Health, Ontario (re "any variant")
24	Canada	McGill University
25	Canada	Ministry of Health, British Columbia
26	Canada	Ministry of Health, Ontario
27	Canada	Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario
28	Canada	National Research Council
29	Canada	Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada
30	Canada	New Brunswick Department of Health
31	Canada	Peterborough Police Service
32	Canada	Peterborough Public Health
33	Canada	Provincial Health Services Authority, British Columbia
34	Canada	Region of Durham Public Health Department, Ontario
35	Canada	Region of Peel, Ontario
36	Canada	Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario
37	Canada	Toronto Police Service
38	Canada	University of Ottawa
39	Canada	University of Toronto
40	Canada	Vancouver Coastal Health Authority, British Columbia (re "the UK variant")

41	Canada	Grey Bruce Health Services
42	Canada	Grey Bruce Health Unit
43	Canada	McMaster University
44	Canada	Public Health Agency of Canada
45	Canada	Public Health Ontario (aka Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion)
46	Canada	Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre (VIDO-InterVac) at University of Saskatchewan
47	Czech Republic	Ministry of Health
48	Czech Republic	Univerzita Karlova
49	Denmark	Statens Serum Institut
50	England	Pennine Acute NHS Trust
51	England	Public Health England
52	England	Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust
53	Europe	Centre for Disease Prevention and Control
54	India	Indian Council of Medical Research
55	Italy	Istituto Nazionale Malattie Infettive "Lazzaro Spallanzani"
56	Netherlands	Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport
57	New Zealand	Associate Minister of Health Hon Jenny Salesa
58	New Zealand	Associate Minister of Health Hon Peeni Henare
59	New Zealand	Associate Minister of Health Julie Anne Genter
60	New Zealand	Bay of Plenty District Board
61	New Zealand	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet
62	New Zealand	Institute of Environmental Science and Research - ESR
63	New Zealand	Ministry of Health
64	New Zealand	University of Auckland
65	New Zealand	University of Otago
66	Norway	Norwegian Ministry of Health and Cares Services & subordinate agency the Directorate of Health
67	Phillipines	Research Institute for Tropical Medicine, Department of Health
68	Portugal	Ministry of Health
69	Republic of Columbia	Ministry of Health & Social Protection
70	Republic of Ireland	National Virus Reference Laboratory, University College Dublin
71	Republic of Serbia	Ministry of Health
72	Republic of South Africa	Governmental COVID-19 Advisory Committee
73	Republic of South Africa	Minister of Co-operative Governance and Traditional Affairs
74	Republic of South Africa	National Department of Health
75	Republic of South Africa	National Institute for Communicable Diseases
76	Republic of South Africa	President
77	Scotland	Public Health Scotland
78	Slovenia	Department of Health
79	Slovenia	University of Ljubljana - Faculty of Medicine and Institute of Microbiology and Immunology (2 separate requests)
80	Slovenia	Univerzitetni klinični center Ljubljana UKCL (University Medical Centre Ljubljana)
81	Slovenia	National Laboratory for Health, Environment and Food (Nacionalnega laboratorija za zdravje, okolje in hrano - NLZOH)
82	Spain	Ministry of Health
83	Sweden	Public Health Authority (Folkhälsomyndigheten)
84	Ukraine	Ministry of Health
85	United Kingdom	Cabinet Office
86	United Kingdom	Department of Health and Social Care
87	United Kingdom	Government Office for Science
88	United Kingdom	House of Commons
89	United Kingdom	House of Lords
90	United Kingdom	Imperial College London
91	United Kingdom	Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency
92	United Kingdom	Prime Minister's Office
93	United Kingdom	University of Warwick
94	United States of America	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
95	United States of America	Arkansas Department of Health
96	United States of America	California Department of Public Health
97	United States of America	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
98	United States of America	Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Health
99	United States of America	Commonwealth of Massachusetts Office of the Governor
100	United States of America	Commonwealth of Virginia Department of General Services
101	United States of America	Department of Health and Human Services
102	United States of America	Metro Public Health Department, Nashville, Tennessee
103	United States of America	Michigan Department of Health and Human Services
104	United States of America	Montgomery County, Pennsylvania
105	United States of America	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases - NIAID
106	United States of America	New York State Department of Health
107	United States of America	Oregon Health Authority
108	United States of America	Oregon Health & Science University
109	United States of America	Pennsylvania Department of Health
110	United States of America	Washington DC Department of Health
111	Uruguay	Clemente Stable Biological Research Institute, Ministry of Education and Culture
112	Uruguay	University of the Republic (UdelaR) Faculty of Chemistry
113	Uruguay	Ministry of Public Health
114	Wales	Public Health Wales

(Yes, we are aware of the many publications wherein authors claim to have "isolated the virus". We've looked at numerous such studies and have yet to see one where they actually did so. Claiming to have done something and actually doing it are sometimes 2 different things, even in peer-reviewed science.

And yes we are aware of the many published alleged "SARS-COV-2 genomes" – these were in fact manufactured, not discovered.

And yes we are aware that EM photos have been published, allegedly of "the virus", however a photo of something does not tell you what the thing is, where it came from or what it does. One has to scrutinize the Methods used to "isolate the virus" / obtain said photos / obtain alleged genomes, and that is when absolutely **everything** falls apart with "COVID-19" (and virology in general).

Notes:

For responses from institutions in the U.S., India, Republic of South Africa, New Zealand, Australia, U.K., England, Scotland, Wales, Ireland, Denmark, Norway, Finland, the Netherlands, Sweden, Spain, European CDC, Slovenia, Czech Republic, Ukraine, Colombia, Uruguay, Italy, Portugal, Brazil, Republic of Colombia, Argentina, Isle of Man, Phillipines, etc., plus emails from Germany's Robert Koch Institut (RKI) and several "virus isolation authors".

A big **Thank You** to all the individuals who have now kindly shared additional responses that they obtained re isolation/purification/existence of "SARS-COV-2". Some prefer to remain anonymous, others are named below.

Ok, here we go. Below are SARS-COV-2 FOI responses from >150 institutions outside of Canada.

The **World Health Organization** failed to respond to my FOI-type query sent on February 22, 2022 seeking any records that describe any alleged "**SARS-COV-2**" being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified, by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science"):

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/W.H.O.-request-SARS-COV-2_-purification.pdf

request to W.H.O. re: "**SARS-COV-2**" purification

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: informationrequest@who.int

Tue, Feb 22, 2022 at 2:38 PM

February 22, 2022

Hello,

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports from anywhere in the world describing the **purification** of the alleged "**COVID-19 virus**" (aka "**SARS-COV-2**", including any alleged "variants") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Clarification of Request:

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead:

- cultured something, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. PCR), and/or
- fabricated a "genome" from millions of sequences (allegedly) detected in an impure substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and am not requesting records that describe replication of a "virus" without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient information.

I simply request records that describe purification (separation of the alleged virus from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Contact Information:

Last name: Massey
First name: Christine
Location: Peterborough, Ontario, Canada
Email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Thank you in advance and best wishes,
Christine Massey, M.Sc.


November 28, 2022:

A colleague in Japan required of the people at **World Health Organization** to provide/cite any record of the imaginary **SARS-COV-2** being found in and purified from any sick person on the planet, in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments aka science. But instead, they directed her to a useless webpage about a global database of public "health" and "social" measures applied during the imaginary pandemic:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/WHO-Nov-2022-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



As this next link you will see a "no records of SARS-COV-2 isolation/purification" FOI response from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR), dated November 2, 2020:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/USA-CDC-Virus-Isolation-Response-Scrubbed.pdf>



On **March 1, 2021** once again **the CDC** made clear to my colleague in NZ that they still have no records of "SARS-COV-2" isolation performed by anyone, anywhere on the planet, ever... just not in so many words. Instead, the CDC absurdly implied that isolation of "SARS-COV-2" would require the replication of a "virus" without host cells and thus is impossible.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/CDC-March-1->

Page 3 - [REDACTED]

For information about the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence, see the NIH GenBank website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/sars-cov-2-seqs>), which includes over 44,000 sequences as of December 7, 2020.

On February 21, 2021, you requested the following information:

Can you please clarify if you have any records of the separation of SARS-CoV-2 from everything else (known as isolation and purification)? A simple yes or no will do regarding the answer. Please use the Merriam-Webster dictionary's common definition of isolation. I will provide the definitions below.

isolation noun
Save Word
iso·la·tion | \,i-sə-ˈla-shən | also ,i-
Definition of isolation
: the action of isolating : the condition of being isolated

isolated
Save Word
iso·la·ted | \,i-sə-ˈla-təd | also 'i-
Definition of isolated
1 : occurring alone or once : UNIQUE
2 : SPORADIC

isolate verb
Save Word
iso·late | \,i-sə-ˈlāt | also 'i-
isolated; isolating
Definition of isolate (Entry 1 of 3)
transitive verb
1 : to set apart from others
also : QUARANTINE
2 : to select from among others
especially : to separate from another substance so as to obtain pure or in a free state
3 : INSULATE

The SME states the following:

The definition of "isolation" provided in the request is outside of what is possible in virology, as viruses need cells to replicate, and cells require liquid food. However, the SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from a human clinical specimen by culturing in cell culture, which is the definition of "isolation" as used in microbiology, and as indicated in the previous round of response in the resources provided.

March 3, 2021: CDC again failed to provide/cite any records describing "SARS-CoV-2" isolation/purification by anyone anywhere ever... BUT would no longer simply say so (as they did back on November 2nd); instead they gave my colleague in NZ some song and dance and cited their own fraudulent study (by Harcourt et al.):
<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/CDC-March-3-2021-SARS-COV-2-purification-FOI-response.pdf>



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention (CDC)
Atlanta GA 30333
March 3, 2021

This letter is our final response to your attached Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of March 1, 2021, assigned #21-00795-FOIA.

For administrative convenience and to fully respond to your request, program staff have provided the following information below with corresponding web links.

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by diagnostic tests. Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases and deaths, visit the CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker, which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be found in a study entitled, Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with Fatal Coronavirus Disease, which includes electron microscopy images of SARS-CoV-2 in infected lung and upper airway tissues as well as staining of lung and upper airway tissues using an antibody against SARS-CoV-2. The specimens analyzed in this study were from patients with common signs and symptoms associated with COVID-19, including fever, cough, and shortness of breath. All patients had abnormal findings on chest radiographs. There are other similar studies publicly available online. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's PubMed entry.

The SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells. In January 2020, CDC isolated the SARS-CoV-2 virus from a clinical specimen from the first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the United States. There are other similar studies published describing the isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 from human clinical specimens. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's PubMed entry. There are also several publications documenting SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission among pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals.

For information about the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence, see the NIH GenBank website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/sars-cov-2-seqs>), which includes over 44,000 sequences as of December 7, 2020.

If you need any further assistance or would like to discuss any aspect of the records provided please contact either our FOIA Requester Service Center at 770-488-6399 or our FOIA Public Liaison at 770-488-6277.

June 7, 2021: CDC admitted they have no record of “**SARS-COV-2**” purification from a patient sample via maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge, by anyone, anywhere, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/CDC-FOIA-request-response-no-records-SARS-COV-2-purification.pdf>

September 1, 2021:

Yet another FOIA response from **the CDC** where they failed to provide or cite even 1 record of the alleged “**COVID-19 virus**” aka “**SARS-COV-2**”, or any “**variants**” having been purified from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/CDC-Sept-1-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

The SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells.

September 8, 2021: Another FOIA response from the **CDC** re purification of the phantom “SARS-COV-2”. This time, CDC cited a paper wherein the authors never even claimed to have purified any “virus” themselves, and instead falsely stated that:

“*SARS-CoV-2 was isolated in Vero cells ... (8)*” [oxymoron]. [CDC's reference #8 is the infamous Harcourt et al. study.]

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Sept-8-2021-CDC-package.pdf>



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention (CDC)
Atlanta GA 30333
September 8, 2021

Ms. Christine Massey

Via email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Ms. Massey:

This letter is our final response to your attached Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of August 16, 2021, assigned #21-01986-FOIA.

The National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases provides the link to the following study that is responsive to your request.

https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/8/20-1246_articleI

If you need any further assistance or would like to discuss any aspect of the records provided please contact either our FOIA Requester Service Center at 770-488-6399 or our FOIA Public Liaison at 770-488-6277.

Sincerely,

Roger Andoh
CDC/ATSDR FOIA Officer
Office of the Chief Operating Officer
(770) 488-6399
Fax: (404) 235-1852

Enclosure

21-01986-FOIA

October 26, 2021:

The **U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention** once again failed to provide or cite even 1 record of “SARS-COV-2” purification, by anyone, anywhere on the planet:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/CDC-2021-10-23-SARS-COV-2-v3-BS-redacted.pdf>



SENT VIA EMAIL

[REDACTED]

This letter is our final response to your Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of September 26, 2021, assigned #21-02310-FOIA.

This is a formal request for access to general records, made under the *Freedom of Information Act*.

Please note: this request is very similar to another request CDC/ATSDR responded to in March, 2021 where I had specified purification via *maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge*.

The difference with this new request is that it does not specify *maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge*; it only mentions *filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography* by way of an example.

My request also includes the language of *variants* to ensure that records would match all related alleged SARS-CoV-2 viruses.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-CoV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography) directly from a sample(s) from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** the suspected "virus" instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e., PCR test) on all the RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- isolated a "genome" by extracting/assaying aliquot samples detected in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

For clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am **not** requesting records describing the **replication** of a "virus" without host cells.

Page 2 - [REDACTED]

Further, I am **not** requesting records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a viseum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from other things like in the patient sample), as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things.

Please also note that my request is **not limited** to records that were authored by CDC/ATSDR or that pertain to work done by/b/CDC/ATSDR. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description, for example (but not limited to): any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed by CDC/ATSDR and relied on as evidence.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each with certainty (i.e. title if the public may access it).

Please provide URLs where possible.

For administrative convenience and to fully respond to your request, program staff have provided the following information below with corresponding web links.

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by **diagnostic tests**. Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2 positive cases and deaths, visit the [CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker](https://covid19.dccdc.hrsa.gov/), which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be found in a study entitled, *Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with Fatal Community Disease*, which includes electron microscopy images of SARS-CoV-2 in infected lung and upper airway tissues as well as staining of lung and upper airway tissues using an antibody against SARS-CoV-2.

The specimens analyzed in this study were from patients with common signs and symptoms associated with COVID-19, including fever, cough, and shortness of breath. All patients had abnormal findings on chest radiographs.

There are other similar studies publicly available online. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#).

The SARS-CoV-2 virus, whether the original strain or variants of the virus, may be isolated from a clinical specimen or cultured in a cell culture. For example, [PMC8023200](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8023200/) is a clinical specimen from the first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the United States. For other similar studies published describing the isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 from human clinical specimens. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#). There are also several publications describing SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission among pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals.

For information about the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence, see the NIH GenBank website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/sars-cov-2seq/>), which includes over 1 million sequences.

April 1, 2022:

The U.S. National Institutes of Health failed to provide or cite even 1 record of the alleged "SARS-CoV-2" (or any alleged "variant") having been purified from the bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of any patient on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, when responding to a request for records in the custody of the CDC, and they bizarrely

and inaccurately stated that the request was "improper". Full letter:

Pdf: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/CDC-2022-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



National Institutes of Health
Freedom of Information Office
Building 31, Room 5B-35
31 Center Drive, MSC 2107
Bethesda, Maryland 20892-2107
phone: (301) 435-5633
fax: (301) 402-4541

Via email: [REDACTED]

April 1, 2022

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] PA [REDACTED]

Re: FOI Case No. 57010

Dear Ms. [REDACTED]

This responds to your September 8, 2021, Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request addressed to the FOIA Office, National Institutes of Health, (NIH) and received on the same day. You requested a copy of all studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) describing the purification of the "COVID-19 virus".

Please be advised that your request is improper as defined by FOIA given that you have not specified where (named office or institute) or what (i.e. named grant number, report, etc) you would like searched at the NIH. Considering the omission of the aforementioned information necessary for a proper search to be conducted, the NIH cannot process your request as it is written. In good faith, we provide the following information that may prove useful to you.

Much of the information on the isolation of the virus from the diseased host, which requires growth in cell culture, is already publicly available. Viruses do not replicate outside of a host or in a pure culture (devoid of other cells). Koch's postulates were formed prior to the identification of viruses as the causative agents of some diseases and also pre-date modern microbiological techniques, including the ability to isolate viruses from hosts. As such, Koch's postulates have limitations when evaluating viruses and do not adequately account for the way viruses are isolated and propagated given that viruses are obligate intracellular parasites.

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by **diagnostic tests**. Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2 positive cases and deaths, visit the [CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker](https://covid19.dccdc.hrsa.gov/), which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

November 17, 2022:

CDC could not provide/cite any record of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 being purified

even from a cell culture, instead they cited irrelevant studies and webpages:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/CDC-purified-from-cell-culture-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by [diagnostic tests](#). Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases and deaths, visit the [CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker](#), which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be found in a study entitled, [Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with Fatal Coronavirus Disease](#), which includes electron microscopy images of SARS-CoV-2 in infected lung and upper airway tissues as well as staining of lung and upper airway tissues using an antibody against SARS-CoV-2. The specimens analyzed in this study were from patients with common signs and symptoms associated with COVID-19, including fever, cough, and shortness of breath. All patients had abnormal findings on chest radiographs. There are other similar studies publicly available online. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#).

The SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells. In January 2020, CDC [isolated the SARS-CoV-2 virus](#) from a clinical specimen from the first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the United States. There are other similar studies published describing the isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 from human clinical specimens. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#). There are also [several publications](#) documenting SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission among pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals.

For information about the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence, see the NIH GenBank website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/sars-cov-2-sequences/>), which includes over 44,000 sequences as of December 7, 2020.

October 20/21, 2022:

The people at **CDC** were challenged to provide/cite scientific proof of the existence of the **alleged SARS-COV-2 or the alleged HIV** based on controlled experiments using purified particles. They could not, since none exists, so instead they provided useless links for HIV, not even purporting to show controlled experiments. For SARS-COV-2 they gave the same paragraphs that they've been giving people for the last year or so, with links to more useless studies and webpages.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/CDC-SARS-COV-2-HIV-scientific-proof-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Program provides the following public links as responsive to your request:

- HIV Research
 - <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/research/index.html>
- HIV Lab Testing Info
 - <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/testing/laboratorytests.html>
- General HIV Diagnosis/Testing
 - <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/testing/index.html>
- HIV Fact Sheets
 - <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/factsheets/index.html>
- HIV Reports
 - <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/index.html>
 - <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/hiv-surveillance.html>
- 25 Notable HIV and AIDS Reports Published in CDC's Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)
 - https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/hiv_aids20.html
- Recent MMWRs on HIV
 - <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/mmwr.html>
- Early MMWRs on AIDS:
 - <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5021a1.htm>
 - https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/june_5.htm

December 16, 2022:

The **U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention** was unable to provide any record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 **spike protein** being found in anyone and purified (as opposed to "recombinant" "spike protein" being **created** in a lab and then studied, as though that reflects something going on in actual people); and so they responded as though this was another FOI regarding the fake virus, and provided the same useless paragraphs that they've been giving people for the past year or so:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/CDC-no-purified-spike-protein-PACKAGE-to-Dec-16-redacted.pdf>

February 14, 2023:

Once again, the people at the **U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention** were unable to provide any record of the **alleged SARS-COV-2 spike protein** being found in anyone and purified. (I specifically ruled out studies where "recombinant" "spike protein" was created in a lab in order to be studied, because those studies

can't provide any evidence of the alleged "SARS-COV-2 spike protein" actually existing in people, i.e. people with the fraudulent covid diagnosis, or people who received the fake mRNA vaccines, or anyone at all.):

<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/CDC-spike-protein-PACKAGE-redacted-2023-02-14.pdf>



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention (CDC)
Atlanta GA 30333

February 14, 2023

Ms. Christine Massey

[REDACTED]
Via email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Ms. Massey:

This letter is in response to your Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of December 22, 2022, for

Greetings Roger,

I require access to general records, as per your duty under the Freedom of Information Act; description of required records:

all studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) describing the alleged "SARS-COV-2 spike protein" being found in any people (i.e. in the bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of someone diagnosed with the alleged "COVID-19" or someone who received a so-called "COVID-19 vaccine") and purified (as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of very small things), with purification of particles confirmed via EM imaging (the EM image(s) must be available and provided);

note that i do not require or want studies/reports where researchers did something with recombinant (lab-created) so-called "SARS-COV-2 spike protein" that had to be created in order to study "it", or studies/reports where the alleged protein was allegedly detected indirectly (i.e. with a nonspecific antibody test);

this FOI is not limited to records that were authored by the CDC or ATSDR or that pertain to work done at/by the CDC or ATSDR; rather, it includes any record matching the above description, authored by anyone, anywhere, ever;

if any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that i may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it); provide URLs where possible;

A search of our records failed to reveal any documents pertaining to your request.

[Someone kindly forwarded another FOI response from the CDC dated December 30, 2020 re the alleged **2003 "SARS-COV-1" and all "common cold coronaviruses"** – the CDC has no record of any having been isolated:

<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/CDC-isolation-FOI-reply-any-coronavirus.pdf>

September 13, 2022:

Some anonymous man or woman in the Public Records Office at **University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill** provided unhelpful documents that do not contain scientific evidence of the alleged **SARS-COV-2**, and confessed that there are no other documents relevant to the request:

<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/UNC-Chapel-Hill-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Fwd: Re: Create a new password for the UNC-Chapel Hill public records portal

To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Sep 13, 2022 at 1:17 PM

This is their response.

Sent from ProtonMail for iOS

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Public Records Office <publicrecordsoffice@email.unc.edu>
Date: On Tue, Sep 13, 2022 at 1:16 PM
Subject: Fwd: Re: Create a new password for the UNC-Chapel Hill public records portal
To: [REDACTED] Public Records Info <info@publicrecords.unc.edu>
Cc:

Thank you for your message. The University has provided all records responsive to this request.

Sincerely,
Public Records Office

From: [REDACTED]
Date: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 at 11:19 AM
To: Public Records Info <info@publicrecords.unc.edu>
Subject: Re: Create a new password for the UNC-Chapel Hill public records portal

Some people who received this message don't often get email from healingque@protonmail.com. Learn why this is important

Thank you for your quick response.

The documents provided do not contain any scientific evidence of a physical particle found in humans. Nothing in them fits the description of a virus. Please go over the request again and if you have them provide the document showing evidence of its existence. If UNC does not have such records, please let me know.

Once again thank you so much for your time,

[REDACTED]

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

----- Original Message -----

On Sunday, September 11th, 2022 at 10:10 AM, UNC-Chapel Hill Public Records Office <info@publicrecords.unc.edu> wrote:

December 10, 2021:

U.S. Department of Labor / Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) admitted they have no record describing purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants") from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/OSHA-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

U.S. Department of Labor

Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Washington, D.C. 20210



December 10, 2021

Ms. Christine Massey

[REDACTED]
Peterborough, Ontario
Canada [REDACTED]

RE: Freedom of Information Act Request No. 2022-F-01464

Dear Ms. Massey:

This is a final response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) dated November 8, 2021, seeking documents

You have asked for documentation in OSHA's possession, "...describing the purification of any 'COVID-19 virus' (aka 'SARS-CoV-2', including any alleged 'variants' i.e. 'B.1.1.7', 'B.1.351', 'P.1')". In your request, you defined "purification" to mean, "... separation of the alleged 'virus' from everything else in the patient sample...."

A search of OSHA's records did not locate any documents that meet the criteria of your request. Therefore, under FOIA we are providing you a no records response. Please be aware that OSHA did not extend the search for documents outside of the Agency for any responsive records, as you requested. This is beyond the scope of FOIA requirements.

If you have questions about our response, please contact Robert B. Turnage at (202) 693-2036 or turnage.robb@dol.gov.

Although we believe we have fulfilled your FOIA request, you have the right to appeal this decision with the Solicitor of Labor within 90 days from the date of this letter. The appeal must state, in writing, the grounds for the appeal, including any supporting statements or arguments. The appeal should also include a copy of your initial request and a copy of this letter.

If you appeal, you may mail your appeal to: Solicitor of Labor, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-2420, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210 or fax your appeal to (202) 693-5538. Alternatively, you may email your appeal to foiaappeal@dol.gov; appeals submitted to any other email address will not be accepted. The envelope (if mailed), subject line (if emailed), or fax cover sheet (if faxed), and the letter indicating the grounds for appeal, should be clearly marked: "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

In addition to filing an appeal, you may contact the Department's FOIA Public Liaison, Thomas G. Hicks, Sr., at (202) 693-5427 or hicks.thomas@dol.gov for assistance in resolving disputes.

September 3, 2021: Fauci's National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases

(NIAID) / National Institutes of Health, in response to a request for records that demonstrate that NIAID or NIH has a physical sample of purified "SARS-CoV-2":

- conflates isolation/purification with growth of the imaginary virus in cell culture,
- provides irrelevant, distracting comments re Koch's Postulates,

- cites useless, irrelevant studies and webpages, and fabricated computer "genomes", and

- confirms that virology isn't a science.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/NIAID-NIH-Sept-3-2021.pdf>



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

National Institutes of Health
Freedom of Information Office
Building 31, Room 3A-35
35 Convent Drive, MSC 2107
Bethesda, Maryland 20205-2107
phone: (301) 496-5633
fax: (301) 402-4541

Via Email: [REDACTED]

September 3, 2021

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Re: FOIA Case Number: 56905

Dear [REDACTED]

This is our final response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request addressed to National Institutes of Health (NIH), dated August 18, 2021, and received in this office on the same day. You requested copies of public records that demonstrates the NIAID or NIH has a physical sample of the isolated and purified SARS-CoV-2 virus, to produce any and all evidence of this External Standard or Certified Reference Material (CRM) for calibration of RT-PCR test kits and any or all documentation and evidence of whether the Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) occurred from the isolate, as well as evidence and information on the current modality/test being used to determine and identify the difference from the original SARS-CoV-2 virus and the "Delta Variant" and/or other variants with all evidence and documentation demonstrating the initial discovery of the other variants.

Please be advised that your request is improper as defined by FOIA given that you have not specified where (named office or institute) or what (i.e. named grant number, report, etc) you would like searched at the NIH. Considering the omission of the aforementioned information necessary for a proper search to be conducted, the NIH cannot process your request as it is written. In good faith, we provide the following information that may prove useful to you.

Much of the information on the isolation of the virus from the diseased host, which requires growth in cell culture, is already publicly available. Viruses do not replicate outside of a host or in a pure culture (devoid of other cells). Koch's postulates were formed prior to the identification of viruses as the causative agents of some diseases and also pre-date modern microbiological techniques, including the ability to isolate viruses from hosts. As such, Koch's postulates have limitations when evaluating viruses and do not adequately account for the way viruses are isolated and propagated given that viruses are obligate intracellular parasites.

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services confirms that **Vivek Murthy**, the man who acts as "Surgeon General", has no record describing anyone on Earth finding and purifying the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (the alleged "COVID-19 virus"), or any alleged variant thereof, from the bodily fluids of any diseased human.
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/HHS-Surgeon-General-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary
Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs
Washington, D.C. 20201

Case No. 2022-00537-FOIA-PHS

March 11, 2022

Sent via email:
Christine Massey
cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Christine Massey:

This letter is the final response to your March 07, 2022, Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request. Specifically, you requested the following records: "(See attached) All studies / reports in the possession, custody or control of the man who acts as "Surgeon General" in the Department of Health and Human Services, Vivek H. Murthy, that describe anyone on Earth finding and purifying (i.e. via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography) the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (the alleged "COVID-19" virus), or any alleged variant thereof, directly from the bodily fluids of any diseased human where the bodily fluids were not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. a human or animal cell line; fetal bovine serum)".

A representative from the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health (OASH) conducted a search and reports there are no records responsive to your request: "We have no studies or reports of which we have custody or control over related to this topic, thus no records to share".

While we believe an adequate search of the appropriate files was conducted for the records requested, you have the right to appeal this finding that no responsive records exist within OASH. By filing an appeal, you preserve your rights under FOIA and give the agency a chance to review and reconsider your request and the agency's decision.

Please mark the correspondence, "Freedom of Information Act Appeal." Your appeal must be transmitted within 90 days from the date of receipt of this letter to:

Ms. Carol Maloney
Deputy Agency Chief FOIA Officer
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs
HHS.ACFO@hhs.gov

If you would like to discuss our response before filing an appeal to attempt to resolve your dispute without going through the appeals process, you may contact the HHS FOIA Public Liaison for assistance at:

HHS FOIA/PA Public Liaison
FOI/Privacy Acts Division

August 19, 2021:

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services cited useless, irrelevant studies and webpages, and fabricated computer "genomes" in response to an FOIA request for "Records that demonstrates the US Department of Health and Human Services has a physical sample of the isolated and purified SARS-CoV-2 virus..."
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/US-Health-and-Human-Services-response-redacted.pdf>



Christine Massey <cmssy@gmail.com>

Fwd: HHS FOIA Request 2021-01625-FOIA-OS

Mon, Aug 23, 2021 at 6:05 PM

To: <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca> <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>

You can add to your list. From US Department of Human and Health Services.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Taylor, Natasha <foiarequest@hhs.gov>
Date: Thu, Aug 19, 2021 at 4:50 PM
Subject: HHS FOIA Request 2021-01625-FOIA-OS
To: [REDACTED]

RE: 2021-01625-FOIA-OS

Mr [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

This is in response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, dated: **August 18, 2021**, concerning "Records that demonstrate the US Department of Health and Human Services has a physical sample of the isolated and purified SARS-CoV-2 virus including the following: 1) Any and all evidence of this External Standard or Certified Reference Material (CRM) for calibration of RT-PCR test kits and any or all documentation; 2) Evidence of whether the Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) occurred from the isolate; 3) Evidence and information on the current modality/test being used to determine and identify the difference from the original SARS-CoV-2 virus and the "Delta Variant" and/or other variants with all evidence and documentation demonstrating the initial discovery of the other variants. (Date Range for Record Search: From 10/1/2019 To 8/18/2021)".

We received your request on **August 18, 2021**.

For administrative convenience and to fully respond to your request, program staff have provided the following information below with corresponding web links.

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by diagnostic tests. Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases and deaths, visit the [CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker](#), which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be found in a study entitled, [Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with Fatal Coronavirus Disease](#), which includes electron microscopy images of SARS-CoV-2 in infected lung and upper airway tissue as well as staining of lung and upper airway tissue using an antibody against SARS-CoV-2.

The specimens analyzed in this study were from patients with common signs and symptoms associated with COVID-19, including fever, cough, and shortness of breath. All patients had abnormal findings on chest radiographs.

There are other similar studies publicly available online. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's PubMed entry.

The SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells. In January 2020, CDC isolated the SARS-CoV-2 virus from a clinical specimen from the first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the United States. There are other similar studies published describing the isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 from human clinical specimens. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's PubMed entry. There are also several publications documenting SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission among pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals.

June 24, 2021 FOIA response:

Anthony Fauci's **National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases** (NIAID) failed to provide/cite any record of "SARS-CoV-2" purification from a patient sample by anyone, anywhere.

NIAID referred me to the anti-science CDC study by Harcourt et al., even after I'd advised them of CDC's [June 7, 2021](#) 'no records' response. I have appealed to NIAID's FOIA Public Liaison.

The communications between myself and NIAID are provided here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/NIAID-FOIA-package-redacted.pdf>

We have previously queried our Division of Clinical Research for records responsive to similar requests. Your request is properly directed to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) as they are the ones who did the isolation: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/grows-virus-cell-culture.html>

See publication: https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

You can submit a request to the CDC at the following link: <https://www.cdc.gov/od/foia/index.htm>
If you disagree with their no records determination, you should properly avail yourself of the appeal rights described in their final response to you.

If you are not satisfied with the processing and handling of this request, you may contact the NIAID FOIA Public Liaison:

[NIAID FOIA Public Liaison](#)

The CDC study cited by NIAID did not purify anything from a patient sample. It is the same study that Dr. Thomas Cowan wrote about in 2020 ("[Only Poisoned Monkey Kidney Cells 'Grew' the 'Virus'](#)") where he also addressed the fraudulent nature of the authors' fabricated "SARS-CoV-2 genome" (as shown in the screenshot below).

First, in the section titled "Whole Genome Sequencing," we find that rather than having isolated the virus and sequencing the genome from end to end, that the CDC "designed 37 pairs of nested PCRs spanning the genome on the basis of the coronavirus reference sequence (GenBank accession no. NC045512)."

To me, this computer-generation step constitutes scientific fraud. Here is an equivalency: A group of researchers claim to have found a unicorn because they found a piece of a hoof, a hair from a tail, and a snippet of a horn. They then add that information into a computer and program it to re-create the unicorn, and they then claim this computer re-creation is the real unicorn. Of course, they had never actually seen a unicorn so could not possibly have examined its genetic makeup to compare their samples with the actual unicorn's hair, hooves and horn.

The researchers claim they decided which is the real genome of SARS-CoV-2 by "consensus," sort of like a vote. Again, different computer programs will come up with different versions of the imaginary "unicorn," so they come together as a group and decide which is the real imaginary unicorn.


Previously, in 2020, Ron Bublitz had already asked the **U.S. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), National Institutes of Health (NIH)** the question shown below. His correspondence is posted at the following link, along with the evasive response provided by the NIH/NIAID Section Chief for Controlled Correspondence and Public Inquiries, Legislative Affairs and Correspondence Management Branch. Pdf showing the text and a photo of the actual emails: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/NIAID-reply-to-Ron-Bublitz-re-SARS-COV-2-isolation.pdf>


To: NIAID NEWS (NIH/NIAID)

Subject: a basic and serious question.

I see that you have released images of the electron microscope view of C19 virus. I would like to know how you are certain that is the virus? How was it isolated? Have you followed Koch's Postulates in order to be completely certain that is the pathogen that causes disease?

Ron kindly provided a screenshot of his communications with NIAID, shown below.





Note that **NIH/NIAID failed to answer any of Ron Bublitz's questions** and merely cited **the same CDC study** by Harcourt et al. mentioned above that indulged in the typical fraudulent "monkey business" approach to so-called "isolation" – as shown in the screenshot below).

Cell Culture, Limiting Dilution, and Virus Isolation

We used Vero CCL-81 cells for isolation and initial passage. We cultured Vero E6, Vero CCL-81, HUH 7.0, 293T, A549, and EFKB3 cells in Dulbecco minimal essential medium (DMEM) supplemented with heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (5% or 10%) and antibiotics/antimycotics (GIBCO, <https://www.thermofisher.com>). We used both NP and OP swab specimens for virus isolation. For isolation, limiting dilution, and passage 1 of the virus, we pipetted 50 μ L of serum-free DMEM into columns 2-12 of a 96-well tissue culture plate, then pipetted 100 μ L of clinical specimens into column 1 and serially diluted 2-fold across the plate. We then trypsinized and resuspended Vero cells in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 \times penicillin/streptomycin, 2 \times antibiotics/antimycotics, and 2 \times amphotericin B at a concentration of 2.5×10^5 cells/mL. We added 100 μ L of cell suspension directly to the clinical specimen dilutions and mixed gently by pipetting. We then grew the inoculated cultures in a humidified 37°C incubator in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and observed for cytopathic effects (CPEs) daily. We used standard plaque assays for SARS-CoV-2, which were based on SARS-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) protocols (9,10).

June 10, 2022: Julia Spiegel, woman who acts as Deputy Legal Affairs Secretary under **Gavin Newsom**, the man who acts in **California's Office of the Governor**, confessed to Kristen Welch that they have no record describing any alleged "**SARS-CoV-2**" (or "variant") being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science"):
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Gavin-Newson-acting-as-Gov-California-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

GOVERNOR GAVIN NEWSOM • SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814 • (916) 445-2841

There are no records responsive to your request in the custody of the Governor's Office.

Thank you for contacting the Governor's Office.

Sincerely,

JULIA SPIEGEL
Deputy Legal Affairs Secretary

January 27, 2022:

Washington State Department of Health provided "installment #1" of records allegedly-but-not-really responsive to a FOI for studies in which alleged "SARS-CoV-2" was found in the bodily fluid/tissue of sick people and purified. "Installment #1" is sci-fi comedy having nothing whatsoever to do with purification or a virus, titled "SARS-CoV-2 Sequencing and Variants in Washington State". It begins: "Next generation sequencing is a set of laboratory methods that scientists

use to scan a viral genome to determine the genome sequence of a virus."

Watch out for the sequel due July 25, 2022!

The department also confessed that its lab: "has not attempted to isolate SARS-

CoV-2, nor are we using any other methods to identify SARS-CoV-2 in our samples."

Cov-2 from any specimen or matrix. Nor does the PHL have in its possession isolated viable SARS-CoV-2 virus. The WAPHL performs diagnostic testing that is PCR based... Next generation sequencing is performed on SARS-CoV-2 positive patient samples using extracted genomic material, not virus isolates."
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Washington-State-DOH-install-1-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Subject: Fw: DOH Public Records Center :: D000656-012522
From: [REDACTED]
To: christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>
Date: 2022-01-28 02:50 PM



Hello Christine,

I live in Washington State. I submitted a public records request to the WA State Department of Health for the studies and/or reports purification of the SARS COV 2 virus as per your example.

"The Washington State Public Health Lab (PHL) provided the following statement in response to your request, "The WAPHL has not attempted to isolate SARS-CoV-2 from any specimen or matrix. Nor does the PHL have in its possession isolated viable SARS-CoV-2 virus. The WAPHL performs diagnostic testing that is PCR based and does not require virus isolation. Next generation sequencing is performed on SARS-CoV-2 positive patient samples using extracted genomic material, not virus isolates."

You will receive the next installment on or before July 25, 2022 and will continue to receive installments until your request has been fulfilled.

Should you no longer need this information, please contact our office by responding directly to this email.

Sincerely,

Washington State Department of Health
Disease Control and Health Statistics
Public Records

Please see their email below.

Thank you for all you are doing to bring truth to light!

Be Well,

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: WA State DOH Records Center <washingtondoh@govqa.us>
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022, 04:03:09 PM PST
Subject: DOH Public Records Center :: D000656-012522

-- Please respond above this line --



Reference # [D000656-012522](#)

Dear [REDACTED]

The Department of Health received a public records request from you on January 25, 2022. You requested the following:

"This is a formal request for access to general records, made under the Freedom of Information Act.

March 11, 2022:

University of Illinois confirmed for Natalia Litbarg, MD that: the university's records custodians who, due to the nature of their work might have had records describing someone on Earth finding the alleged "**SARS-COV-2**" in the bodily fluids of a diseased host and purifying "it", could not identify any such records:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/University-of-Illinois-PACKAGE.pdf>

has shared your request with University records custodians who, because of nature of their work, may have had responsive records. Utilizing the word and terms you provided, those University records custodians cannot identify responsive records.

You have a right, under the law, to seek a review of this response by the Public Access Counselor (PAC) in the Office of the Attorney General. The PAC may be reached by phone at 877-299-3642, by email to public.access@ilag.gov, or by postal mail at the Public Access Bureau, 500 S. 2nd Street, Springfield, Illinois 62706. You also have the right to seek judicial review under section 11 of this Act.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss how to narrow the scope of your request, please contact me at 217-333-6400.

Sincerely,

Kirsten Ruby
Senior Associate Director
and Chief Records Officer

May 18, 2022:

Jorge Perez, the man who acts as Deputy Health Officer, Public Health Investigation, **Los Angeles County Department of Public Health**, confessed to Kristen Welch that there are no records of the alleged **SARS-COV-2** being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... but apparently he is OK with the fact that virologists have no independent variable to use in any "virus" experiment and he thinks that "the county" has the "right" to terrorize people with their "doctrine":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Los-Angeles-County-Dept-PH-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

After a diligent search, the Department has determined that there are no responsive records to your request.

In providing you with this response, the County is not waiving any rights, defenses, or claims of privilege, exception or exemption under the CPRA or any other statutes. The County reserves its right to assert all applicable privileges, doctrines, and exemptions.

Sincerely,

Jorge Perez

Jorge Perez, Deputy Health Officer
Public Health Investigation
COR ID No 221787

doctrine =

a **belief or set of beliefs held and taught by a Church, political party, or other group.**

a **stated principle of government policy, mainly in foreign or military affairs.**

They pretend they have a "right" to lie & terrorize.

April 13, 2022:

Republic of Trinidad and Tobago's Ministry of Health confirmed for Chetawayo La

Borde that "*COVID-19 has not been isolated and purified*":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Trinidad-and-Tobago-PACKAGE.pdf>



Requested Information	Legal Advice	Document(s)/Information to be released
2. Has COVID-19 been isolated and purified? Please provide data.	The Applicant may be furnished with the information requested and as shown in the third column, as same constitutes official information pursuant to Section 11 of the Freedom of Information Act, Chapter 22:02 and same is not exempted from disclosure pursuant to Part IV of the Act.	"COVID-19 has not been isolated and purified."
3. Please provide detailed info on all individuals that have taken any of the COVID-19 vaccines since March 30 th 2021 till (sic) present in Trinidad and Tobago and their health status if they are alive or dead regardless of cause of death.	Please advise the Applicant that the MoH is not in possession of the information requested.	"The MoH is not in possession of the information requested."

Sincerely


 /f/ Permanent Secretary
 Ministry of Health.
 PERMANENT SECRETARY
 MINISTRY OF HEALTH

Switzerland:

November 2021: **Federal Office of Public Health (FOPH)**

January 2022: **Institute of Virology and Immunology (IVI)**

Both failed to provide or cite, for the man inquiring, even 1 record of "SARS-COV-2" being found in the bodily fluids of any sick human and purified (which would be necessary so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments to determine if "it" actually exists):

<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Switzerland-IVI-and-FOPH-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Am 25.01.2022 um 08:45 schrieb eliane.brogini@babs.admin.ch:

Sehr geehrter Herr [REDACTED]

Besten Dank für Ihr Gesuch um Einsicht in Akten gemäss Bundesgesetz über das Öffentlichkeitsprinzip der Verwaltung.
Wir verfügen über keine amtlichen Dokumente, die den von Ihnen beschriebenen Anforderungen entsprechen.

Freundliche Grüsse,
Eliane Brogini

Betreff: AW: WG: BGÖ-Gesuch um Einsicht in Akten - gereinigtes Virus - Labor Spiez

Von: <eliane.brogini@babs.admin.ch>

Datum: 28.01.2022, 14:57

An: [REDACTED]@gmx.ch>

Kopie (CC): <labor-spiez@babs.admin.ch>, <claudia.geiger@babs.admin.ch>

Sehr geehrter Herr [REDACTED]

Vielen Dank für Ihre Anfrage und für Ihr Interesse an den Aufgaben und Tätigkeiten des Labor Spiez.

Das Bundesamt für Bevölkerungsschutz hat keine amtlichen Dokumente erstellt, die den von Ihnen beschriebenen Anforderungen (Ziffer 2) entsprechen.

In der wissenschaftlichen Fachliteratur gibt es hingegen inzwischen eine Vielzahl von Publikationen, in denen die Isolierung von SARS-CoV-2-Viren beschrieben worden ist. Nur als Beispiel finden Sie in der Anlage eine Publikation aus Nature, einer der weltweit angesehensten Zeitschriften für Naturwissenschaften (Wölfel, R., Corman, V.M., Guggemos, W. et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. *Nature* 581, 465–469 (2020).

Wir weisen Sie darauf hin, dass Sie nach Artikel 13 BGÖ die Möglichkeit haben, innerhalb von 20 Tagen nach Erhalt dieser Mitteilung schriftlich einen Schlichtungsantrag an die Schlichtungsbehörde zu stellen (Eidgenössischer Datenschutz- und Öffentlichkeitsbeauftragter EDÖB, Feldeggweg 1, 3003 Bern; www.edoeb.admin.ch) und unsere oben dargelegte Haltung überprüfen zu lassen.

Für allfällige Fragen stehen wir gerne zur Verfügung.

Freundliche Grüsse,
Eliane Brogini

Betreff: Ihr Gesuch vom 17. Oktober 2021 - Einsicht in Akten - gereinigtes Virus - Antwort

Von: <lorenz.ovrage@bag.admin.ch>

Datum: 23.11.2021, 11:34

An: [REDACTED]@gmx.ch>

Sehr geehrter Herr [REDACTED]

zurückkommend auf Ihr Zugangsgesuch vom 17. Oktober 2021 nach Öffentlichkeitsgesetz (BGÖ; SR 152.3) darf ich Ihnen mitteilen, dass beim BAG keine Dokumente zum gewünschten Inhalt vorhanden sind.

Wir betrachten Ihr Gesuch als erledigt und weisen Sie darauf hin, dass Sie gestützt auf Art. 13 BGÖ die Möglichkeit haben, unsere Antwort durch die Schlichtungsstelle überprüfen zu lassen, indem Sie innerhalb von 20 Tagen nach Empfang dieser Mitteilung schriftlich einen Schlichtungsantrag stellen (Eidgenössischer Datenschutz- und Öffentlichkeitsbeauftragter EDÖB, Feldeggweg 1, 3003 Bern, www.edoeb.admin.ch).

Lorenz Overhage
MLaw

Eidgenössisches Departement des Innern EDI
Bundesamt für Gesundheit BAG
Abteilung Recht

March 16, 2022:

Larry Stinson, who acts as Director of Operations for the so-called "non-profit" **Peterborough Public Health** (PPH), confirmed that PPH and **Thomas Piggott, the man who acts as Medical Officer of Health**, have no record describing anyone on Earth finding and purifying any alleged SARS, H5N1, H1N1, MERS, Ebola, or SARS-CoV-2 "virus" from the bodily fluids of any diseased human, ever... or any study that in Thomas' opinion proves the existence of any of those alleged viruses... despite Thomas' claim of having lived through all these alleged outbreaks:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Peterborough-PH-SARS-H5N1-H1N1-MERS-Ebola-SCV2-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Background

On February 16, 2022, Thomas Piggott, the man who acts as Medical Officer of Health, Peterborough Public Health tweeted the following claim:

I've lived through SARS in 2003, we quickly forgot.
I've lived through H5N1 in 2005 & since, we quickly forgot.
I've lived through H1N1 in 2009, we quickly forgot.
I've lived through MERS in 2012, we quickly forgot.
I've lived through Ebola in 2014, we quickly forgot.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies / reports in the possession, custody or control of Thomas Piggott or anyone else acting at Peterborough Public Health, that describe anyone on Earth finding and purifying (i.e. via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography) any of the 5 alleged "viruses" listed above by Thomas Piggott directly from the bodily fluids of any diseased human where the bodily fluids were not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. a human or animal cell line; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I do not require and do not want studies/reports in which researchers failed to purify an alleged "virus" from a sick human's bodily fluid and instead:

- cultured an unpurified substance (i.e. bodily fluid) in a maineured cell line, added toxic drugs, watched for cytopathic effects and called that "virus isolation", and/or
- performed an unreliable amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), that can only detect sequences and not "viruses" on the soup of genetic material extracted from a patient's bodily fluid or from monkey/cow/human cell culture supernatant, and/or
- fabricated an in silico (computer) sequence ("genome") from millions of sequences unreliable detected in the soup of total RNA extracted from a patient's bodily fluid or from a monkey/cow/human cell culture, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified particles in a monkey/cow/human cell culture.

p.s. To clarify: where I wrote "animal experiments will be accepted" - this applies to experimental proof of disease causation.

Also, please add "SARS-CoV-2" to the list of alleged viruses for which I require records of purification, since Thomas

Pirotta claims to have lived through "COVID-19" also.

 [Massay, Christine Re Proof Alleg Outbreaks File No 2022-03 Mar 16 2022.pdf](#)

664K

Peterborough Public Health has no records in relation to your request. Local public health is responsible for adherence to the Health Protection and Promotion and Implementation Public Health Standard and related protocols as set by the Ministry of Health. Scientific Public Health Ontario. We do not work directly with patient samples related SARS-CoV-1 or SARS-CoV-2.

If you have any questions, I can be contacted at lorenz@peterboroughpublichealth.ca or 743-1000, extension 253.

August 25, 2021:

Peterborough Public Health and (outgoing) Medical Officer Dr. Rosana Salvaterra confirm they have no records describing purification of the alleged "**SARS-COV-2**", "**HIV**" aka "**human immunodeficiency virus**", "**Hep B virus**" or "**Hep C virus**" from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere. Which means they have zero proof of their existence.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Peterborough-PH-HIV-Hep-B-Hep-C-SARSCOV2-package-redacted.pdf>

January 3, 2022:

Sarah B. Kotler, J.D. (a lawyer), Director, Division of Freedom of Information, **U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)** refused to formally respond to my FOIA request delivered to her via email... but also indicated that the FDA has no record describing purification of "**SARS-COV-2**" from any patient sample on Earth, by anyone, anywhere, ever. She also failed to answer my question re what she will do with the knowledge that no one on the planet has any proof of "the virus", despite being a "public servant" working for an agency that has been rubber-stamping all manner of "COVID-19" devices, injections, etc. She also called me "rude", meanwhile she appears quite content to go along with worldwide fraud and violations of the Nuremberg Code:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/FDA-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

FOIA request to FDA re: "SARS-COV-2" purification

Kotler, Sarah <Sarah.Kotler@fda.hhs.gov>
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Jan 3, 2022 at 7:15 AM

Our regulation (which I cited below) gives you the option of (1) mailing or (2) faxing your request. We also have the online portal, which is the fastest method right now due to mail delays and the fact that our office remains closed, and we are limited in our ability to retrieve mail or faxes. In any event, the information you are seeking about 'purification' would not even be with FDA. I would suggest you submit your request to the CDC based on the information at [SARS-CoV-2 Viral Culturing at CDC | CDC](#). Instructions for submitting a request to that agency are at:

[Freedom of Information Act Importance to CDC Mission | CDC](#)

Thank you,

Sarah B. Kotler, J.D.
Director, Division of Freedom of Information
US FDA
301-796-8976

August 20, 2022:

Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention was asked for records of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being found in and purified from any clinical sample on Earth, and responded with useless manufacturers' instructions for 2 PCR "tests".

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/Maine-CDC-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

HETL uses the FDA EUA OPTI-SARS Covid-19 Real time PCR test and the Hologic Aptima SARS-CoV-2 assay for qualitative detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from human clinical specimens. Attached are the manufacturers' Instructions For Use documents.

July 28, 2022:

Some anonymous man or woman working in the Regulation and Ethics Department of the **National Agency for the Safety of Medicines and Health Products, France** aka **L'Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament et des produits de santé (ANSM)** responded to my FOI for records of anyone on Earth finding and purifying the hypothesized covid virus from any bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on the planet. According to my colleague Marc Gray's translation, this man or woman indicated that the ANSM is not authorized to verify the existence of alleged viruses unless doing so is "*necessary in the context of a request concerning a drug or a micro-organism*", which according to them is not the case with their "pandemic" activity. "*The requested documents do not exist within the ANSM*" and science "*does not fall*



RÉPUBLIQUE FRANÇAISE

Saint-Denis, le 28 Juillet 2022

Direction Réglementation et Déontologie
Pôle juridique et droits des usagers

Réf : 22V147

Madame,

Par courrier électronique en date du 13 mai 2022, vous avez souhaité disposer d'une copie de toutes les études et/ou rapports en possession, sous la garde ou sous le contrôle de l'ANSM décrivant la purification du présumé "virus COVID-19" (plus précisément "SARS-CoV-2", y compris toute "variante" présumée) directement à partir d'un échantillon prélevé sur un humain malade, où l'échantillon du patient n'a abord été combiné avec aucune autre source de matériel génétique.

A cet égard, je suis en mesure de vous apporter les éléments de réponse suivants.

En vertu des dispositions combinées des articles L. 300-2 et L. 311-1 du code des relations entre le public et l'administration (CRPA), le document sollicité revêt le caractère de document administratif communicable à tout tiers qui en fait la demande.

Précisément, l'article L. 311-1 du CRPA précité dispose que, sous réserve des dispositions des articles L. 311-5 et L. 311-6, les administrations mentionnées à l'article L. 300-2 sont tenues de communiquer aux personnes qui en font la demande les documents administratifs qu'elles détiennent, qui émanent d'elles ou qui lui ont été adressés.

L'ANSM (Agence nationale de sécurité du médicament et des produits de santé), établissement public placé sous la tutelle du ministère chargé de la Santé, est une agence d'évaluation et de décision, dont les missions sont d'offrir un accès équitable à l'innovation pour tous les patients et de garantir la sécurité des produits de santé tout au long de leur cycle de vie.

A ce titre, la compétence de l'ANSM, prévue à l'article L. 5311-1 du code de la santé publique, s'exerce sur les médicaments, les matières premières à usage pharmaceutique, les dispositifs médicaux et dispositifs médicaux de diagnostic *in vitro*, les produits biologiques à effet thérapeutique (produits sanguins labiles, organes, tissus, cellules, produits de thérapies génique et cellulaire, lait maternel), les micro-organismes et toxines, les produits cosmétiques et les produits de tatouage.

L'ANSM n'est en revanche pas habilitée à évaluer la purification seule d'un virus, sauf si cette évaluation est nécessaire dans le cadre d'une demande concernant un médicament ou un micro-organisme, ce qui n'est pas le cas en l'espèce.

Madame Christine MASSEY
Peterborough, Ontario, Canada
cmssvc@gmail.com

Romania's Ministry of Health / Institute of Public Health Bucharest and National Center for Surveillance and Control of Communicable Diseases failed to provide/cite any record of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" having been found in the bodily fluids of any patient on Earth and purified, so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments... and thus shown to exist:<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Romania-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



MINISTERUL SĂNĂTĂȚII
INSTITUTUL NAȚIONAL DE SĂNĂTATE PUBLICĂ
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC HEALTH

Str. Dr. Leonte nr. 1-3, 050463, București, ROMÂNIA
Tel: (041) 021 318 36 20, director (041) 021 318 36 19, fax (041) 021 312 34 26
e-mail: directie.generala@insp.gov.ro

CĂTRE
[REDACTED]
E-mail: [REDACTED]

Spre informare,
Ministerul Sănătății
Direcția Relații cu Presa, Afaceri Europene și Relații Internaționale

Ca urmare a adresei dumneavoastră, înregistrată la INSP cu nr 1469/27.01.2022, vă transmitem, mai jos, link-urile pe care le puteți accesa în vederea obținerii informațiilor solicitate respectiv, dovezile științifice privind izolarea virusului în cultură.

Stelzer-Brajd S, Walker GJ, Aggarwal A, Isaacs SR, Yeang M, Naing Z, Ospina Stella A, Turville SG, Rawlinson WD. Virus isolation of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) for diagnostic and research purposes. *Pathology*. 2020 Dec;52(7):760-763. doi: 10.1016/j.pathol.2020.09.012. Epub 2020 Oct 8. PMID: 33131800; PMCID: PMC7543926.

Francis R, Le Bideau M, Jardot P, Grimaldier C, Raoult D, Bou Khalil JY, La Scola B. High-speed large-scale automated isolation of SARS-CoV-2 from clinical samples using miniaturized co-culture coupled to high-content screening. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2021 Jan;27(1):128.e1-128.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2020.09.018. Epub 2020 Sep 23. PMID: 32979576; PMCID: PMC7510445.

<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/grows-virus-cell-culture.html>
<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2001017>

Zhou P., Yang, XL., Wang, XG. et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* 579, 270–273 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7>

Harcourt J, Tamin A, Lu X, Kamili S, Sakthivel SK, Murray J, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2020;26(6):1266-1273. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2606.200516>

August 2022:

Taiwan's CDC failed to provide or cite for Michael S. any scientific proof of the existence of the alleged – but let's face it, strictly *in silico* – SARS-CoV-2:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/Taiwan-CDC-existence-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

正 本

檔 號：
保存年限：

發文日期：中華民國111年8月15日
發文字號：疾管檢驗字第1110060731號

主旨：署長信箱民眾意見-信件編號:20220725000004-This is a
Freedom of Information Act Request. Ple
[REDACTED]

Thank you for your email dated July 25, 2022. Our reply to your inquiry is as follows:

At present, the laboratory diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 can be divided into three types. They are viral nucleic acid detection, antigen detection and virus culture and isolation.

We are unable to provide laboratory records of virus-related testing.

If you have further questions regarding COVID-19, you can visit Taiwan CDC's website at <http://www.cdc.gov.tw>.

Best regards,
Taiwan Centers for Disease Control

副本 : cdcwwwod@cdc.gov.tw

• 6

第1頁 共1頁

January 2022:

Taiwan's CDC confirmed for Michael S. in NZ that they have not purified the alleged "SARS-COV-2" from any patient specimen or "virus" culture, and have relied exclusively on meaningless, fraudulent PCR tests for detecting the imaginary virus: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/2022-01-17-Taiwan-CDC-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted.pdf>

Thank you for your email dated January 9, 2022. Our reply to your inquiry is as follows:

We did not purify SARS-CoV2 viruses from original specimens or virus culture. In Taiwan CDC the SARS-CoV2 is detected by using real-time RT-PCR.

If you have further questions regarding COVID-19, you can visit Taiwan CDC's website at <http://www.cdc.gov.tw>.

Best regards,

Taiwan Centers for Disease Control

1

副本 : cdcwwwod@cdc.gov.tw

April 22, 2022:

New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene confirmed that they have no record describing the purification of any alleged “SARS-COV-2” (including any alleged “variants”) from a sample taken from a diseased human, by anyone, anywhere, ever:

— 3 —

FOIL Control No. 2021FR02848 respo

2000-0000

I am very sorry about the delay. The NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene does not have records responsive to your Freedom of Information Law request with the above-referenced control number. DOHMH does not conduct research

Bernadette O'Donnell
Records Access Officer / Agency Attorney
NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene
42-09 28th St., CN 14-29
Long Island City, NY 11101

March 4, 2022:

New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene suddenly denied a FOIL request from Louis Stephen that had included the following:

"The information I am requesting is: 1) Proof of isolation or an isolate of the SARS-COV-2 virus",

with this explanation:

"your request does not reasonably describe the records you are requesting":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/NYC-Dept-Health-and-Mental-Hygiene-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

The NYC DOMH never contacted me for clear direction on how they wanted the request to be described for their search. Obviously, they can't fulfill my request so they skirted around it!

Let me know your thoughts.

Kind regards,

Lou [REDACTED]
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

----- Forwarded Message -----

On Friday, March 4, 2022, 10:52:48 AM EST, Bernadette O'Donnell <bodonnell1@health.nyc.gov> wrote:

Dear Louis [REDACTED]

The New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) denies your Freedom of Information Law request with the above-referenced control number. Pursuant to Public Officers Law § 89(3)(a), a request for records must be "reasonably described." As written, your request does not reasonably describe the records you are requesting from DOHMH. Without a clear description of the records you seek, we are unable to conduct a search of the agency's records to determine whether we are able to respond to your request.

March 21, 2022:

New York City Department of Health and Hospitals says *"Our research department conducted a diligent search for all the prospective COVID protocols for purification methods/description of SARS-Cov2 and couldn't find any responsive records to your FOIL request. The facility research teams at Health + Hospitals have confirmed that they did not perform any purification methods of the virus. Also, please note that H+H does not do research lab benchwork."* See page 3:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/New-York-City-Dept-of-Health-Hospitals-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

FOIL request to NYC Depts of Health & MH/Hospitals re: "SARS-COV-2" purification

HHCFOIL <HHCFOIL@nychhc.org>
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
Cc: "recordsaccess@health.nyc.gov" <recordsaccess@health.nyc.gov>, HHCFOIL <HHCFOIL@nychhc.org>

Mon, Mar 21, 2022 at 1:43 PM

Dear Ms. Massey,

Our research department conducted a diligent search for all the prospective COVID protocols for purification methods/description of SARS-Cov2 and couldn't find any responsive records to your FOIL request. The facility research teams at Health + Hospitals have confirmed that they did not perform any purification methods of the virus. Also, please note that H+H does not do research lab benchwork.]

Therefore we are closing this FOIL request.

Sincerely,

Vanita Yogeshwar

Records Access Officer

NYC Health + Hospitals

March 30, 2022:

New York City's Office of the Mayor: In response to a request for records describing isolation/purification of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" from a sample taken from a diseased human, the Mayor's office disclosed that:

"After conducting a search, the Mayor's Office has not found any records responsive to your request":

Pdf:<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/NYC-Office-of-Mayor-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



THE CITY OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
NEW YORK, NY 10007

March 30, 2022

Dear Christine Massey:

This letter is a response to your request pursuant to the Freedom of Information Law received on November 4, 2021, for the following records:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Office of the Mayor describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum). Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead: - cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or - performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), and/or - fabricated a "genome", and/or - produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things. I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and am not requesting records that describe replication of a "virus" without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient information. I simply request records that describe purification (separation of the alleged "virus" from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things). Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, authored by anyone, anywhere.

After conducting a search, the Mayor's Office has not found any records responsive to your request under FOIL. You may appeal this determination in writing within thirty days by addressing such an appeal to FOIAppeal@cityhall.nyc.gov.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Lowell

Jeffrey Lowell
Records Access Officer

October 23, 2021:

The Assistant General Counsel at **Clemson University, South Carolina** confirmed for the requester that the University does not have any record of "SARS-COV-2" purification, despite their Department of Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences recently receiving \$900,000 to study the alleged "virus":
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Clemson-University-2021-10-23-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted.pdf>

Robert Wilkins <bertw@clemson.edu>

Sat, Oct 23, 2021 at 5:43 AM

Cc: Amy McKinney Josey <amjosey@clemson.edu>

Good afternoon [REDACTED]

I hope you are doing well. Your FOIA request below was forwarded to me for response. I have directed your below request to the appropriate departments. The University does not have records responsive to your request.

I hope you have a nice weekend.

Best regards,

Robert W. Wilkins
Assistant General Counsel
Clemson University
207 Sikes Hall
Clemson, SC 29634

October 15, 2021:

Illinois's Office of the Governor confirmed that they located no studies describing isolation and analysis of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" aka "SARS-COV-2":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Illinois-Officer-of-Governor-Oct-15-2021.jpg>.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
207 STATE HOUSE
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62706

JB Pritzker
GOVERNOR

October 15, 2021

VIA EMAIL
michelleturkey1771@att.net

Re: FOIA Request # 2021-268

Dear Ms. Turney:

This letter is in response to your narrowed Illinois Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") request

received on October 7, 2021 seeking:

All records of scientific laboratory studies analyzing and identifying the SARS-CoV-2 virus from an isolated sample extracted from a human being, am unable to find proof of the above.

Please be advised that after conducting a search, the Governor's Office has located no records responsive to your request.

To the extent you consider this response to be a denial of your FOIA request, you have the right to a denial reviewed by the Office of the Illinois Attorney General, 500 S. 2nd Street, Springfield, Illinois 62706, (877) 299-3642, publicaccess@atg.state.il.us.

If you choose to submit a request for review, you must do so within 60 days after the date of this response letter. The request for review must be in writing, signed by you, and include a copy of your FOIA request and this office's response. 5 ILCS 140/9.5(a). In addition, you have the right to seek judicial review of this response. 5 ILCS 140/11(a), (b).

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me.

Sincerely,


Shannon I. Leonard, FOIA Officer
Office of Illinois Governor JB Pritzker

September 24, 2021:

Wisconsin Governor and Department of Health Services confirmed for Charles R. Haeuser that they have no record regarding isolation/purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (or regarding safety and/or approval of so-called "vaccines"). (The DHS did provide attachments regarding Wisconsin's "COVID-19 Community Outreach Grant"; click [here](#) to see or download them.)
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Wisconsin-Governor-and-Dept-of-Health-Services-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

RE: Your August 14, 2021 Public Records Requests

Dear Mr. Haeuser:

This is in response to your public records requests received by the Department of Health Services on August 14, 2021. You requested:

- All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the State of Wisconsin, Department of Health Services, Governor of the State of Wisconsin, Any contracted employees or Laboratories contracted by The State of Wisconsin, Department of Health Services or its duly appointed entities describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation) of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).
- [A]ny/all internal documents that refer to, or indicate who authorized the total amount and any and all records regarding research done into the use of the word vaccine, the safety of any vaccine and any records that the Department of Health Services has that show an approval of any "vaccine or inoculation" that was approved by the FDA for safe, ethical use on anyone, anywhere.

DHS has no responsive records to the first two bullets of your request. It should also be

September 29, 2021:

Metro Public Health Department, Nashville, Tennessee advised that "No such record(s) exists, or this office does not maintain record(s) responsive to" a request from Irucka Embry re purification of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" or the complete matching of "virus genomic sequences" from victims of the alleged virus in Metro Nashville and Wuhan, China.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Metro-PHD-Nashville-Tennessee-redacted-PACKAGE.pdf>

Copies of public record(s) responsive to your request are:
 Attached;
 Available for pickup at the following location:
2500 Charlotte Avenue, First Floor, Suite 115 (Medical Records); or
 Being delivered via: USPS First-Class Mail Electronically Other: _____.

Your request is denied on the following grounds:

Your request was not sufficiently detailed to enable identification of the specific requested record(s). You need to provide additional information to identify the requested record(s).

Questions which seek information rather than the reproduction of record(s) does not require a response under TPRA.

August 18, 2021:

Arizona Department of Health Services confirmed for Timothy Martin that they have no record describing isolation/purification of any "SARS-COV-2" from any patient sample on the planet, and confuse such with private/protected/identifiable healthcare data:<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Arizona-Dept-Health-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



August 18, 2021

Sent Via Electronic Mail

Timothy C. Martin

Re: Response-August 16, 2021 Public Records Request

Dear Mr. Martin:

On behalf of the Arizona Department of Health Services ("ADHS"), I am responding to your August 16, 2021 public records request (the "Request"). In your Request, you ask for communicable disease related information, specifically records "describing the isolation of a SARS-CoV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; liver cancer cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient)."

In response to your Request, ADHS does not have any records "describing the isolation of a SARS-CoV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material." Please note that available de-identified COVID-19 data, including case data, may be reviewed at: <https://www.azdhs.gov/covid19/data/index.php>. You may also wish to check with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for information that may be useful to you. See <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/index.html>.

In addition, even if ADHS had records responsive to your Request, A.R.S. § 36-664(A) states: "[a] person who obtains communicable disease related information in the course of providing a health service or obtains that information from a health care provider pursuant to an authorization shall not disclose or be compelled to disclose that information except as authorized by state or federal law...." (Emphasis added). See also A.R.S. §§ 36-661(5), (14), (21), 36-664(C), 36-665 and 36-666(A)(2) (it is a class 3 misdemeanor to disclose communicable disease related information in violation of A.R.S. Title 36, Chapter 6, Article 4); May 29, 2020 Under Advisement Ruling issued in Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. CV2020-005385; January 29, 2021 Minute Entry issued in Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. CV2020-012030.

Douglas A. Ducey | Governor Cara M. Christ, MD, MS | Director

150 N. 18th Ave., Suite 200, Phoenix, AZ 85007-2670 P | 602-542-1020 F | 602-364-1150 W | azhealth.gov
Health and Wellness for all Arizonans

53647409.1

February 4, 2022:

Florida Department of Health finally admitted to Beatrice Scova that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Florida-Dept-Health-etc-PACKAGE-UPDATED.pdf>

Fw: No vir..

Beatrice Scova [REDACTED] Fri, Feb 4, 2022 at 8:09 PM
Reply-To: Beatrice Scova [REDACTED]
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>; "christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca" <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>

Dear Christine

We hope you are well, in good spirit and having a good day.

After much back and forth with the Florida department of Health, we were able to obtain this response below (finally) in regards to our request for the purification and isolation of the alleged SARS Covid 19 virus.

We are thankful to the Attorney in public records, Roger Andrews who did the right thing, and set the record straight, (so to say).

This is a follow up to the "other" run around responses previously from Florida as you have already posted.

On a side note we pray all is going well with you from the unlawful arrest.

God Speed and God Bless

Beatrice [REDACTED]

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "Beatrice Scova" <[REDACTED]>
To: "Thomas Grega" <thomasgrega981@msn.com>, "Beatrice Scova" <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Fri, Feb 4, 2022 at 7:57 PM
Subject: No vir...

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "zzzz Feedback, PublicRecordsRequest" <PublicRecordsRequest@flhealth.gov>
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: Fri, Feb 4, 2022 at 2:41 PM
Subject:

Good Afternoon,

Pursuant to your discussion with the Office of General Counsel clarifying your request for studies or reports for the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material, there are no records responsive to that request.

Regards,

June 13, 2022:

Rosemary Hewig acting as "Esq., Records Access Officer", **New York State Department of Health** reassured Louis that that they are still diligently searching for records proving the existence of "**SARS-COV-2**" and will only need until August 17, 2022 to respond to his FOI dated January 4, 2022:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/NY-State-DOH-June-13-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Rosemary seems to have forgotten her earlier responses to my friend Bill Huston and I wherein she confessed that the people running NYS DoH have no record indicating that "SARS-COV-2" has ever been isolated, below.



KATHY HOCHUL
Governor

Department of Health

MARY T. BASSETT, M.D., M.P.H.
Commissioner

KRISTIN M. PROUD
Acting Executive Deputy Commissioner

June 13, 2022

VIA EMAIL

Louis [REDACTED]

FOIL #: 22-01-042

Dear Louis [REDACTED]

This letter is in regard to your Freedom of Information Law (FOIL) request of January 4, 2022, which is currently being processed.

Please be advised this Office is unable to respond to your request by the date previously given to you because a diligent search for responsive documents is still being conducted.

We estimate that this Office will complete its process by August 17, 2022. The Department will notify you in writing when/if the responsive materials are available for release or if the time needed to complete your request extends beyond the above date.

Should you require additional information or wish to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me at (518) 474-8734.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Hewig, Esq.
Records Access Officer

RH/bae

Empire State Plaza, Corning Tower, Albany, NY 12237 | health.ny.gov

December 9, 2021:

10 short months is all it took for the **New York State Department of Health** to provide William Huston with a meaningless list of imaginary "SARS-COV-2" variants in response to his request for records indicating that "the virus" has been isolated. And, on February 25, 2022, they finally concluded their "diligent search" for records responsive to my purification request dated November 5, 2021, and confirmed that they found no records:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/NYS-Dept-Health-WH-CM-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



KATHY HOCHUL
Governor

Department of Health

MARY T. BASSETT, M.D., M.P.H.
Acting Commissioner

KRISTIN M. PROUD
Acting Executive Deputy Commissioner

December 9, 2021

William Huston
Terra Vigilate
P.O. Box 22
Endicott, NY 13761

FOIL #: 21-02-073

Dear Mr. Huston:

This letter responds to your Freedom of Information Law (FOIL) request of February 1, 2021, in which you requested "records which indicate that the virus* which purportedly causes COVID-19 disease, has been isolated. (* Known by various names, including SARS-nCoV, SARS-COV-2, 2019-nCoV, SARS2-nCoV- 19, HCoV-19, etc.)"

Please be advised that the culture and isolation of SARS-CoV-2 virus is a time-consuming process and a high biohazard procedure, that can only be performed in a certified biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) laboratory. Very few clinical laboratories can perform this procedure as most do not have BSL-3 facilities. The New York State Department of Health's Wadsworth Center ("Wadsworth") does have BSL-3 laboratories and as of November 12, 2021, has performed cultures on a total of 306 specimens from COVID patients, randomly selected throughout the pandemic. Due to the cost and labor-intensive nature of the procedure, it is only performed on a small fraction of samples. From these 306 specimens, the SARS-CoV-2 virus has been isolated.

The lineage of the harvested viruses from the cultures is determined by analyzing the entire genomic viral sequence following next generation sequencing. This is also a costly and time-consuming procedure, only performed on a small percentage of samples. For 85 of the inoculated samples that grew, the virus lineage (variant type) is not known at this time as the sequence analysis has not been performed.

Further, variants are only assigned a Greek letter by the World Health Organization when they are determined to be Variants of Interest or Variants of Concern. Thus, there have been numerous variants identified that do not have a Greek letter designation. For the 109 samples that have been cultured and sequenced at Wadsworth, the lineage and variant classifications identified are shown in the table below:

SARS-CoV-2 Lineage	Greek letter designation	Number of Different Samples That Grew
B.1	N/A	34
B.1.1.207	N/A	5
B.1.1.348	N/A	2
B.1.1.519	N/A	1

Empire State Plaza, Corning Tower, Albany, NY 12237 | health.ny.gov

Please be advised that the New York State Department of Health does not maintain records responsive to your request.

Should you feel that you have been unlawfully denied access to records, you may appeal such denial in writing within 30 days to the Records Access Appeals Officer, Division of Legal Affairs, Empire State Plaza, 2438 Corning Tower, Albany, New York 12237-0026.

If you require additional information or wish to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me at (518) 474-8734.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Hewig

Rosemarie Hewig, Esq.
Records Access Officer

September 30, 2021: **New York State Department of Health** advised William Huston that they have zero records indicating that the alleged "SARS-CoV-2" is the cause of "COVID-19."

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/NY-State-DH-no-records-Sept-30-2021.pdf>



September 30, 2021

William Huston
Terra Vigilante
P.O. Box 22
Endicott, NY 13761

FOIL #: 21-02-070

Dear William Huston:

This letter responds to your Freedom of Information Law (FOIL) request of February 1, 2021, in which you requested "records which indicate that the virus known as SARS-CoV-2 (a/k/a, 2019-nCoV, HCoV-19, SARS-CoV-19, SARS-nCoV-2, and SARS2-nCoV-19) is the cause of Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19)."

The New York State Department of Health does not maintain records responsive to your request. Please be advised, the Department follows the findings and directives issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Information responsive to your request can be found on the CDC's website at the following link:

<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/your-health/about-covid-19/basics-covid-19.html>

Should you feel that you have been unlawfully denied access to records, you may appeal such denial in writing within 30 days to the Records Access Appeals Officer, Division of Legal Affairs, Empire State Plaza, 2438 Corning Tower, Albany, New York 12237-0026.

If you require additional information or wish to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me at (518) 474-8734.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Hewig

Rosemarie Hewig, Esq.
Records Access Officer

RH/bae


Empire State Plaza, Corning Tower, Albany, NY 12237 | health.ny.gov

May 25, 2022:

Rector Prof. Dr. Piotr Ponikowski from **University of Wroclaw, Poland, Medical Department** confirmed that the university has no record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being isolated, period.

being isolated, period.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Ponikowski-U-of-Wroclaw-Poland-Med-dept-scaled.jpg>



May 10, 2022:

Belgium's Sciensano (Belgian institute for health) coordinates a Risk Assessment

Group "in charge of assessing risks to public health in a national and international context", validates procedures in the context of "COVID-19" and "has a legally determined surveillance task in the context of public health" (p3 [here](#)). So naturally **Sciensano** confirmed for Max De Cleyn that they have never found and purified the alleged virus from the bodily fluid/tissue of any patient and do not know who might have:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/Belgium-Sciensano-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

From: **Dieter Van Cauteren** <Dieter.VanCauteren@sciensano.be>
Date: Tue, May 10, 2022 at 2:41 PM
Subject: RE: Access to Info Request: records re PURIFICATION OF "SARS-COV-2"
To: maxdecleyn@gmail.com <maxdecleyn@gmail.com>
Cc: legaloffice <legaloffice@sciensano.be>

Dear Mr DE CLEYN

We have received your request for information of 18 April. This request was made under the law on the publicity of the administration.

We understood you request concerns records that describe purification directly from a primary clinical sample from a patient.

We do not perform such purification within Sciensano, we can detect the viral genome in the primary sample, or isolate the virus (when we know it is positive) in cell culture.

We do not know where such purification information would be available, you may contact the National Reference Center at the UZ/KU Leuven with this question.

Sincerely

Dieter Van Cauteren

March 31, 2021:

Japan's National Institute of Infectious Diseases responded that "*the researchers did genetic analysis and antigen analysis*" when asked by an anonymous colleague "*how did you isolate the virus?*" lol:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/Japan-Inst-of-Infect-Dis-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



国立感染症研究所 Info 事務担当窓口です。

お問い合わせいただいた件につきまして該当研究部より回答いただきましたので下記をご参考いただけると幸いです。

1. ウィルスの種類を確認するため、遺伝子解析あるいは抗原解析を行っています。
2. 分離方法に関する最新の情報を英文誌に公表しています。

<https://bmjopenrespers.bmjjournals.org/content/8/1/e000830.long>

以上、よろしくお願いします。

Japan's National Institute of Infectious Diseases

This is what I'm told about the 2 letters shown below:

"The first photo... is from this tweet (the requester's own account):

<https://twitter.com/un4yRFGIfQRAIZD/status/1422586472616513541>

National Institute of Infectious Diseases says that they have no documents for the scientific evidence, papers, etc. about the existence of SARS-CoV-2.

The 2nd one is from this tweet (left):

<https://twitter.com/dhBGGFoCRYyPo4u/status/1470999015534006274>

Here National Institute of Infectious Diseases says that they have no documents for the scientific evidence, papers, etc. about the existence of SARS-CoV-2, physical samples, and the records of viral isolation.

(The photo right side is the request about influenza virus.


They have no documents, physical samples, either.)

For your information, this is the paper of the "SARS-CoV-2 viral isolation" by National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Japan. Here they introduce the new type of Vero cells they have newly developed...

<https://www.pnas.org/content/117/13/7001>

Here is a pdf containing all 3 letters:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Japan-Natl-Inst-of-Infec-Dis.pdf>



March 18, 2022: In response to a request for records describing isolation/purification of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" from a sample taken from a sick patient, Finland's Institute for Health and Welfare (THL) stated the following: *"the term 'isolate' has been used in a different sense in the request for information than is well established in science and that 'isolating' any virus within the meaning of the request for information is not at all possible".*

Pdf: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Finland-Inst-for-Health-and-Welfare-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Their response:

Hey,

We have examined your request for information on 16.3.2022.
The right of access to information pursuant to Chapter 3 of the Act on Public Access to the Activities of Public Authorities (621/1999, hereinafter "the Public Access to Information Act") applies to information entered in the documents of public authorities. According to section 5 (3) (3) of the Public Access to Information Act, documents obtained for the authority's internal training, information retrieval or other comparable internal use are not considered to be documents of the authority. For example, scientific literature and libraries collected by the authority for its own activities are therefore not documents of the authority that would be provided in response to requests for information under the Public Access to Information Act. As the right of access under Chapter 3 of the Public Access to Information Act is only information contained in existing documents, new documents (such as bibliographies) are in principle produced in response to requests.
Link to the Publicity Act: <https://www.finlex.fi/fi/laki/ajantasa/1999/19990621#L1P5>

THL has examined whether it is in possession of authority documents, the subject of your request for a reply. No such documents have been found. Given that the term 'isolate' has been used in a different sense in the request for information than is well established in science and that 'isolating' any virus within the meaning of the request for information is not at all possible, it is likely that the entire file does not exist at all.

If you wish, you have the right to have the matter confirmed by an authority. If you would like the matter to be referred to an authority for confirmation, you can report it by replying to this message.

Regards,
Tuuli Karppinen
Lawyer
Department of Health and Welfare

My request sent to finnish Department of Health and Welfare (THL) is as you recommended it to be done:

Aihe: Tietojen saantipyyntö: SARS-COV-2:n ja sen varianttien eristämiseen liittyvät lähteet

Marjo Loisa
Terveuden ja hyvinvoinnin laitos
Viestintä ja vaikuttaminen -yksikkö
Viestintäjohtaja
PL 30
00271 Helsinki
Lähetetty sähköpostitse osoitteeseen: marjo.loisa@thl.fi

Tämä on julkisuuslain nojalla tehty virallinen pyyntö.
<https://oikeusministerio.fi/esiite-julkisuuslaista>
<https://www.finlex.fi/fi/laki/ajantasa/1999/19990621#L4>

Kuvaus pyydetystä lähteistä:
Kaikki Terveyden ja hyvinvoinnin laitoksen (THL) hallussa tai tiedossa olevat lähteet, jotka kuvaavat sars-cov-2-viruksen eristämistä (mukaan lukien kaikki oletetut variantit) suoraan sairaalta potilaalta otetusta näytteestä, jossa potilasnäytettä ei ole ensin yhdistetty muuhun geneettiseen materiaaliin lähteeseen (esim. apinan munuaisoluihin, maksasyöpäsoluihin, naudan sikiön seerumiin jne).

Pyydän huomioimaan, että käytän "eristämistä" sanan arkipäiväisessä merkityksessä, eli asian (asioiden) -tässä tapauksessa sars-covid-2 viruksen (tai sen varianttien) erottamiseen kaikesta muusta. En siis pyydä lähteitä, joissa "sars-cov-2:n eristämisellä" viitataan:

September 22 2021:

Finland's Institute for Health and Welfare responded to a request for evidence that the alleged "SARS-COV-2" had been purified and shown to cause "COVID-19" symptoms in humans, with a paper about cytopathic effects in starved and poisoned monkey cells. The requester subsequently notified the Finnish National Board on Research Integrity of research misconduct, but the Board insisted that a preliminary investigation would be inappropriate. Because science.

<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Finland-Inst-for-Health-and-Welfare-THL-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Elektronimikroskooppikuvia koronaviruksesta on runsaasti löydettyväissä erilaisista kuvapankeista, esim. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7164637/pdf/367_1260.pdf

Tämän linkin kautta pääsette tarkastelemaan SARS-CoV-2 -viruksen rakennetta: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7164637/pdf/367_1260.pdf

Teillä on mahdollisuus saattaa asia viranomaisen ratkaistavaksi ilmoittamalla siitä sähköpostitse THL:n kirjaamoon kirjaamo@thl.fi, jolloin saatte asiasta valituskelpoisen hallintopäätöksen.

Ystävällisin terveisin

Hanna Kaarre

toimeksi saaneena

September 17, 2021: Lithuania's National Public Health Care Laboratory provided Arturas Bartasius with useless PCR documents and confirmed that "isolation of SARS-CoV-2 virus in cell cultures [oxymoron!], electron microscopy and virus purification (filtration, ultracentrifugation, chromatography) NVSPL is not performed".

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Lithuania-NATIONAL-PUBLIC-HEALTH-CARE-LABORATORY-PACKAGE-unredacted.pdf>

NACIONALINĖ VISAUMENĖS SVEIKATOS PRIEŽIŪROS LABORATORIJA

Budžetinė įstaiga. Žolyno g. 36, LT-10210 Vilnius, tel. (8 5) 270 9229, faks. (8 5) 210 4848
el. p. nvspl@nvspl.lt, www.nvspl.lt
Duomenys kaupiami ir saugomi Juridiniu asmeniu registre, kodas 195551983

Artūrui Bartašiui
El. p. bartasius.arturas@yahoo.com

2021-09- Nr.
I 2021-09-17 Nr. (08 16.1.17 Mr)2-
113823

Kopija
Nacionaliniam visuomenės sveikatos centriui prie
Sveikatos apsaugos ministerijos

DĖL ARTŪRO BARTAŠIAUS PAKLAUSIMO PERSIUNTIMO

Nacionalinė visuomenės sveikatos priežiūros laboratorija (toliau – NVSPL) išnagrinėjusi piliečio Artūro Bartašiaus paklausimą teikia SARS-CoV-2 nustatymui polimerazės grandininės reakcijos metodu šiuo metu naudojamų reagentų aprašus ir instrukcijas. Šiuos reagentus naudoja NVSPL ir kitos SARS-CoV-2 PGR tyrimus atliekančios Lietuvos laboratorijos.

Akrepiajame dėmesį, kad SARS-CoV-2 viruso išskyrimas lastelių kultūrose, elektroninės mikroskopijos tyrimas bei viruso išgrynimimas (filtravimas, ultracentrifugavimas, chromatografija) NVSPL neatliekamas.

Pridedama:
1.TaqPath COVID-19 CE-IVD RT-PCR Kit, 1egz.
2.Allplex SARS-CoV-2 Assay, 1egz.

Direktorius pavaduotoja,
laikinai eimanti direktoriaus pareigas

Rosita Marija Balčienė

Svajūnė Muralytė, tel. +37067180340, el. p. svajune.muralyte@nvspl.lt

I think/hope this pdf contains details of an FOI response from the **Republic of Bulgaria**'s "health minister" (extracted from this website: <https://www.new-civilization.com/bg/krahat-na-virusn-1-million-dolara/#.YeNJQdHMJPa>) indicating they have no records of "**SARS-COV-2**" having been purified from any patient sample in Bulgaria ("I inform you that the Ministry of Health does not have the information requested by you and there is no data on its location"), and that diagnosis has been based on meaningless PCR and antigenic tests:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Bulgaria-excerpts-from-website.pdf>

ОТГОВОРЪТ



РЕПУБЛИКА БЪЛГАРИЯ, Министерство на здравеопазването

Главен секретар

Документ, регистриран от: (следват лични данни на вносителите)


Относно: **Заявление за достъп до обществена информация**.

Уважаеми (еди кои си)
Във връзка с Ваше заявление за достъп до обществена информация, регистрирано в Министерството на здравеопазването с вх. № 93-00-55 от 01.03.2021 г. и на основание чл. 33 от Закона за достъп до обществена информация, Ви информирам, че Министерството на здравеопазването **не разполага** със заявената от Вас **информация и няма данни** за нейното местонахождение.

С уважение, ХРИСТИНА ГЕТОВА, ГЛАВЕН СЕКРЕТАР
гр. София, пл. „Света Неделя“ № 5 тел. +359 2 9301 171, +359 2 981 01 11, факс: +359 2 981 18 33 е-mail: mailto:press@mh.govt.bg / <https://www.mh.govt.bg/bg>

На друго запитване от други хора до МeЗе-то с вх. №93-00-207/130821 да представят научни доказателства за **ползите от маските**, официалният отговор на МeЗе-то гласи (цитат): „**В заключение Ви информирам, че МeЗе-то не разполага с документи от научни изследвания за ползите от маските.**“

2021 (Jan. 9 or Sept. 1, I'm not sure which): Serbia's Ministry of Health told the ASSOCIATION OF "PARENTS OF MISSING BABIES OF SERBIA" that they have no records re isolation of the alleged/imaginary COVID-19 virus, or any of the other requested info. English translation and signed affidavit included:
<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Serbia-Ministry-of-Health-WITH-AFFIDAVIT-AND-TRANSLATION.pdf>



May & August 2021: Oregon Health & Science University failed to provide or cite even 1 record describing purification of the alleged COVID-19 virus (aka "SARS-COV-2") from any patient sample, by anyone on the planet, for the requester.

<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Oregon-OHSU-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Thank you for your patience as we worked through your detailed March 29, 2021, public records request. Your request was forwarded to OHSU researchers who were noted to be actively working on COVID-related research. A number of researchers responded and OHSU hereby offers to you the following published information for your review:

Attached article "The S1 protein of SARS-CoV2 crosses the blood-brain barrier in mice" published in the *Nature Neuroscience* dated Nov. 19, 2020.

Further, please see attached links of published research which may be useful to you:

Baricitinib treatment resolves lower-airway macrophage inflammation and neutrophil recruitment in SARS-CoV-2-infected rhesus macaques.

Hoang TN, Pino M, Bodlapati AK, Viox EG, Starke CE, Upadhyay AA, Gumber S, Nekorchuk M, Busman-Sahay K, Strongin Z, Harper JL, Tharp GK, Pellegrini KL, Kirejczyk S, Zandi K, Tao S, Horton TR, Beagle EN, Maher EA, Lee MYH, Cohen J, Jean SM, Wood JS, Connor-Stroud F, Stammen RL, Delman OM, Wang S, Cooney KA, Sayegh MN, Wang L, Filev PD, Weiskopf D, Silvestri G, Waggoner J, Plantadossi A, Kasturi SP, Al-Shakhsir H, Ribeiro SP, Sekaly RP, Levitt RD, Estes JD, Vanderford TH, Schinazi RF, Bosingher SE, Palardini M. *Cell*. 2021 Jan 21;184(2):480-475.e21. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.007. Epub 2020 Nov 10. PMID: 3278358 Free PMC article.

Vascular Disease and Thrombosis in SARS-CoV-2-Infected Rhesus Macaques.

June 21, 2021.

Via **Dr. Fabio Franchi**, author of "**COVID-19. The catastrophe caused by the virus that is not there**", Dr. Maria Rosaria Capobianchi, Director of Virology, **Istituto Nazionale per le Malattie Infettive Lazzaro Spallanzani (National Institute of Infectious Diseases Lazzaro Spallanzani), Italy** failed to provide or cite even 1 record re purification of the alleged COVID-19 virus aka "SARS-COV-2".

FOI response and Dr. Franchi's reply (including attachments) is here, with English translations:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/italys-national-institute-of-infectious-diseases-lazzaro-spallanzani-has-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification-by-anyone-anywhere-ever/>

Da: Capobianchi Maria Rosaria <[maria.capobianchi@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:maria.capobianchi@[REDACTED])>
Invia: lunedì 21 giugno 2021 20:57
A: Direzione Sanitaria INMI Lazzaro Spallanzani <dirsan@inmi.it>
Oggetto: R: istanza accesso agli atti

In merito a quanto richiesto dall'Avvocato Rodaro, si rappresenta quanto segue.

Il richiedente usa il termine isolamento a sproposito.
In Virologia con il termine isolamento virale si intende la messa in coltura di un campione biologico e la verifica della moltiplicazione del virus su un substrato di cellule vive permissive, coltivate in vitro. L'isolamento si può ottenere anche in animali da esperimento, ma non è questo il caso.
Le cellule inoculate, in parallelo con un controllo non inoculato, vengono monitorate nel tempo per vedere se il virus cresce, la qual cosa è evidente come effetto citopatico, come presenza di particelle virali in microscopia elettronica, oppure, più comunemente, misurando nel tempo la quantità di genomi virali rilasciati dalle cellule in maniera progressivamente incrementale, come risultato della replicazione del virus. Non esistono altre accezioni del termine "isolamento virale".

October 29, 2021?

Italy's Ministry of Health failed to provide or cite any study or report (evidence) (or webpage citing such) re the isolation/purification of the alleged Sars-Cov-2 virus or any alleged variant, for the requester:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Italy-Ministry-of-Health-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>





Oggetto: Istanza di accesso civico generalizzato [REDACTED]

Si fa riferimento all'istanza di cui all'oggetto, con la quale la S.V. chiede la documentazione riguardante "qualsiasi studio o report relativo all'isolamento e/o purificazione del virus Sars-Cov2 e qualunque variante (ad esempio tramite filtrazione, ultracentrifugazione o cromatografia), ottenuti a partire da un campione prelevato da un malato, non combinato con altre sorgenti di materiale genetico".

In merito, si rappresenta che il Decreto Legislativo 14 marzo 2013, n. 33, all'art. 5 (Accesso civico a dati e documenti), prevede al comma 2 che "chiunque ha diritto di accedere ai dati e ai documenti detenuti dalle pubbliche amministrazioni, ulteriori rispetto a quelli oggetto di pubblicazione ai sensi del presente decreto, nel rispetto dei limiti relativi alla tutela di interessi giuridicamente rilevanti secondo quanto previsto dall'articolo 5-bis"ma, come chiarito dall'ANAC¹, "resta escluso che – per rispondere a tale richiesta – l'amministrazione sia tenuta a formare o raccogliere o altrimenti procurarsi informazioni che non siano già in suo possesso, pertanto, l'amministrazione non ha l'obbligo di rielaborare i dati ai fini dell'accesso generalizzato, ma solo consentire l'accesso ai documenti nei quali siano contenute le informazioni già detenute e gestite dall'amministrazione stessa."

Ciò posto, in un'ottica di leale collaborazione e trasparenza, Le segnaliamo la pagina dell'Istituto Superiore di Sanità (ISS) sulle varianti² ed, in particolare, l'ultima survey sull'argomento³, nonché, sul sito web di questo Ministero, la pagina con le domande frequenti (Frequently Asked Questions -FAQ) e le risposte sulle varianti⁴.

Distinti saluti

Il Direttore generale
F.to Dott. Giovanni Rezza*

* Firma autografa sostituita a mezzo stampa ai sensi dell'art.3, comma 2, del D.lgs. n.39/1993

¹ ANAC, Linee guida 1309/2016 https://www.anticorruzione.it/-/determinazione-n-1309-del-28/12/2016-rif-1?inheritRedirect=true&rediretta=%2fconsultazione%2fstart%3D%26end%3D%22Determinazione%2520n%25201309%2522%26sort%3Dddm_ Data%20String%20sortable.

² <https://www.iss.it/cov19-cosa-fa-iss-varianti>

³ <https://www.iss.it/documents/20126/0/Relazione+tecnica+quick+survey+varianti+settembre+2021+verstione+finale.pdf/00430078-5051-0821-2066-6ad2a6312c6871-1633703643180>

⁴ <https://www.salute.gov.it/portale/nuovocoronavirus/dettaglioFAQNuovoCoronavirus.jsp?lingua=Italiano&id=250>

August 31, 2021: The **Research Institute for Tropical Medicine, Department of Health, Phillipines**. Article and FOI response: **CCH FOI Bombshell: DOH Admits No Evidence SARS-CoV-2 Isolated. Yet Government Locked Down Nation Due to Virus No Country Has Yet Proven to Exist.**

<https://covidcalltohumanity.org/2021/08/31/cch-foi-bombshell-doh-admits-no-evidence-sars-cov-2-isolated-yet-government-locked-down-nation-due-to-virus-no-country-has-yet-proven-to-exist/>

FOI response backed up here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Phillipines-Department-of-Health-response-Aug-31-2021.pdf>

I. Documents and/or communications regarding the Certified Reference Material (CRM) being used by DOH and its authorized testing centers to determine whether a person is positively infected with SARS-CoV-2.	Real-time PCR technology is reliant on the ability of the thermocycling machine's camera to correctly detect successive exponential increases in the fluorescence intensity against erratic fluorescence signals that behave as background noise. As such, the primary determinant whether a person is classified as positive is the presence of the viral RNA target in their sample.
II. Documents, lab results, and/or communications including but not limited to scientific articles demonstrating, using procedures that satisfy Koch's Postulates, that this Certified Reference Material is	In the context of the PCR procedure, the "CRM" referred here may pertain to the "positive" control that is incorporated as part of the components of the real-time PCR kit. The primary function of a positive template control is to serve as a validity checkpoint to detect general failures or errors assumed to be related to all samples belonging to the same run; that is to say that if a positive control fails, then all samples belonging to the same run are retested. The final outcome of each tested sample is tied to the performance of the positive control. The real-time RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 does not "isolate" the virus but rather detects short target sequences of the virus in clinical samples; If these target sequences are detected in clinical samples, these can be amplified and detected through the test. The positive control material included in the PCR kit is likewise not a whole virus but rather nucleic acid fragments taken from the virus or synthesized, and that which serve as the basis for (1) comparing if a clinical sample that is tested is positive for the virus; and (2) provide supporting information that the assay is working.

August 2021: **Massachusetts Department of Health** Reports "No Responsive Documents" to FOIA Request for Information on Purified Isolate of Professed SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 Virus & Delta Variant Forming Basis for all State COVID Restrictions — by Ramola Dharmaraj:

<https://everydayconcerned.net/2021/08/30/massachusetts-department-of-health-reports-no-responsive-documents-to-foia-request-for-information-on-purified-isolate-of-professed-sars-cov-2-covid-19-virus-delta-variant-forming-basis-for-all-state-covid-restrictions/>

basis-for-all/

The Massachusetts responses in the above article are also backed-up at the

following links:

August 11, 2021

Commonwealth of Massachusetts Office of the Governor told Ramola Dharmaraj

"we have no records" re isolation of (the alleged) SARS-COV-2/COVID-19 virus:

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/COMMONWEALTH-OF-MASSACHUSETTS-OFFICE-OF-THE-GOVERNOR-Response_Letter - Dharmaraj.pdf

August 25, 2021

Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Health: *"The Department has no responsive records to your request."*

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Commonwealth-of-Massachusetts-Dept-of-Health-package.pdf>

Clarification 2: Isolate means "to separate something from other things with which it is connected or mixed"---Cambridge Dictionary definition.

Clarification 3: This request is not for information on something procured by means of

- 1) Culturing something.
- 2) Nasally swabbing something from any randomly sick (with some other disease) or healthy person.
- 3) Amplifying something via PCR Test (Which its inventor Dr. Kary Mullis has clearly stated is not to be used to diagnose any disease).
- 4) the Sequencing of something.
- 5) or the Computer-Generated Sequencing of something.

The Department has no responsive records to your request.

September 2, 2021: The **Commonwealth of Virginia Department of General Services** provided allegedly responsive records that contained zero descriptions of "SARS-COV-2" purification from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere. The allegedly (but not really) responsive records are 1): the CDC's 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel, and 2) ThermoFisher TaqPath™ COVID-19 Combo Kit Procedure for the Detection of 2019-nCoV RNA by RTPCR.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Virginia-Dept-of-General-Services-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



September 2, 2021

Via email: [REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

I am responding to your request for information received by the Department of General Services (DGS) via email on August 26, 2021. In your request you asked for in brief, all studies and/or reports in possession, custody or control of the Division of Consolidated Laboratory Services describing the purification of any COVID-19 virus.

Please find attached documents responsive to your request.

I hope this information is helpful. Thank you for your inquiry.

Sincerely,

Dena Potter
Director of Communications

Commonwealth of Virginia
Department of General Services
Division of Consolidated Laboratory Services
Richmond, Virginia

CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel

I. PURPOSE/APPLICATION:

- A. This procedure is for the qualitative detection of nucleic acid from the 2019-novel Coronavirus (nCoV), termed severe acute respiratory syndrome

September 1, 2021: The **Pennsylvania Department of Health** confirmed they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification from any patient sample on the planet, by

anyone, anywhere:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Pennsylvania-Dept-of-Health-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



September 1, 2021

[REDACTED]
King of Prussia, PA

ladymohan@yahoo.com

RE: Right to Know Law Request
DOH-RTKL-COV-150-2021

Dear M [REDACTED]

This letter acknowledges receipt by the Pennsylvania Department of Health (Department) of your written request for records under the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Law (RTKL), 65 P.S. §§ 67.101-67.3104. The Department received your request on August 25, 2021. You requested:

[A]ny records that describe the isolation of a "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-CoV-2") from an unadulterated sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material. Isolate meaning a thing is separated from all other material surrounding it. I am NOT REQUESTING white papers where "isolation" of SARS-CoV-2 refers to: the culturing of something, performance of an amplification test (PCR) or the sequencing of something.

Your request is denied, as the Department is not in possession of records responsive to your request.

As a courtesy, the Department provides the following information. The Department interprets your request to seek records for the isolation of intact virus/viral particles of SARS-CoV-2.

Please be advised that the Department's molecular test processing is not isolating live virus or viral particles. The Department is isolating SARS-CoV-2 genetic material extracted from virus/viral particles in the patient specimen. That extracted genetic material is used in PCR reactions for the detection of the presence of SARS-CoV-2 genetic material in that extract.

The Department's Bureau of Laboratories utilizes COVID PCR assays which have received authorized EUAs from the FDA:

- (1) The CDC COVID RT-PCR assay described in the most recent approved update; and
- (2) The ThermoFisher COVID multiplex RT-PCR panel assay.

August 30, 2021: **Montgomery County, Pennsylvania** confirms they have no record of "SARS-CoV-2" purification from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, or any proof of "its" existence:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Montgomery-County-Pennsylvania-no-records-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

MONTGOMERY COUNTY
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
VALERIE A. ARKOOSH, MD, MPH, CHAIR
KENNETH E. LAWRENCE, JR., VICE CHAIR
JOSEPH C. GALE, COMMISSIONER



OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR
Montgomery County Courthouse • PO Box 311
Norristown, PA 19404-0311
610-278-3033
FAX: 610-278-3069 • TDD: 610-631-1211
WWW.MONTCO.PA.ORG

VIA E-MAIL
King of Prussia, [REDACTED]

Re: Right-to-Know Request No. OR21-553

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

On August 26, 2021, the open-records officer of Montgomery County received your written request for information. The County is responding to your request under the Pennsylvania Right-To-Know Law, 65 P.S. §§ 67.101, et seq. (RTKL). You asked for the following:

....I am seeking any records that describe the isolation of a "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-CoV-2") from an unadulterated sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material. Isolate meaning a thing is separated from all other material surrounding it.

Note: I am NOT REQUESTING CDC website referral information or white papers where "isolation" of SARS-CoV-2 refers to:

- the culturing of something
- performance of an amplification test (PCR) or
- the sequencing of something.

To clarify, I am requesting via disclosure all white papers / solid scientific evidence proving that:

1. the SARS-CoV-2 virus causes the illness that matches the characteristics of all of the deaths attributed to COVID-19;
2. that said virus has been properly purified / isolated from human beings, reproduced and then shown to cause said Covid-19 / SARS-CoV-2 in human beings..."

Under the RTKL, a written response to your request was due on or before September 2, 2021.

August 13, 2021: The General Counsel and FOI Officer for the **Washington DC Department of Health** confirmed for the requester that the Department has no record describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-CoV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a patient sample. Full communication:

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Washington-DC_H_DC -FOIA_001_RFI_08_11_2021-redacted-package.pdf

Your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request seeks "studies and/or reports ... describing the **purification** of any "**COVID-19 virus**" (aka "SARS-CoV-2", including alleged variants") [Bolding in original]

FOIA Response

The Government of the District of Columbia has posted COVID-19 documents, data, and information on <https://coronavirus.dc.gov/>.

When I conferred with the Director of the Department of Health regarding your FOIA request, I was advised that the Department of Health has not been involved in any research or day-to-day activities related to purification of SARS-CoV-2. No letters, reports, or documents (other than your FOIA request) regarding a purification process have been received by the Director of the Department of Health.

August 2021: **Michigan Department of Health and Human Services** confirmed that they have no record describing purification of "the virus" (including any alleged "variants") from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Michigan-Dept-of-Health-and-Human-Services-package.pdf>



RE: Public Records Request, Reference # H012477-080421.

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

This notice is issued in response to your request, legally received by the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (Department) on August 05, 2021, requesting information under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), MCL 15.231 *et seq.*

To the best of the Department's knowledge, information, and belief, this Department does not possess or maintain records under the description you provided or by other names reasonably known to the Department.

As to the denial, the Department is obligated to inform you that under MCL 15.240 §10 the following remedies are available:

1. Appeal this decision in writing to the Legal Affairs Administration of the Department of Health and Human Services, PO Box 30195, Lansing, MI 48909. The writing must specifically state the word "appeal" and must identify the reason or reasons you believe the denial should be reversed. The Department must respond to your appeal within ten days of receipt. Under unusual circumstances, the time for response to your appeal may be extended by ten business days.
2. File an action in the appropriate court within 180 days after the date of the final determination to deny the request. If you prevail in such an action, the court is to award reasonable attorney fees, costs, disbursements, and possible damages.

The Department's FOIA policies and procedures are available at [Policies and Procedures](#).

Sincerely,

Ruth O'Connor
Bureau of Legal Affairs

August 18, 2021: **California's Department of Public Health** confirmed for Kim Leonoudakis that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" (including any "variants") having been purified from any patient sample on the planet and no analysis whatsoever re the alleged "Delta variant":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/California-DPH-FOIA-P013439080421.pdf>

May 20, 2022:

California Department of Public Health admitted to **Kristen Welch** that they have no record of any alleged "SARS-COV-2" (or scariant) having been found in and purified from the bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of any diseased human on the planet (in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments) by anyone, anywhere, ever.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/California-Dept-of-Public-Health-Kristen-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



May 19, 2022

RE: Public Records Act Request, Reference # P015815-051222

Dear Kristen Welch,

On May 12, 2022, the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) received your request for the following records under the Public Records Act:

FOIA REQUEST PERTAINING TO THE SARS-COV-2 VIRUS

PLEASE SEE THE ATTACHED FOIA REQUEST

CDPH does not have existing documents responsive to this request. However, you may find relevant information to your inquiry at the following link:
<https://testing.covid19.ca.gov/covidnet/>

Further, additional papers about the isolation of SARS-CoV-2 are available through the NIH at the following link: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sars-cov-2/>

This concludes our response to this request.

Thank you,

Christa Daley

To monitor the progress, update this request, or download responsive records, please log into the [Public Records Center](#).

August 24, 2021:

California's Department of Public Health confirmed for Robin that they have no record of "the virus" (including any "variants") having been purified from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/California-Dept-Public-Health-P013542-081421-redacted.pdf>

culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or

-Produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a "virus" without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (i.e. separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

If there are any fees for searching or copying these records, please inform me if the cost will exceed \$5. However, I would also like to request a waiver of all fees in that the disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest and will contribute significantly to the public's understanding of "COVID-19". This information is not being sought for commercial purposes.

The California Public Records Act requires a response within ten business days. If access to the records I am requesting will take longer, please contact me with information about when I might expect copies.

If you deny any or all of this request, please cite each specific exemption you feel justifies the refusal to release the information and notify me of the appeal procedures available to me under the law.

Format

Electronic files conveyed to me via email, preferably in PDF format; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

CDPH has completed a diligent search and has determined that it is not in possession of records that are responsive to your request. For this reason, this concludes CDPH's response to your request, which will now be closed.

Sincerely,

Taylor St. Mary
AGPA
CID/DCDC

To monitor the progress, update this request, or download responsive records, please log into the Public Records Center.

August 9, 2021: The **Arkansas Department of Health**'s Deputy General Counsel advised Dr. James W. Smith that the Department has no record describing the purification of any "SARS-COV-2" (including any scariants) from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, ever, via filtration and ultra-centrifugation. He did however provide 2 emails re "looking for COVID 19 in wastewater" – lol. Dr. Smith will be following up to request that the response be provided in a formal, signed and dated letter with a file number. Full correspondence:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Arkansas-Dept-of-Health-no-records-email-response-Aug-9-redacted.pdf>

 No photo description available.

After a series of Freedom of Information requests beginning in April 2020 failed to yield any satisfactory response, Ricardo Maarman (working alongside **Dr. Faiez Kirsten**) challenged the **South African government** in the Western Cape High Court in May 2021 to provide proof of "the virus" that allegedly justifies that government's devastating lockdown measures.

(More specifically, Ricardo challenged the President, the National Department of Health, the Governmental COVID-19 Advisory Committee and the Minister of Co-operative Governance and Traditional Affairs. And the responding affidavit was authored primarily by the Acting Executive Director of South Africa's National Institute for Communicable Diseases.)

The government failed to provide proof or even compelling evidence, and on pages 29+ of their **responding affidavit** (starting on page 31 of the pdf) revealed that they have relied on a "well established" but unscientific approach to "virus isolation".

86.2. The nature of the SARS COV-2 has been established not only through RT-PCR in sequencing but also in electron microscopy.

86.5. There have been significant advances with new diagnostic methodologies and sequencing, and further associations are made:

86.5.1. A nucleic acid sequencing belonging to a putative pathogen should be present in most cases of an infectious disease.

86.6. The nature of the micro-organism inferred from the available sequence should be consistent with the known biological characteristic of that group or organisms.

The SA government's affidavit artfully implies that Koch's Postulates (or a variation therefore) have been fulfilled for the alleged "virus" when in fact none of them have been. It contains no mention of **purification** of the particles that have been shown in EM images (within cell cultures, never purified or in patient samples!) alleged to be "the virus", or the characterization or sequencing of purified particles, or any controlled experiment involving purified particles.

And the SA government's discussion of the Bradford-Hill criteria glosses over the fact that these criteria **presuppose** the existence and valid measurement of the potentially causative factor under investigation, when the reality is that no test ever has been or could have been validated for the never-purified, never-characterized, never-sequenced particles alleged to be "the virus".

The judge ruled that the matter is **not urgent** and struck the matter from her roll. Ricardo will be pursuing this further. The notice of motion, [hearing transcript](#), [court ruling](#), other documentation and interviews about this case are posted on a dedicated website:
<https://www.showusthevirus.info>.

The applicant has not made a case for urgency.

5852/2020_2021.05.27

/...



NZIWENI, AJ

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

A **Lisbon court document** dated May 19, 2021 is posted on the website of **Andre Dias, PhD** (as reported by Celia Farber at "The Truth Barrier," celiafarber.substack.com).

The court document's URL is <https://andre-dias.net/wp-content/uploads/Fwd-Sentencia.pdf> (and we have backed it up at <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Portuguese-court-ruling.pdf>).

According to Ms. Farber's published June 28, 2021 email interview with Dias, who is an expert in lung disease modeling, the court ruling is in regards to a citizen's petition to the Ministry of Health, "equivalent to a Freedom of Information Request... that ended up in court – with epidemiological and statistical queries", and "**the court also formalized that the ministry has no data or references about the existence of the virus...**"

Ms. Farber advises that an English translation of the court ruling is in the works and will be shared on her website once it's prepared. Below is a screenshot from the original document showing some of the questions that had been posed to Portugal's Ministry of Health, for which they apparently had no answers.

I - Cópia de publicação científica, revista por pares (peer-review), referente ao estudo sobre o grau de infecção provocada nos humanos, pelo vírus SARS-Cov2, responsável pela doença Covid-19, a partir de uma amostra não adulterada retirada de um humano doente;

II - Cópia de publicação científica, revista por pares (peer review), referente ao estudo sobre o grau de infecção nos humanos provocada pelo SARS-Cov2 obtida por via empírica e que prove que foram cumpridos os postulados de Koch/Evans (1976), indicando a data e o(s) autor(es) que realizaram o isolamento e purificação do vírus em laboratório;

III - Cópia da publicação científica, revista por pares (peer review), relativamente ao teste RT-PCR (polimerase chain reaction, ou, em português, reação em cadeia da polimerase) como ferramenta de diagnóstico fiável para identificar a infecção por vírus SARS-Cov2 em humanos, i.e., se o teste RT-PCR identifica a presença do RNA viral e a presença do referido vírus infeccioso;

July 2021: **Brazil's Ministry of Health** provided/cited zero records of "SARS-COV-2" purification for the FOI submitter, Marcella Picone. The Ministry initially claimed that the request (that the rest of world understood just fine) was unclear, but also admitted "information non-existent". In their 2nd response to Ms. Picone they explained that they have been following research conducted by other countries. See ps 2, 13, 14. Full responses:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Brazil-Ministry-of-Health-package.pdf>

Plataforma Integrada de Ouvidoria e Acesso à Informação
Detalhes da Manifestação

que corresponda a descrição acima, por exemplo ('mas não limitado a) qualquer estudo revisado por pares publicado de autoria de qualquer pessoa, em qualquer lugar. Observe também que, apesar do fato de que a purificação é uma etapa essencial (mas não suficiente) para provar a existência de um vírus causador de doenças. Portanto, no interesse da transparéncia e de acordo com os propósitos da legislação, se algum registro corresponder a descrição acima dos registros solicitados e estiver atualmente disponível em outro local, forneça informações suficientes sobre cada registro para que eu possa identificar e acessar cada um certeza (ou seja título, autor, data, periódico). Ficarei grata sempre que possível. Grata desde já. Aguardo retorno.

Proposta de melhoria:

Município do local do fato:

UF do local do fato:

Local:

Não há anexos originais da manifestação.

Não há anexos complementares.

Não há textos complementares.

Não há envolvidos na manifestação.

Campos Adicionais

Não há campos adicionais.

Dados das Respostas

Tipo de Resposta	Data/Hora	Teor da Resposta	Decisão	Compromisso	Anexos
Resposta Conclusiva	22/07/2021 15:42	A presente demanda não dispõe de clareza de dados para que seja possível compreender a informação requerida pela interessada, porquanto solicita: "SOLICITO TODOS OS ESTUDOS E OU, RELATÓRIOS EM POSSE OU CONTROLE, MINISTÉRIO DA SAÚDE E FIOCRUZ OU QUALQUER	Informação Inexistente		

The **Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency (Anvisa)** is an FDA-like quackcine-approver. In their FOI response to Marcella Picone, they explained that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification and are not required to by law, thus it is (in their minds) not their obligation to make sure that "the virus" actually exists. Full communication:

<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Brazil-ANVISA-package.pdf>

22/07/2021 SE/ANVISA - 1537031 - Despacho

ANVISA
Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária

DESPACHO N° 268/2021/SEI/GGTPS/DIRE3/ANVISA

Processo nº 25351.920427/2021-18
Interessado: CGTAI
Assunto: Recurso de 1ª Instância Fala.BR NUP nº 25072018642202185

A questão feita pelo protocolo SAT é genérica e solicita informação não disponível em âmbito da GGTPS:

"Solicito todos os estudos e / ou relatórios em posse, custódia ou controle da Anvisa, FIOCRUZ, MINISTÉRIO DA SAÚDE E MINISTÉRIO DA CIÊNCIA descrevendo a purificação de qualquer "vírus COVID-19" (também conhecido como "SARS-CoV-2", incluindo qualquer alegadas "variantes", ou seja, " B.1.1.7 " " B.1.351 " " P.1. ") diretamente de uma amostra retirada de um ser humano doente, onde a amostra do paciente não foi combinada primeiro com qualquer outra fonte de material genético (ou seja, células de rím de macaco aka Células Vero, sono fetal de bovino). Observe que não estou solicitando estudos / relatórios em que os pesquisadores não conseguiram purificar o "vírus" suspeito (separar o suposto "vírus" de tudo o mais na amostra do paciente) e, em vez disso: cultivo uma amostra não purificada ou outra substância não purificada, e / ou realizou um teste de amplificação (ou seja, um teste de PCR) no RNA total de uma amostra de paciente ou de uma cultura de células, ou no material genético de qualquer substância não purificada, e / ou fabricou um genoma com base em sequências detectadas por PCR no RNA total de uma amostra de paciente ou de uma cultura de células ou de qualquer substância não purificada, e / ou produziu imagens de microscopia eletrônica de coisas não purificadas em uma cultura de células. Esclarecimento de Pedido Para maior clareza, observe que já estou ciente de que, de acordo com a teoria do vírus, um "vírus" requer células hospedeiras para se replicar e não estou solicitando registros que descrevem a replicação de um "vírus" sem células hospedeiras. Além disso, não estou solicitando registros que descrevem um "vírus" suspeito flutuando no vácuo. Estou simplesmente solicitando registros que descrevem sua purificação (separação de tudo o mais na amostra do paciente, de acordo com as práticas laboratoriais padrão para a purificação de outras coisas muito pequenas). Observe que minha solicitação inclui qualquer estudo / relatório que corresponda à descrição acima, por exemplo (mas não limitado a) qualquer estudo revisado para parecer publicado de autoria de qualquer pessoa, em qualquer lugar. Observe também que, apesar do fato de que a purificação é uma etapa essencial (mas não suficiente) para produzir um vírus, é um fator de risco de doenças. Portanto, no interesse da transparência e de acordo com os requisitos da legislação, se algum registro corresponder à descrição acima dos registros solicitados e estiver atualmente disponível ao público em outro lugar, forneça informações suficientes sobre cada registro para que eu possa identificar e acessar cada um com certeza (ou seja, título, autor (es), data, periódico, onde o público pode acessá-lo). Forneça URLs sempre que possível. Grata desde já. Aguardo retorno."

Diante do questionamento genérico e de informação não disponível nessa GEVIT/GGTPS a resposta encaminhada foi:

Prezado (a) Senhor(a), Com base nas informações fornecidas pela Gerência De Produtos Diagnósticos De Uso In Vitro (GEVIT), área técnica afeta ao assunto questionado, informamos que a informação solicitada não está disponível na Gerência de Produtos para diagnóstico in vitro da Anvisa. Informações a cerca de purificação de vírus não são informações requeridas para registro de

https://sel.anvisa.gov.br/sei/controlador.php?acao=documento_imprimir_web&acao_origem=arvore_visualizar&id_documento=1718195&intra_ais... 1/2

22/07/2021 SE/ANVISA - 1537031 - Despacho

produto para diagnóstico de Covid, de acordo com o disposto na RDC 36/2015. Ademais, não temos produtos registrados com a finalidade de identificação de variantes de interesse do vírus sars-cov 2. Em atendimento ao disposto no art. 11, § 4º, da Lei 12.527/11, informamos que o requerente poderá registrar recurso na Plataforma Integrada de Ouvidoria e Acesso à Informação - Fala.BR, no prazo de 10 (dez) dias, contado da ciência da decisão, que será avaliado pelo Gerência Geral de Tecnologia de Produtos para Saúde (GGTPS). Para mais esclarecimentos, a Anvisa também disponibiliza a sua Central de Atendimento, por meio do 0800 642 9782 (dias úteis, das 7h30 às 19h30) e por meio eletrônico, no Fala Conosco (<http://www.anvisa.gov.br/institucional/faleconosco/> FaleConosco a sp) Atenciosamente, Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária

A resposta foi adequada, visto que a GEVIT/GGTPS não pode disponibilizar informação que não detém. Neste sentido, indefiro o recurso apresentado.

 Documento assinado eletronicamente por Leandro Rodrigues Pereira, Gerente-Geral de Tecnologia de Produtos para Saúde, em 22/07/2021, às 16:00, conforme horário oficial de Brasília, com

At the next link (from the [website](#) of award-winning investigative journalist Torsten Engelbrecht and co-author of the book [Virus Mania](#)) is an email from [Dr. Michael Laue](#), Head of the Consultant Laboratory for Diagnostic Electron Microscopy of Infectious Pathogens at [Germany's Robert Koch Institut \(RKI\)](#), an institute "within the portfolio of the [Federal Ministry of Health](#)" and responsible for disease control and prevention:

https://www.torstenengelbrecht.com/wp-content/uploads/RKI_noSARSCoV2purification-1.pdf

Gesendet: Freitag, 04. September 2020 um 19:14 Uhr
Von: "Laue, Michael" <LaueM@rki.de> 
An: "Ha San" <Ha-San@gmx.ch>
Cc: "RKI-Pressestelle" <Presse@rki.de>
Betreff: AW: AW: Re: Your shot on rki.de/DE/Content/Infekt/NRZ/EM/Aufnahmen/EM_Tab_covid.html

Dear Mr. Seidmann,

Answer to question 1:

At the time of publication of our images of SARS-CoV-2 on our homepage the virus was already identified a few months before by Chinese researchers (Zhu et al. 2020 (<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2001017>)). Other publications quickly supported this result. At the time we checked the isolates, which were available to us, the information was already impossible to be published because there was no reasonable scientific doubt about SARS-CoV-2 as the causative of the diseases. As already mentioned before, one of our scientific outputs was submitted for publication and is already available as a preprint (<https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.08.20.259531v2>).

Answer to question 2:

Isolation of the virus from patient material by using cell cultures is the standard technique, followed by identification of the virus using genome sequencing or PCR. Electron microscopy may serve as a control. Purification of the virus is not necessary for identification. I am not aware of a paper which purified isolated SARS-CoV-2. I am sure that cell culture propagated virus will be purified for particular tests. Simple purification steps, such as purification via sucrose cushion, have been already performed (e.g. Turonova et al. <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/early/2020/08/17/science.abd5223.abstract>)

Kind regards,

Michael Laue

The link for the Turonova et al. abstract, provided by Dr. Laue in the email above, is [here](https://science.sciencemag.org/content/early/2020/08/17/science.abd5223.abstract); the full text of the paper is here: **In situ structural analysis of SARS-CoV-2 spike reveals flexibility mediated by three hinges**.

The **starting point** for this paper is a so-called SARS-CoV-2 isolate named “MUC-IMB1” (referred to simply as “MUC-1” in the paper’s **supporting materials**). Turonova et al. credit G. Dobler of the Bundeswehr Institute for Microbiology for providing them this “isolate”.

I contacted Dr. Gerhard Dobler to confirm details about “MUC-1” (which goes by several different names including Germany/BavPat1/2020), and it turns out that MUC-1 is another result of the monkey-business methodology cited at the top of this page. (The emails and more details are posted here: **MUC-1 aka MUC-IMB1: just more Corman/Drosten monkey business fraud**.) MUC-1 is not isolated/purified “virus” nor was it ever shown to contain any “virus”.

Also on Torsten Engelbrecht’s website are links to email responses from authors of “SARS-CoV-2” studies, starting with the Zhu et al paper cited above by Dr. Michael Laue. **None** provided any evidence or assurance re purification of “the virus”. The following is copied and pasted from Torsten’s homepage:

Na Zhu et al. (NEJM): “[We show] an image of sedimented virus particles, not purified ones” ([see Email](#)).

Leo L. M. Poon; Malik Peiris (Nature Medicine): “The image is the virus budding from an infected cell. It is not purified virus” ([see Email](#)).

Sharon R. Lewin et al. (The Medical Journal of Australia): ““The nucleic acid extraction was performed on isolate material recovered from infected cells. This material was not centrifuged, so was not purified through sucrose gradient to have a density band as such. The EM images were obtained directly from cell culture material” ([see Email](#)).

Myung-Guk Han et al. (Osong Public Health and Research Perspectives): “We could not estimate the degree of purification because we do not purify and concentrate the virus cultured in cells” ([see Email](#)).

Wan Beom Park et al. (Journal of Korean Medical Science): “We did not obtain an electron micrograph showing the degree of purification” ([see Email](#)).

January 13, 2021: **Norway’s Ministry of Health and Care Services** failed to provide or cite any record of “SARS-CoV-2” isolation from a sample from a symptomatic patient, where the sample was not adulterated with additional genetic material, by anyone anywhere, for the requester Martiens Bekker. Request and response with English translation:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Norway-Ministry-of-Health-cites-no-records.pdf>

Fra: Riise Øystein [mailto:Oystein.Riise@hod.dep.no]
Sendt: onsdag 13. januar 2021 14:37
Til: [@vinje.kommune.no>](mailto:@vinje.kommune.no)
Emne: krav om innsyn

Hei,

Vi viser til din henvendelse om krav om innsyn fra en innbygger i din kommune.

Slik vi forstår henvendelsen gjelder det dokumentasjon på om SARS-CoV-2 forårsaker sykdommen covid-19.

Departementet vil vise til Folkehelseinstituttets hjemmesider på norsk og engelsk [Folkehelseinstituttet - FHI](#) :

Det finnes også informasjon på nettsidene til det europeiske smittevernbyrået [Homepage | European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control \(europa.eu\)](#) og WHO [Technical guidance \(who.int\)](#).

Med vennlig hilsen

April 25, 2021: the **Norwegian Directorate of Health** (which is under the Ministry of Health and Care Services) failed to provide or cite even 1 record of "SARS-COV-2" purification from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere, and provided a silly excuse instead:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Norwegian-Directorate-of-Health.pdf>



This message has been automatically translated: Norwegian -> English.

Christine Massey
<cmssyc@gmail.com>

Public Administration Act request for freedom of information to the Norwegian Directorate of Health

Torunn Janbu <Torunn.Janbu@helsedir.no>
To: "cmssyc@gmail.com" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Sun, Apr 25, 2021 at 2:09 PM

Thank you for the inquiry dated 16 April 2021.

The Norwegian Directorate of Health does not own, store or control documents with information about patients.

Torunn Janbu

Department Director Department of Specialist Health Services

Quality and course division

The Norwegian Directorate of Health

Mobile 97735457

May, 2021 **Uruguay's Clemente Stable Biological Research Institute** (under the Ministry of Education and Culture) and the **Faculty of Chemistry, University of the Republic** provided/cited no records of "SARS-COV-2" purification, by anyone anywhere, for requester María Bettina Galo. Both responses:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Uruguay-Clemente-Stable-Biol-Research-Inst-Min-Edu-and-Culture-Faculty-of-Chem-U-of-Repub-no-records.pdf>



INSTITUTO DE INVESTIGACIONES BIOLÓGICAS CLEMENTE ESTABLE



Ministerio
de Educación
y Cultura

Montevideo, 25 de mayo de 2021.

Consejo Directivo del Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas Clemente Estable

ASUNTO: la solicitud de acceso a información pública, presentada por la Sra. María Bettina Gallo, al amparo de la Ley 18.381 de Acceso a la Información Pública.

OBJETO: La Sra. María Bettina Gallo determina el objeto de la solicitud en su derecho a conocer acerca de *"Todos los estudios y / o informes en posesión, custodia o control de los informes y registros que describan la purificación de cualquier "virus COVID-19" (incluidos "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1" y cualquier otra "variante") (mediante maceración, filtración y uso de una ultracentrifuga; también a veces por algunas personas como "aislamiento"), directamente de una muestra tomada de un ser humano enfermo, donde la muestra del paciente NO SE combinó primero con ninguna otra fuente de material genético (es decir, células de riñón de mono, también conocidas como células Vero; suero fetal bovino)"*

FUNDAMENTO LEGAL: El acceso a la información pública es un derecho de todas las personas, con arreglo al artículo 3º de la Ley 18.381.

Que la Unidad Ejecutora 011 "Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas Clemente Estable" es un sujeto obligado por la Ley 18.381 a brindar información que se sea considerada pública con arreglo a los artículos 4 y 5 de la citada.

Que en aplicación del principio de informalismo a favor del administrado el Consejo Directivo de la Unidad Ejecutora va a procesar la presente solicitud ya que la misma debió presentarse por escrito en la forma establecida por el artículo 13 de la Ley 18.381.

INFORME: De acuerdo a lo hasta aquí expresado el Consejo Directivo de la Unidad Ejecutora 011 "Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas Clemente Estable" informa que no se trabaja con el virus vivo y que no se realizó la purificación del virus COVID en ninguna de sus variantes.



Av. Italia 3318. C.P: 11600, Montevideo, URUGUAY
Tel.: + (598) 2487 1616 • Fax: + (598) 2487 5461



sample, by anyone, anywhere, ever and claimed they can't understand the request.
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Uruguay-Ministry-of-Public-Health-of-Res-377-2021.pdf>

RESULTANDO: que la peticionante solicita información sobre: i) todos los estudios y/o informes en posesión, custodia o control de los Centros para el Control y la Prevención de Enfermedades del M.S.P. y/o privados integrantes o no del Grupo Asociado Científico Honorario (GACH), sin excepción, a cargo de la pandemia Covid-19; UDELAR, Facultad de Ciencias, Facultad de Química, Facultad de Medicina y el Departamento de Genética de la Facultad de Medicina, la Agencia para Sustancias y cualquier otra dependencia pública o privada o público-privada (Laboratorios de investigación); y ii) información sobre cada registro que describan la purificación de cualquier "virus COVID -19" para poder identificar y acceder a cada uno con certeza;

CONSIDERANDO: I) que en mérito a lo informado por la División Servicios Jurídicos, corresponde acceder a lo peticionado con excepción de la información solicitada que no se ajusta a los requisitos normativos, debiendo existir una descripción clara de la información requerida, así como cualquier dato que facilite su localización rigiendo para ello lo dispuesto en el artículo 13 de la Ley N° 18.381 de 17 de octubre de 2008;

II) que de acuerdo a lo dispuesto por el artículo 16 de la citada disposición legal, el acto que resuelva la petición debe emitir del jerarca máximo del Inciso o quien posea facultades delegadas al efecto;

ATENTO: a lo precedentemente expuesto y a lo establecido por Resolución Ministerial N° 38.991 de 22 de enero de 1991;

Once again, **Uruguay Ministry of Public Health** was queried by María Bettina Galo re "SARS-COV-2" purification. The answer: "*We are not aware that SARS COV 2 has been cultivated*"... even though Bettina hadn't asked about "cultivation", which is the opposite of purifying anything.

See page 20:

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Uruguay-Respuesta-MSP-SOBRE-COVID-EFECTOS-ADVERSOS-DOCUMENTO_Maria-Bettina-Galo-1.pdf

18. El Sars-Cov2 ha sido Aislado, Secuenciado y Purificado según método científico para elementos pequeños en Uruguay? Si la respuesta es Sí, indicar por favor por quién, documentación al respecto, publicación, corroborado por pares (quiénes)

No tenemos conocimiento que en el país se haya cultivado el SARS CoV 2 ya que exige condiciones de bioseguridad con las cuales el país no cuenta.

March 30, 2021: **Oregon Health Authority** confirmed they have no record re purification of any "SARS-COV-2" from any patient sample in the world: See the full wording of the request, and response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Oregon-HA-March-2021-request-response.pdf>

On Tuesday, March 30, 2021 1:28 PM, Windham Jeanne <JEANNE.WINDHAM@dhsoha.state.or.us> wrote:

VIA EMAIL ONLY - [REDACTED]

March 30, 2021

[REDACTED]

Re: Public Records Request - Studies/Reports Related to Purification of Any "SARS-COV-2" Causing Disease in Humans (2021-[REDACTED])

Good Afternoon [REDACTED]

This will confirm Oregon Health Authority received your March 29, 2021 public records request for "All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Oregon Health Authority (OHA) describing the purification of any "SARS-COV-2" (including any "variant" of "SARS-COV-2") said to have caused disease in humans (via maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge; also referred to at times by some people as "isolation"), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)." There are no responsive records to your request. This will complete your request.

Jeanne Windham
Public Records and Internal Litigation Process Coordinator
OREGON HEALTH AUTHORITY
Fiscal and Operations Division
500 Summer St. NE, E-20
Salem, OR 97301
(971) 345-1688
jeanne.windham@dhsoha.state.or.us
<http://www.oregon.gov/OHA>

May 22, 2021: **Ministry of Health and Social Protection, Republic of Colombia** admits they have no record re purification of "SARS-COV-2" from a patient sample, by anyone anywhere. Full letter:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/FOI-Colombia-no-records-package.pdf>

Radicado N°. 202142400025921
Fecha: 22-05-2021
Página 1 de 2
Bogotá D.C.,

ASUNTO: Derecho de Petición. Rad.202142400025922

Respetada [REDACTED]

En atención al radicado del asunto, en el que solicita "Todos los registros en posesión, custodia o control del Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social de la República de Colombia que describan el aislamiento de un virus SARS-COV-2 y / o cualquiera de sus variantes, directamente de una muestra tomada de un paciente enfermo, donde no se combina la muestra del paciente primero con ninguna otra fuente de material genético (es decir, células de nino de mono, también conocidas como células Vero; suero fetal bovino)", para lo cual este Ministerio se permite precisar lo siguiente:

Conforme al Decreto 4107 de 2011 el Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social tiene como objetivo, dentro del marco de sus competencias, formular, adoptar, dirigir, coordinar, ejecutar y evaluar la política pública en materia de salud, salud pública, y promoción social en salud, y participar en la formulación de las políticas en materia de pensiones, beneficios económicos periódicos y riesgos profesionales, lo cual se desarrollará a través de la institucionalidad que comprende el sector administrativo.

De otra parte, informarle que conforme a nuestras competencias no contamos con registros que describan el aislamiento de un virus SARS-COV-2 y / o cualquiera de sus variantes, directamente de una muestra tomada de un paciente enfermo, donde no se combina la muestra del paciente primero con ninguna otra fuente de material genético.

Cordialmente.

Leonardo Arregoces Castillo
Director de Medicamentos y Tecnologías en Salud

Elaboró: Lhernandez

Carrera 13 N° 32 - 76 - Códico Postal 110311, Bogotá D.C.

January 31, 2022:

Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR, "the apex body in India for formulation, coordination & promotion of biomedical research") confirmed for the requester that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" being found in the bodily fluids of any sick human and purified (which would be necessary so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments to determine if "it" actually exists):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/ICMR-Jan-2022.pdf>

2/2/22, 2:54 AM RTI Online :: View Status Form

□ □

Select Language: English

Public Authorities Available

RTI Online
An Initiative of Department of Personnel & Training, Government of India

Home Submit Request Submit First Appeal View Status View History Login User Manual Contact Us FAQ

Online RTI First Appeal Status Form

Note: Fields marked with * are Mandatory.


Enter Registration Number	INICMR/A/E/21/00228
Name	[REDACTED]
Received Date	18/12/2021
Public Authority	Indian Council of Medical Research
Status	APPEAL DISPOSED OF
Date of action	31/01/2022
Reply : No published peer-reviewed publication, that describes direct purification of SARS-COV-2 from patient samples, could be found after extensive literature research. Various papers, like the one, shared earlier, have followed a similar methodology of inoculation of patient samples in cell culture & then the characterization of the virus. This is the best paper that can be provided at this point in time.	
First Appellate Authority Details :-	
Dr Samiran Panda Phone: 011-26588272 samiranpanda[dot]hq[at]icmr[dot]gov[dot]in	
(Nodal Officer Details :-)	
Telephone Number	011-26588980
Email Id	maheshchand[dot]hq[at]icmr[dot]gov[dot]in

Print RTI First Appeal Print Status Go Back

February 14, 2022:

ICMR confirmed for another requester that they have no physical sample of purified "SARS-COV-2":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/ICMR-Feb-2022.jpg>



The screenshot shows the RTI Online portal. At the top, there is a navigation bar with icons for home, search, and account. Below it is the Indian National Emblem. The main header reads "RTI Online Version 2.0" with "Public Authorities Available" and "An Initiative of Department of Personnel & Training, Government of India". The sub-header "Select Language: English" is also present. The main content area displays the "Final Status of INCMR/R/E/21/01028". A table summarizes the request details:

Applicant Name	[REDACTED]
Date of receipt	31/01/2022
Request Filed With	Indian Council of Medical Research
Text of Application	IS THERE ANY PHYSICAL SAMPLE OF PURIFIED SARS COV 2 VIRUS EXISTS BEFORE YOU. I MEAN PURIFIED VIA DENSITY GRADIENT CENTRIFUGATION. IF SO THEN PLEASE PUBLISH THE ARTICLE EXPLAINING THE SARS COV 2 VIRUS PURIFICATION
Request document (if any)	document not provided
Status	REQUEST DISPOSED OF as on 14/02/2022
Date of Action	14/02/2022
Remarks	Reply :- Such a sample is not available with ICMR.

At the bottom of the page, there is a "Print" button and a footer with links to the National Portal of India and other policies.

December 7, 2021:

"*There is no methodology to purify the SARS-COV-2 directly from the clinical specimens of patients until the virus is isolated using in vitro or in vivo methods*", said no coherent man or woman ever. Nevertheless, this is the latest response from **Indian Council of Medical Research** ("the apex body in India for formulation, coordination & promotion of biomedical research") to a request for records of purification.

And, "*considering the biosecurity aspects, the records of the clinical specimens, isolates of SARS-COV-2 in the possession, custody or control of ICMR-National Institute of Virology – Pune cannot be shared publicly.*" Mkaay.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/ICMR-Dec-2021-CantPurifyWithoutIsolating.pdf>



आई री एम आर - राष्ट्रीय विषाणु विज्ञान संस्थान

भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान अनुसंधान परिषद

स्वास्थ्य अनुसंधान विभाग

स्वास्थ्य एवं पर्यावरण विभाग, सरकार अनुसार

ICMR - NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF VIROLOGY

Indian Council of Medical Research

Department of Health Research

Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Govt. of India

20 - ए. डॉ. अंबेकर सर्क, पोस्ट बॉक्स संख्या 11, पुणे - 411 001, मराठा, 20-A, Dr Ambedkar Road, Post Box No. 11, Pune 411 001, India.
Tel.: NIV Camp +91-020-26127301, 26006290, Fax: 26122669, 26126643 / NIV Panhan +91-020-26006390 Fax: No. 25871895 / 25870640
E-mail: director.niv@icmr.gov.in Website: www.niv.co.in

No. 1/8/2005/RTI/Admn/XVII- 2009

07th December, 2021

To



Sub.: Online Application under Right to Information Act 2005

Ref.: Registration No. NIOVP/R/E/21/00083 dated 06/11/2021

Madam,

This is in reference to your above online application no. NIOVP/R/E/21/00083 dated 6th November, 2021 seeking information under Right to Information Act 2005. The information sought by you is furnished below.

Please provide all studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of ICMR or NIV or other affiliated bodies / agencies describing the purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-CoV-2", including any alleged "variants") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; Fetal Bovine Serum).	As per our knowledge, there is no methodology available to purify the SARS-CoV-2 directly from the clinical specimens of the patient until the virus is isolated using <i>in vitro</i> or <i>in vivo</i> methods. Considering the biosecurity aspects, the records of the clinical specimens, isolates of SARS-CoV-2 in possession, custody or control of ICMR-National Institute of Virology, Pune cannot be shared publicly.
--	--

The Appellate Authority in respect of the information furnished above is, Prof. Priya Abraham, Director, ICMR-National Institute of Virology, Pune. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you may appeal within 30 days of receipt of this letter.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Deepthi Parashar
CPIO & Scientist-E

विष्व रक्तस्थ अस्पताल
उपलब्ध वायरल संक्रमणों का साहाय्य केन्द्र
संपूर्ण शीलिंग केन्द्र
फोनिको, खासगा एवं रक्तेन के लिए रक्तस्थ प्रयोगशाला

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION
Collaborating Centre for Emerging Viral Infections
National Influenza Centre
Referral Lab for Polio, Measles and Rubella

May 3, 2021: **Indian Council of Medical Research** (ICMR, "the apex body in India for formulation, coordination & promotion of biomedical research") has failed to provide/cite any record of "SARS-COV-2" purification for the requester (who asked to keep both their name and file # private); instead they cited a typical example of "monkey-business" fraud – exactly what the requester had asked **not** to receive.

Note that ICRM has fraudulently claimed to have isolated "the virus" and the imaginary UK scariant, to have been tracking "the virus" across India, has developed a COVID-19 quackcine, shares ownership rights on the quackcine and is a member of guess WHO's **Global Health Workforce Network**.

The 1 record cited by ICRM is a **correspondence** entitled "*First isolation of SARS-CoV-2 from clinical samples in India*" published in the *Indian Journal of Medical*

Research which is a journal published for the ICRM. According to the correspondence "The designated COVID-19 testing laboratories ...referred the specimens ...to the Indian Council of Medical Research-National Institute of Virology (ICMR-NIV), Pune, after screening for envelope (E) gene by realtime RT-PCR was done." Three of the authors are affiliated with ICRM.

ICMR's full response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/India-Council-Medical-Research-request-reply-redacted.pdf>

5/3/2021 RTI Online :: View Status Form

Select Language: English Public Authorities Available

RTI Online Version 2.0
An Initiative of Department of Personnel & Training, Government of India

Home Submit Request Submit First Appeal View Status View History User Manual FAQ

Online RTI Status Form

Note: Fields marked with * are Mandatory.

Enter Registration Number	[REDACTED]
Name	[REDACTED]
Date of filing	25/04/2021
Public Authority	Indian Council of Medical Research
Status	REQUEST DISPOSED OF
Date of action	03/05/2021
Reply : For all the queries a research article has been published in the year 2020. Ref:- Prasad Sarkar, Savita Patel, Pragya Yadav, et al., First isolation of SARS-CoV-2 from clinical samples in India, Indian Journal of Medical Research. This article will answer your queries:- SARS-CoV-2 was successfully isolated and characterized from clinical samples in India using Vero CCL-81 cells by observing cytopathic effects (CPE) and cycle threshold (Ct) values in real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), electron microscopy and next-generation sequencing (NGS).	
CPHO Details :-	Dr Nivedita Gupta Phone: 011-26588980 ngupta@icmr.org.in
First Appellate Authority Details :-	Dr Sanjana Pandit Phone: 011-26588995 pandit.sjp@icmr.gov.in
[Nodal Officer Details :-]	
Telephone Number	011-26588980
Email Id	devishant@icmrjdotgovdotin

Print RTI Application Print Status Go Back

Home | National Portal of India | Complaint & Second Appeal to CIC | FAQ
Copyright © 2013. All rights reserved. Designed, Developed and Hosted by National Informatics Centre, New Delhi

<https://rtionline.gov.in/request/status.php> 1/1

Yash, the requester for the above FOI to ICRM, then appealed the ICRM's response, pointing out that the study cited by ICRM was exactly what he had specified he was **not** requesting (because it has nothing to do with isolating/purifying an alleged "virus").

The July 12, 2021 response to his appeal: "The reply provided to the applicant is in order and satisfactory."

Yash's appeal and response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/ICMR-first-APPEAL-package.pdf>

16/07/2021 RTI Online :: View Status Form

Select Language: English Public Authorities Available

RTI Online Version 2.0
An Initiative of Department of Personnel & Training, Government of India

Online RTI First Appeal Status Form

Note: Fields marked with * are Mandatory.

Enter Registration Number	
Name	Yash
Date of filing	04/05/2021
Public Authority	Indian Council of Medical Research
Status	APPEAL DISPOSED OF
Date of action	12/07/2021
Reply :- The reply provided to the applicant is in order and satisfactory.	
First Appellate Authority Details :-	
Dr Samiran Panda Phone: 011-26588272 samiranpanda.lq@icmr.gov.in	
Nodal Officer Details :-	
Telephone Number	011-26588090
Email Id	devishant[at]icmr[dot]gov[dot]in

[Print RTI First Appeal](#) [Print Status](#) [Go Back](#)

June 28, 2021, the **Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR)** once again fails to provide/cite any record of “SARS-COV-2” purification and cites more “monkey-business” fraud, this time through their **National Institute of Virology**. Note the World Health Organization logo and reference in the footer of the letter. Here is the URL for the image: <https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/India-ICMR.jpeg>



No. 1/8/2005/RTI/Admin./XVII- 671

28th June 2021

To

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sub.: Online Application under Right to Information Act 2005
Ref.: Registration No. NIOVP/R/T/21/00005 dated 12/06/2021

Sir,

This is in reference to your above online application no. NIOVP/R/T/21/00005 dated 12th June 2021 forwarded by ICMR with reference no. INCMR/R/T/21/00577 dated 12/06/2021, seeking information under Right to Information Act 2005. Please find the below mentioned publications for the SARS-CoV-2 isolations by ICMR-National Institute of Virology.

- a. Sarkale P., Patil, S., Yadav, P.D., Nyayanit, D.A., Sapkal, G., Baradkar, S., Lakra, R., Shete-Aich, A., Prasad, S., Basu, A. and Dar, L., 2020. First isolation of SARS-CoV-2 from clinical samples in India. The *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, 11(2-3), p.244.
- b. Yadav, P., Sarkale, P., Razdan, A., Gupta, N., Nyayanit, D., Sahay, R., Potdar, V., Patil, D., Baradkar, S., Kumar, A. and Aggarwal, N., 2021. Isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 VOC, 20H/501Y. V2, from UAE travelers, bioRxiv.
- c. Yadav, P.D., Nyayanit, D.A., Sahay, R.R., Sarkale, P., Pethani, J., Patil, S., Baradkar, S., Potdar, V. and Patil, D.Y., 2021. Isolation and characterization of the new SARS-CoV-2 variant in travelers from the United Kingdom to India: VUI-202012/01 of the B. 1.1. 7 lineage. *Journal of Travel Medicine*, 28(2), p.taab009.
- d. Yadav, P.D., Nyayanit, D.A., Sahay, R.R., Shete, A.M., Majumdar, T., Patil, S., Patil, D.Y., Gupta, N., Kaur, H., Aggarwal, N. and Vijay, N., 2021. Imported SARS-CoV-2 V501Y. V2 variant (B. 1.351) detected in travelers from South Africa and Tanzania to India. *Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease*.

विश्व स्वास्थ्य संघटन
उम्मीद वायरल संक्रमणों का सहयोग केन्द्र
राष्ट्रीय शीतजनर केन्द्र
पोलिओ, डासरा एवं रबेला के लिए रेफरल प्रयोगशाला



WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION
Collaborating Centre for Emerging Viral Infections
National Influenza Centre
Referral Lab for Polio, Measles and Rubella

Next is a 2nd FOI response of June 28 2021 from the **Indian Council of Medical Research** failing again to provide/cite any record of "SARS-COV-2" purification and citing the same anti-science papers, this time in response to requester Trinayan Das. ICMR was also asked additional questions re PCR, and responded that it is the "gold standard" for detection ("very accurately"! "confirms the presence"!!). Full response: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/ICMR-June-28-2.pdf>

2. What are the methods used for isolation/purification of SARS-CoV-2 virus?	Virus isolation is being performed in Vero cell lines using the tissue culture techniques.
3. Is the RT-PCR test approved for diagnostic of infectious disease like SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) virus?	Yes, Real Time Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (Real Time RT-PCR) is the gold standard test for detection of SARS-CoV-2. For more details on molecular testing of SARS-CoV-2 please refer to ICMR advisory available at https://www.icmr.gov.in/pdf/covid/labs/ICMR_Advisory_Testing_System_v_10112_020.pdf and the WHO guidelines available at https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronavirus/protocol-v2-1.pdf
4. Was the RT-PCR test used earlier to diagnose any infectious disease? What is the accuracy of the test?	Yes, RT-PCR test is a widely used test to detect many infectious diseases such as Influenza viruses, Hepatitis viruses, HIV, Dengue chikungunya etc. it is widely used in biomedical science research. The test is highly sensitive and detects specific targets very accurately.

April / June 2021:

India's Council of Medical Research twice failed to provide or cite any record proving contagion of "COVID-19" or causation by "the virus", for the requester:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/ICMR-contagion-causation-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Appeal Details :-

Citizenship	Indian
Is the Requester Below Poverty Line ?	No
Ground For Appeal	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information
CPIO of Public Authority approached	Dr Nivedita Gupta
CPIO's Order/Decision Number	Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision Date	
(Description of Information sought (upto 500 characters))	
Prayer or Relief Sought	
The lockdowns due to Covid-19 has pushed millions of people into poverty and inconvenienced many people. The reason given for lockdowns was that Covid-19 is contagious and spreads from person to person.	
In the RTI I had asked ICMR for research material proving that Covid-19 is contagious and spreads from person to person. However the reply given in RTI is that this information can be obtained from google.	
This is an unsatisfactory response. If ICMR has done its own research on the infectiousness of Covid-19 then it has to share the same. Otherwise it has to give the specific web links that prove that Covid-19 is contagious.	
Supporting document (only pdf upto 1 MB)	Supporting document not provided

August / September 2021:

India's Council of Medical Research (ICMR, "the apex body in India for formulation, coordination & promotion of biomedical research") twice failed to provide or cite any record of "**Delta variant**" purification for the requester:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/ICMR-Delta-Purification-2x-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Enter Registration Number	INCMR/R/T/21/01016
Name	
Date of filing	23/08/2021
Public Authority	Indian Council of Medical Research
Status	RTI REQUEST APPLICATION RETURNED TO APPLICANT
Date of action	23/08/2021
Reply / Remarks : COVID-19 related ICMR guidelines, advisories, publications etc, that can be disseminated, are already available at public domain icmr.gov.in. Please follow the ICMR website for updation. Interrogative, personal and hypothetical questions are not covered under the RTI Act 2005.	
There is no provision under the RTI Act 2005 to seek explanation.	
Regards.	
Nodal Officer Details ::	
Telephone Number	011-26588980
Email Id	maheshchand[dot]hq[at]icmr[dot]gov[dot]in

Print RTI Application

Print Status

Go Back

September 14, 2021:

Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry of the Czech Academy of Sciences (Ústav organické chemie a biochemie AV ČR, v. v. i. (Prague)) advised that they have never purified the alleged COVID-19 virus from any patient sample, but convinced themselves they had a sample of "it" by fabricating a "genome" from RNA

convinced themselves they had a sample of it by fabricating a genome from RNA sequences found in their monkey cell culture. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Czech-UOCHB-FOI-ANSWER-14.9.2021.pdf>



ÚOCHB
IOCB PRAGUE
Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry
of the Czech Academy of Sciences

Prague, 14 September 2021
Re: Information Provided in Response to a Request for Information Filed in accordance with Act No. 106/1999 Coll.

On 1 September 2021, the following request for information was delivered to the Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry of the Czech Academy of Sciences (Ústav organické chemie a biochemie AV ČR, v. v. i.) ("Institute"). The request was filed by David Šulík ("Applicant") in accordance with Act No. 106/1999 Coll., the Freedom of Information Act, as in effect ("Freedom of Information Act").

1) What method was used by the Institute to carry out the full purification and isolation of the virus referred to as SARS-CoV-2? Provide a citation referring to a scientific paper or a verifiable methodology.

2) Provide electron microscope imagery demonstrating the full purification and isolation of viral particles of the virus referred to as SARS-CoV-2.

3) What method was used to identify the fully purified and isolated viral particles to be part of the virus referred to as SARS-CoV-2? Provide a citation referring to a scientific paper or a methodology.

The Institute has fully complied with the request and hereby provides the following information to the Applicant in accordance with Section 14(5)(d) of the Freedom of Information Act:

Re 1)

a) A nasopharyngeal swab specimen in a collection tube with a transport medium was agitated briefly five times.

b) The medium was filtered using a 0.22 µm filter and added to Vero cells in a 25 cm² cultivation bottle and incubated in an incubator at 37 °C, 5% CO₂.

c) Cytopathic effect on the cells was monitored on a daily basis.

d) After three days, the cultivation bottle containing the cells was twice frosted and defrosted.

e) The culture containing Vero cells was centrifuged for five minutes at 1,000 g.

f) The supernatant was filtered using a 0.45 µm filter, and 0.5 ml was transferred to fresh Vero cells in a 25 cm² cultivation bottle and incubated in an incubator at 37 °C, 5% CO₂.

g) After three days, the cultivation bottle containing the cells was frosted and defrosted twice.

h) The culture containing Vero cells was centrifuged for five minutes at 1,000 g.

i) The supernatant was filtered using a 0.45 µm filter, and 0.5 ml was transferred to fresh Vero cells in a 75 cm² cultivation bottle and incubated in an incubator at 37 °C, 5% CO₂.

j) After three days, the cultivation bottle containing the cells was twice frosted and defrosted.

k) The culture containing Vero cells was centrifuged for five minutes at 1,000 g.

l) The culture containing Vero cells was centrifuged for five minutes at 1,000 g.

m) The supernatant was filtered using a 0.45 µm filter, and 0.5 ml was used to isolate RNA using a QIAamp Viral RNA kit (QIAGEN).

n) cDNA was prepared using a LunaScript RT SuperMix kit (New England Biolabs).

o) cDNA was amplified by means of multiplex PCR using an Artic nCoV-2019 V3 panel (IDT) with a Q5 HotStart High Fidelity 2x master mix.

p) The products of the PCR procedure were purified, quantified, and fragmented using a NEB Next Ultra II FS DNA module (New England Biolabs).

q) Adapters for Illumina sequencing were added using NEBNext® Ultra™ II DNA Library Prep and indexation was carried out using NEBNext® Multiplex Oligos for Illumina (New England Biolabs).

r) Virus sequencing was performed using an Illumina Miniseq device, and the sequence was analyzed using the DRAStaR Lasergene software.

s) The sequences were entered into the GISAID database, specifically an isolate designated as "SARS-CoV-2/Univerzita Karlova/2020" under the code EPI_ISL_305797. The sequence corresponds to SARS-CoV-2 line 0.5.

t) The isolated virus contained the described viral proteins (demonstrated by means of specific antibodies using immunofluorescence and the Western Blot method) and was able to infect sensitive mammalian cells, which subsequently produced viral particles.

Notice

The Applicant may file a complaint against the minister in whom the request has been processed in accordance with Section 14(5)(e) of Act No. 106/1999 Coll., the Freedom of Information Act, as in effect. A complaint must be filed with the Institute within 30 days of the delivery of this document. Complaints are reviewed by the supervisory authority, specifically the Office for Personal Data Protection.

Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry
RNDr. PhDr. Zdeněk Hostomský, CSc.
Director

I personally cannot read these Czech documents, but was told:

Univerzita Karlova: "This from the No.1 university in Czech R. As answer, they inform us, that "there is broad **consensus** in the international scientific community" about precise RNA sequence of SARS-CoV2, about its chemical and protein structure and it causing COVID19."

Thus Univerzita Karlova failed to provide any record proving the purification or existence of "the virus":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Czech-university.pdf>

Existuje široký vědecký konsensus o tom, že chorobu COVID-19 způsobuje virus SARS-CoV-2, že tento virus lze specificky a citlivě detekovat pomocí řady biochemických metod včetně proteinů, z nichž se virus SARS-CoV-2 skládá, i struktura viru samotného a je známa přesná zjistit informovaným hledáním v otevřených informačních zdrojích. Univerzita Karlova však autoritativní a nesporné pravdy, resp. konečně vědecké informace.

Z těchto všech důvodů nelze žadateli vyhovět a Univerzitě Karlově nezbývá než žádost odmítnout.

Poučení : Proti tomuto rozhodnutí lze podat odvolání nejpozději do 15 dnů ode dne jeho doručení podáním u rektorátu Univerzity Karlovy (ust. § 16 odst. 1 zák. č. 106/1999 Sb.).


JUDr. Tomáš Horáček, Ph.D.
kvestor Univerzity Karlovy

Czech Ministry of Health: "This is the response of Czech ministry of health. When a proof of existence of the SARS-CoV2 virus was requested..."

The references cited by the Ministry are in English and do not describe purification of an alleged virus, let alone scientific study of such. Full letter:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Czech-ministry-of-health.pdf>



Sdělení Ministerstva zdravotnictví ke stížnosti – poskytnutí požadovaných informací

K Vám podané stížnosti, doručené Ministerstvu zdravotnictví dne 26. prosince 2020, evidované pod č. j.: MZDR 55403/2020-9/MIN/kan, Vám níže zaslávám požadované informace.

Publikace potvrzující existenci viru SARS-CoV-2:

1. Ludwig S., Zarbock A. *Coronaviruses and SARS-CoV-2: A Brief Overview*. 2020 International Anaesthesia Research Society, www.anesthesia-analgesia.org
Dostupné na: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7173023/>
2. Na Zhu et al., *A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China*, 2019, N Engl J MED 382;8, February 20, 2020 (pdf ke stažení zde: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7092803/pdf/NEJMoa2001017.pdf>)
3. SZÚ WEB: http://www.szu.cz/uploads/Epidemiologie/Coronavirus/Zakladni_info/2020_08_07_Covid_19_zakladni_informace.pdf
4. Sharma et.al. *Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2): a global pandemic and treatment strategies*. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020 Aug; 56(2): 106054. Published online 2020 Jun 10. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106054
5. Junejo Y, Ozaslan M, Saifdar M, et al. *Novel SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19: Origin, pathogenesis, genes and genetic variations, immune responses and phylogenetic analysis*. Gene Rep. 2020;20:100752. doi:10.1016/j.genrep.2020.100752
6. <https://viralzone.expasy.org/0056>



Ministerstvo zdravotnictví, Kancléř ministera
Palackého náměstí 4, 128 01 Praha 2, www.mzcr.cz

I personally cannot read this next document from the **Ukraine's Ministry of Health** dated March 15, 2021, but am told that the Ministry stated here that they do not have any "SARS-COV-2" isolate, nor do they intend to obtain any.



Державна установа «Центр громадського здоров'я Міністерства охорони здоров'я України» (далі - Центр) розглянула у межах компетенції запит щодо реєстрації в референс-лабораторії вірусологічних досліджень Центру штаму SARS-CoV-2 та інформує про таке.

Центр, відповідно до пункту 1 розділу I Статуту Державної установи «Центр громадського здоров'я Міністерства охорони здоров'я України», затвердженого наказом МОЗ України від 30.06.2020 № 1483, є санітарно-профілактичним закладом охорони здоров'я, головним завданням якого є діяльність у галузі громадського здоров'я, а саме здійснення епідемічного нагляду (спостереження), виконання повноважень щодо захисту населення від інфекційних хвороб та неінфекційних захворювань, лабораторній діяльності, біобезпеки, інфекційної безпеки донорської крові та/або її компонентів у межах, визначених під Статутом.

У референс-лабораторії вірусологічних досліджень Центру штам SARS-CoV-2 відсутній, референс-лабораторія вірусологічних досліджень Центру не проводить вірусні дослідження з метою виділення віrusu SARS-CoV-2 на культурі клітин.

Генеральний директор

Роман РОДИНА

Blaid submitted a formal FOI request to for records describing purification of "the virus" from any patient sample (not adulterated with genetic material, i.e. monkey cells, fetal bovine serum) on the planet, by anyone, anywhere. The response: "No, no such documents exist." Full correspondence:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Sweden-Public-Health-Authority-FHM-2021-08-24.pdf>

Begäran om allmän handling John Blaid 2021-08-20

From: Info Folkhälsomyndigheten <info@folkhalsomyndigheten.se>
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 2021-08-24 9:33

Hej!
Nej, några sådana handlingar finns inte.

Med vänlig hälsning,
Registrator

Folkhälsomyndigheten
171 82 Solna

Folkhälsomyndigheten
Box 505
831 26 Östersund

Webbplats: <http://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se>

October 18, 2021: **Sweden's Karolinska Institute** was unable to provide or cite any record of SARS-CoV-2 isolation/purification for John Blaid. According to John: "*This is the answer they gave me translated from Swedish:*

"Hello John!

The registrar and the communications department at Karolinska Institute have now replied that they do not have access to this information. At present, the university library does not have the opportunity to perform search assignments for external customers. One suggestion is to do a search in the freely available database PubMed. If you have the opportunity to visit us, you can also use other databases.

<https://kib.ki.se/om-kib/kontakt-oppettider>

PubMed contains the MeSH term SARS-CoV-2 / isolation and purification, which currently provides 5251 references.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?term=%22SARS-CoV-2%2Fisolation+and+purification%22&sort=relevance>""

Karolinska Institute's response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Karolinska-Institute-2021-10-18-3.pdf>

REFID: KIB0123839 - VB: Sv: Tillgång till dokumentation gällande isolering och rening av SARS-COV-2

From: kib@ki.se <kib@ki.se>
To: John Blaid [REDACTED]
Cc: KI Registrator <registrator@ki.se>, KI Communicationsoffice <communicationsoffice@ki.se>
Date: 2021-10-18 11:45

Hej John!

Registrator och kommunikationsavdelningen på Karolinska Institutet har nu svarat att de inte har tillgång till dessa uppgifter.

För närvärande har universitetsbiblioteket inte möjlighet att utföra sökuppdrag åt externa kunder. Ett förslag är att göra en sökning i den fritt tillgängliga databasen PubMed. Om du har möjlighet att besöka oss kan du använda även andra databaser.

<https://kib.ki.se/om-kib/kontakt-oppettider>

I PubMed finns MeSH-terminen SARS-CoV-2/isolation and purification, som finns 5251 referenser.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?term=%22SARS-CoV-2%2Fisolation+and+purification%22&sort=relevance>

Vill du se vilka som arbetar med frågan på Karolinska Institutet eller Karolinska Universitetssjukhuset kan du lägga till Karolinska. Då blir det just nu 29 artiklar. Du hittar kontaktuppgifter till varje.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?term=%22%22SARS-CoV-2%2Fisolation+and+purification%22%29+AND+%28karolinska%29&size=50>

Med vänlig hälsning

Från: KI Communicationsoffice (communicationsoffice@ki.se)
Skickat: 2021-10-14 16:34:33
Ämne: VB: Sv: Tillgång till dokumentation gällande isolering och renin av SARS-COV-2

Meddelande:

Hej!
Detta meddelande har kommit till Karolinska Institutets e-postbrevlåda. Vi är tacksamma för hjälp med handläggning av frågan.
Med vänlig hälsning/Best regards
Anki Israelsson
Kommunikationsavdelningen/Communications and Public Relations Office Karolinska Institutet | ki.se
Karolinska Institutet – a medical university

The Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport (the Netherlands) provided/cited for the requester no records of actual purification and control experiments to show "SARS-CoV-2" exists:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/FOI-RIVM2.pdf>

April 26, 2021: Once again the **Dutch Minister for Health, Well-being & Sport (the Netherlands)** replied to an FOI sent to its agency RIVM (National Institute for Public Health and the Environment) re purification of "the virus"; the Minister provided/cited zero such records for Gabriëlle Rutten, and cited cell culture anti-science instead. Full correspondence:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Wob-verzoek-no-records-package.pdf>

(English Translation of response from the Dutch)
Ministry of Health, Well-being and Sport

> Return address PO Box 20350 2500 EJ The Hague

BY E-MAIL ONLY
Mr G. Rutten
info@official-eft.nl

Date 26 april 2021
Subject Request for information

Dear Mr Rutten,

On March 16, 2021, I received your email. I confirmed receipt of this letter of 29 March 2021 with characteristic 2021.063/001.

By invoking the Public Administration Act (hereinafter: Wob), you request all scientific peer-reviewed publications that promote the isolation of the SARSCOV 2. You have also requested scientific publications that prove that the aforementioned virus is the cause of the disease 'Covid-19'.

In accordance with Article 6(1), the Wob only applies to information documented in documents which are not already public. The requested information concerns public information. Next I will indicate where you can find the relevant information.

The coronavirus Sars-Cov-2 is the virus that can cause the disease COVID-19. More information about this can be found on the website of the Government Institute for Public Health and the Environment (hereinafter: RIVM) under the heading 'virus' (www.rivm.nl/coronavirus-covid-19/virus). For the scientific reports about the existence of Sars-Cov2 I refer you to the following websites:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7159086/> and
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31978945/>.

In addition to the website of the RIVM mentioned above, you might also like to visit the following RIVM website: <https://ci.rivm.nl/richtlijnen/covid-19>. On this website you will find relevant literature.

If you have any questions about this letter, please feel free to contact the Wob cluster. The contact details are at the top of this letter.

Sincerely,

the Minister for Health,
Well-being and Sport,
on behalf of this,
the Director of Legislation and Legal Affairs,
Mr. M.M. den Boer

March 15, 2022:

The **Dutch Minister for Health, Well-being & Sport (Netherlands)** responded to a request for "reliable evidence that SARS-CoV-2 (or any other so-called virus) has been isolated from an "unadulterated sample from a sick patient" (or a sick animal) and that that so-called virus can be transmitted to another person (or animal) and then cause the same so-called disease there" with 2 nonresponsive studies that describe the fabrication of "genomes" never shown to correspond to anything in the physical realm, and "isolation" in cell culture (oxymoron).

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/1Netherlands-Min-Health-Welfare-Sport-PACKAGE-redacted-updated-Nov-2022.pdf>



Mocht u nog vragen hebben over deze brief, neemt u dan gerust contact op met de Programmadirectie Openbaarheid. De contactgegevens staan bovenaan deze brief.

Hoogachtend,

de Minister van Volksgezondheid,
Welzijn en Sport,
namens deze,
de directeur Programmadirectie Openbaarheid,



mr. C.A. Grezel

Pagina 1 van 1

January 19, 2022:

Department of Health and Social Care, Isle of Man (British Isles) confirmed for Courtenay Adam-Lawrence that they have no record of the following for either the alleged "Omicron" or "Kent Strain" of the imaginary "SARS-COV-2":

- unique whole genome sequence
- test procedures
- isolation/purification
- contagion
- confirmation that "it" is not simply an in-silico computer made-up model of a strain of a virus:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Isle-of-Man-DHSC.pdf>

While our aim is to provide information whenever possible, in this instance the Department of Health and Social Care ('the Department') is unable to provide the information that you have requested. This is in line with Section 11(3)a of the Act, as a practical refusal reason applies; namely we do not hold or cannot, after taking reasonable steps to do so, find the information that you have requested as it may be held by **Manx Care**.

Feb 18, 2021: The **Isle of Man's Department of Health and Social Care** admitted in a FOI response to Mr. Steven Gardner that:

- **"the virus" is not isolated/purified;**
- 45 PCR cycles!

and gave false info re sequences used in PCR "tests". Full unredacted pdf:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Isle-of-Man-FOI-response.pdf>

Clarification received:

Has the SarsCov2 been isolated and purified. To be proven scientifically and proven the virus causes disease.

Question 1:

Has Covid 19/21 been isolated?

Regarding SARS-CoV-2 the virus is not isolated.

Question 2:

Has covid 19/21 been purified?

Regarding SARS-CoV-2 it is not purified.

Question 3:

Has there been a risk assessment on masks?

The Department has and does risk assessments on masks.

Question 4:

Have all places of business who have mandatory masks done a risk assessment or should they do a risk assessment, in regards to masks? For their employees and customers.

While our aim is to provide information whenever possible, in this instance the Department of Health and Social Care ("the Department") is unable to provide the information that you have requested. This is in line with Section 11(3)a of the Act, as a practical refusal reason applies; namely we do not hold or cannot, after taking reasonable steps to do so, find the information that you have requested.

Places of business are responsible for undertaking their own risk assessments and setting their own policies for wearing masks.

To provide further advice and assistance guidance on face coverings, including 'face coverings at work' is available within the public domain at:

<https://covid19.gov.im/general-information/guidance-on-face-coverings/>

Question 5:
Is the sequence in the PCR test SarsCov2?
Yes, the sequence in the PCR test is SARsCov2

Question 6:
What amplifications has the PCR test been run at?
The amplification is 45 cycles.

August 19, 2022:

Claire O'Connell, acting as Information Compliance Officer at **University of**

Canterbury, New Zealand, confessed to Michael S. that they have no scientific proof of the existence of SARS-COV-2:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/2022-08-19-University-of-Canterbury-SARS-COV-2-Proof-of-Existance-Redacted-1.pdf>

Office of the Registrar
Information and Records Management
tel: 03 442 4100
fax: 03 442 4101
Email: recordsmanagement@canterbury.ac.nz
Web: www.canterbury.ac.nz/records

UC
UNIVERSITY OF
CANTERBURY
Te Whare Wānanga o Waitaha
KĀREKAREWAHĀ, NEW ZEALAND

19 August 2022
[REDACTED]

Official Information Act request 22/45 SARS-COV-2 Proof of Existence

I refer to the Official Information Act (the Act) request dated 3 August 2022 for information relating to the existence of SARS-COV-2. You have requested the following:

All records in the possession, custody or control of The University of Canterbury that scientifically proves the existence of SARS-COV-2 virus.

Scientific proof is NOT

- Opinions
- Speculation
- Review papers
- Descriptive papers

Scientific Proof is

- Experiments that follow the scientific method
- Repeatable experiments that produce identical results
- Experiments of have valid control groups

Scientific Method

The scientific method is a process used to prove or disprove hypotheses. My request requires the hypothesis to include the claim of existence of SARS-COV-2 particles. If the records do not have a hypothesis that claims the existence of SARS-COV-2, then these records are disqualified from my request.

Repeatability

I am requesting records that only use the scientific method and where the experiments have been repeated multiple times where the results were 100% the same. For any of the SARS-COV-2 Genome sequences, multiple experiments must have been conducted and produced a 100% match for the SARS-COV-3 genome sequence for researchers found. Records that have not been repeated with 100% matches for the SARS-COV-2 genome are disqualified from my request.

Controls

University of Canterbury has a Log onto Christchurch, New Zealand: www.canterbury.ac.nz

A control experiment is an experiment that has exactly the same parameters of the experimental group sans the variable being tested. For cell culture experiments, the control group should have exactly the same composition sans the SARS-COV-2 viruses. Experiments that do not have a valid control as defined are disqualified from my request.

Summary

Please provide all records that scientifically prove the existence of SARS-COV-2 as defined in this request if any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public. Please also provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Record Search

I do not expect the University to search students' computers, labs' computers, or even researchers' computers. I expect the University to direct this request to the appropriate department who have COVID experts such as Professor Michael Plank.

Format: Pdf documents sent to me via email. I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Please note that the University of Canterbury (UC) does not have a Faculty of Medicine. No one at UC has undertaken any experiments in relation to SARS-COV-2. UC does not hold the requested information. Therefore, the request is refused under s 3(b)(e), as the document alleged to contain the information does not exist.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours sincerely

Claire O'Connell
Claire O'Connell
Information Compliance Officer

August 9, 2022:

Jan Torres, acting as Manager, OIA Services, **Ministry of Health, New Zealand**, has confessed to my colleague Michael S. that no one at the Ministry has any records that scientifically demonstrate the existence of "SARS-COV-2" or that "it" causes "COVID-19".

Further, they see no reason on Earth to think that any government agency does, and so naturally this makes Michael's requests "vexatious" and they'd really rather never hear from him again.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/NZ-MOH-no-science-re-Existance-and-Causation-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



133 Molesworth Street
PO Box 5013
Wellington 6140
New Zealand
T +64 4 496 2000

9 August 2022

Ref: H2022007956
H2022009478

Response to your request for official information

Thank you for your requests under the Official Information Act 1982 (the Act) to Manatū Hauora (Ministry of Health) on 19 July 2022 and 4 August 2022 for information about the SARS-CoV-2 virus and COVID-19 disease. Rather than repeat your requests in full, they are attached as Appendix 1 to this response.

On 23 May 2022, in response to the latest in a series of requests about the existence of viruses and whether they caused disease, you were advised:

"As you have been advised previously, particularly in the context of the SARS- COV-2 virus, Poliovirus and Marburg viruses, the Ministry does not hold this information. There is no requirement under the Act for the agencies subject to it to create new information or compile information they do not hold. Your request is therefore refused under section 18(g)(i) as the information requested is not held by the Ministry and there are no grounds for believing it is held by another agency subject to the Act. Please note the Ministry does not conduct scientific research or studies. Please refer to online scientific studies for further information: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>. You have been advised that should you make further requests for the same information the Ministry may refuse your requests under section 18(h) of the Act, as they may be considered frivolous or vexatious."

As you have continued to ask for information to which the Ministry has previously provided a response, these requests are refused under section 18(h) as vexatious.

Under section 28(3) of the Act, you have the right to ask the Ombudsman to review any decisions made under this request. The Ombudsman may be contacted by email at: info@ombudsman.parliament.nz or by calling 0800 802 602.

Nāku noa, nā

Jan Torres
Acting Manager OIA Services
Government and Executive Services

July 29, 2022:

Back in July, some anonymous man or woman working for Ministerial Services, Labour, Science and Enterprise, **Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment, New Zealand** confessed to my colleague Michael S. that, despite their quarantine policy, it would be too much work for anyone at MBIE to search their records for any that scientifically prove the existence of SARS-COV-2. They refused Michael's FOI on this basis, and also claimed that the required records are publicly available, and that they "understand" that the virus exists based on hearsay evidence. Michael followed up with a complaint, seeking remedy via provision of the alleged records or a retraction of MBIE's claim that the virus exists.

<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/NZ-Min-Business-Innovd-Employ-no-science-re-existence.pdf>

amount of work to collate. Your request is therefore subject to a technical refusal in reliance on section 18(f) of the OIA, as the information requested cannot be made available without substantial collation or research.

Information about the existence of COVID-19 is available through publicly available resources. Your request is therefore also subject to refusal in reliance on section 18(d) of the Act, as the information requested is publicly available. Please note that MBIE is not required to create information in order to respond to a request under the Act, per your request for MBIE to identify and list where to access specific publicly available records.

August/September 2022 update:

An anonymous complaint response letter indicates that "**MBIE formed part of the all of government response to COVID-19**" (sic) and the people at MBIE stand by their reliance on hearsay evidence. Further, they admitted to Michael that "**you are however correct that we cannot identify a specific piece of information which falls within the specific parameters of your request**", but continued to refuse his FOI based on their assumptions that they 1) do have scientific records and 2) it would

take too much work to find them. They concluded by suggesting that Michael give up and talk to the Ministry of Health instead... as if he hadn't already done that repeatedly **since 2020**. Michael followed this inanity with another complaint, again seeking remedy via provision of the alleged scientific records or an admission that no such records exist. The latest anonymous complaint response letter from MBIE indicates that they stand by 1) their reliance on hearsay, 2) their assumption that they do have scientific records, and 3) their refusal of the FOI on the grounds that attempting to confirm their assumption would be too much work and would unreasonably impact their **criminal racket** operations.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/NZ-MBIE-complaint-responses-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

MBIE's response under the OIA

MBIE formed part of the all of government response to COVID-19 and therefore holds general information about scientific work that has been undertaken about COVID-19. However, if MBIE holds information that meets your criteria then it will not have been produced by MBIE (MBIE does not undertake this type of scientific research).

MBIE has not undertaken work to determine which of its records relating to the existence of COVID-19 meet the detailed parameters set out in your email. As noted, this would require a considerable amount of work to collate. Therefore, your request has been refused under section 18(f) of the OIA (the information requested cannot be made available without substantial collation or research). If such collation work was undertaken and it was found that MBIE did not hold such information then your request would be declined in reliance on section 18(e) of the OIA.

As noted above we did identify that much of the information falling within the broad topic of your request was already publicly available. This resulted in your request also being declined under section 18(d) of the Act. **You are however correct that we cannot identify a specific piece of information which falls within the specific parameters of your request**. To that end your request should not have been refused on this ground; the refusal grounds under 18(f) remain.

MBIE would again emphasise that other public agencies such as the Ministry of Health may be better placed to address your request and provide you with information meeting the specific criteria in your original request.

April 8, 2022:

New Zealand's Serious Fraud Office (that rejected a complaint re the covid fraud) admitted to Michael S. that they have no record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being found in and purified from any disease human on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/SFO-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted-2022-04-08-.pdf>



8 April 2022

[REDACTED]

Request for information about SARS-COV-2 Purification and Variants CON001004

We refer to your email dated 31 March 2022 in which you requested the following information:

Note:

Note: I do not transfer my request to any other organisation. I have already received responses from MOH, ESF, NZ Defence Force, Universities and many more. Since the Serious Fraud Office has rejected my claim CON0008847 after almost 1 year of investigation, I am now seeking a direct response from the Serious Fraud Office. The SFO must have this evidence when MOH, ESF, NZS CDC can't have it in order to reject my claim. So I am asking for direct evidence of SARS-COV-2. Otherwise, SFO has to admit that they have no record of it and do their job addressing the serious and complex fraud committed by ESF, University of Otago, MOH and the Prime Minister.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of Serious Fraud Office

Includes any record matching the above description. For example (but not limited to): any published and peer-reviewed study undertaken by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been disseminated or received by Serious Fraud Office and relied on as evidence of a disease causing "virus".

Please note, I am requesting all records regardless if the records are publicly available or private/available.

If any records match the above description, please provide records and are currently available. If records are not available, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Format:

PDF documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Completeness:

describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum);

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by Serious Fraud Office or that person to work done at/s by Serious Fraud Office. Rather, my request

Please Note, this is not a complex request nor is it a request that will generate too many records to search through. I have already done a preliminary record search through Google Scholar and Google Scholar search engines open on this subject. I have not found any responsive, publicly and privately available scientific records including records received and published by the USA CDC.

We have considered your request under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA).

We do not hold any of the information you request. Accordingly, we refuse your request on the basis that the requested information does not exist pursuant to 18(e) of the OIA.

You are entitled to make a complaint about our decision to the Office of the Ombudsman. They can be contacted at P O Box 10352, Wellington 6143 or at office@ombudsman.parliament.nz.

Yours sincerely

Kylie Cooper
Acting General Counsel

January 28, 2022:

New Zealand's Security Intelligence Service confirmed they have no record of anyone on the planet ever having found the alleged "SARS-COV-2" in the fluids taken from any diseased human, so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments... to see if "it" actually exists as claimed:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/2022-01-28-NZ-SIS-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted.pdf>



New Zealand
Security Intelligence
Service
Te Pā Whakamarumaru

DMS42-6-8091
New Zealand
Security Intelligence Service
PO Box 900, Wellington

P +64 4 472 6170
F +64 4 472 8209

28 January 2022

Dear [REDACTED]

Official information request

Thank you for your Official Information Act 1982 (OIA) request of 13 December 2021 seeking information describing the purification of the Covid-19 virus.

You have specifically asked for: "All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The New Zealand Security Intelligence Service (NZSIS) describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)."


In response, I can advise that we have searched our records and we do not hold the information you are seeking. Your request therefore falls under section 18(e) of the OIA – the information you have asked for does not exist.

Review

If you wish to discuss this decision with us, please feel free to contact oia.privacy@nzsis.govt.nz.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours sincerely


Rebecca Kitteridge

Te Tumu Whakarae mō Te Pā Whakamarumaru
Director-General of Security

January 28, 2022:

New Zealand's Government Communications Security Bureau admitted they have no record of anyone on the planet ever having found the alleged "SARS-COV-2" in the fluids taken from any diseased human, so that "it" could be sequenced,

characterized and studied with controlled experiments... to see if "it" actually exists as claimed:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/2022-01-28-NZ-GCSB-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted.pdf>



GOVERNMENT
COMMUNICATIONS
SECURITY BUREAU
TE TIRA TIAKI

PO Box 12-209
Wellington 6144
P +64 4 472 6881
F +64 4 499 3701
www.gcsb.govt.nz

28 January 2022

Dear [REDACTED]

Official information request

I refer to your Official Information Act 1982 (OIA) request of 13 December 2021, in which you ask for:

"All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The Government Communications Security Bureau (GCSB) describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)."

We have searched our records and we do not hold studies or reports described in your request. I am therefore refusing your request under section 18(e) of the OIA, as the information you have asked for does not exist.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours sincerely

Andrew Hampton
Te Tumu Whakarae mō Te Tira Tiaki
Director-General, Government Communications Security Bureau

January 25, 2022:

New Zealand's Ministry of Justice admitted they have no record of anyone on the planet ever having found the alleged "SARS-COV-2" in the fluids taken from any diseased human, so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments... to see if "it" actually exists as claimed:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/2022-01-25-NZ-MoJ-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted.pdf>



Justice Centre | 19 Aitken Street
DX 510088 | Wellington
T 04 918 8800 | F 04 918 8820
contactus@justice.govt.nz | www.justice.govt.nz

25 January 2022

Dear [REDACTED]

Official Information Act request: Purification of COVID-19

Thank you for your email of 22 December 2021 requesting, under the Official Information Act 1982 (the Act), records describing the purification of the COVID-19 virus. Specifically, you have requested:

"...As the Ministry of Justice is making rulings on the COVID-19 Public Health Response Act, the Ministry of Justice MUST have records for the purification of

Our ref: OIA 92901

SARS-COV-2 viruses directly from humans as these records would prove there is a weapon in every person said to cause murder in other people. Without this evidence, the Ministry of Justice would not be able to rule in favor of the COVID-19 Public Health Response act as the Ministry has been, so I am assuming the Ministry has the records that I am requesting (which no other organization that I have requested has such as MOH, ESR, NZ Defence Force, University of Otago, and many more).

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of Ministry of Justice describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)."

I am refusing your request under s18(g)(i) of the Act, as the Ministry of Justice does not hold the information requested. I have no grounds for believing the information is held by another department (beyond any responses you have received from other agencies you have specified).

The Ministry of Justice does not decide whether a new law comes into force nor does it interpret or make decisions on existing laws. The role of the Ministry, as a part of the Executive, is to provide advice on any new policy or bill to Ministers. On issues relating to

December 13, 2021:

New Zealand Defence Force confirmed for Michael S. in NZ that they have no record describing purification of "SARS-COV-2" from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/NZ-Defence-Force-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted-2021-12-13-.pdf>



Headquarters
New Zealand Defence Force
Defence House
Private Bag 39997
Wellington Mail Centre
Lower Hutt 5045
New Zealand

OIA-2021-4275

/3 December 2021



I refer to your request of 25 November 2021, under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA), for the following:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The New Zealand Defence Force (NZDF) describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Noting you have asked that this request not be transferred, the response only addresses information held by the New Zealand Defence Force (NZDF). Your request is declined in accordance with section 18(e) of the OIA as no relevant studies or reports are held by the NZDF.


You have the right, under section 28(3) of the OIA, to ask an Ombudsman to review this response to your request.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "AJ Woods".
AJ WOODS
Air Commodore
Chief of Staff HQNZDF

November 19, 2021:

Tauranga City Council, New Zealand advised the requester that they have no record describing purification of any "SARS-COV-2" or "variants" from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere... ...however they do take 24 hour wastewater composite samples, send them to ESR for meaningless "analysis" and "tests" (that have no gold standard and thus are impossible to validate) which are then forwarded to the Ministry of Health. Because science. Pdf:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Tauranga-City-Council-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted-2021-11-19-.pdf>



August 17, 2022:

Michael S. has been busy again, this time obtaining an admission from **New Zealand's Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR)** that they have **no record showing that the in silico "virus" causes the alleged "COVID-19"**:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/2022-08-29-ESR-SARS-COV-2-Proof-of-Causation-Redacted.pdf>


Our response to your request:

ESR has not performed any experiments to scientifically prove that SARS-COV-2 virus causes COVID-19 and can therefore not provide you with any records.

We are therefore not able to release any information relating to this request under Section 18(e) of the Official Information Act 1982 as the information requested does not exist.

July 19, 2022:

Jill Vintiner, acting as Joint General Manager, Health and Environment Group, **Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR – crown research institute)**, **New Zealand** has issued yet another confession on behalf of everyone at ESR, this time stating that.... they have no record that scientifically proves the existence of the alleged "SARS-COV-2": <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/NZ-ESR-No-Proof-Of-Existence.pdf>



July 12, 2022:

Jill Vintiner, acting as Joint General Manager, Health and Environment Group, **Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR – crown research institute), New Zealand** has issued yet another confession on behalf of everyone at ESR that: they have no record of the phantom “**SARS-COV-2**” (including any “variants”) being found in and purified from the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, ever. Jill also provided unhelpful, irrelevant links to documentation on nucleic acid isolation kits, claiming they have been used to purify RNA from said phantom never-shown-to-exist “virus”.

(Jill irrationally equates purification of RNA taken from heterogenous soups – i.e. monkey/cow/human/bacteria/fungi mixtures – with purification of RNA from a purely theoretical virus.)

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/NZ-ESR-July-2022-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



12 July 2022

By email:

Dear [REDACTED]

Official Information Act Request: OIA: Isolation and Purification of the SARS-COV-2 virus

On 13 June 2022 you sent a request for information under the Official Information Act 1982 (Act) to ESR as follows:

This is a formal request for access to general records under the Freedom Of Information Act.

Description Of Requested Records

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control describing the purification and isolation of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 or COVID-19 virus including any alleged variants of relevance directly from a sample taken from a human specimen where the patient was not first combined with any other sources other genetic material (aka monkey kidney cells)

Clarification Of Request

*Please note I am not requesting studies/reports of failed purification of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus. I simply request records that describe **purification** (separation of the alleged virus from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).*

If any records match the above description stated

please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e., title, author(s), date, journal, where the public can access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Our response to your request:

ESR does not have any studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of ESR describing the purification and isolation of SARS-CoV-2 or COVID-19 virus including any variants of relevance, directly from a normal human or human organ specimen where the patient

variants or relevance directly from a sample taken from a human specimen where the patient was not first combined with any other sources or other genetic material. Accordingly we rely on section 18(e) of the Act – the information requested does not exist in ESR's files.

At ESR, we use the Thermo Fisher MagMAX Viral and Pathogen Nucleic Acid Isolation Kits on the KingFisher sample purification system kits and reagents to purify SARS-CoV-2 RNA from swab samples collected from human patients and direct you to these links below:

[\(MagMAX Viral and Pathogen Nucleic Acid Isolation Kits \(RUO\) | Thermo Fisher Scientific - NZ \)](#)

[KingFisher Sample Purification System Kits & Reagents | Thermo Fisher Scientific - NZ](#)

INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH LIMITED
Kempuru Science Centre, 34 Kempuru Drive, Kempuru, Porirua 5022. | PO Box 50348, Porirua 5240, New Zealand
T: +64 4 934 0700 F: +64 4 934 0770

[www.esr.cri.nz](#)

August 23, 2021:

Once again **New Zealand's Ministry of Health** confirmed for my colleague in NZ that neither they nor New Zealand's crown research institute, the **Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR)**, have any record of the phantom "SARS-COV-2" (including any "variants") purified from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/NZ-MOH-2021-08-23-SARS-COV-2-Variants-Purification-Request-Response-Redacted-1.pdf>

to work done at/by the NZ Ministry of Health. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description, for example (but not limited to): any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed by the NZ Ministry of Health and relied on as evidence of a disease-causing "virus".

The Ministry does not hold any information, authored by any party, in relation to your request. The Ministry has also confirmed that Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR) does not hold any information relating to your request.

Therefore, your request is refused under section 18(g)(i) as the information requested is not held by the Ministry and there are no grounds for believing it is held by another agency subject to the Act.

Please be aware that should you make further requests for the same information the Ministry may refuse your requests under section 18(h) of the Act, as they may be considered frivolous or vexatious.

Under section 28(3) of the Act you have the right to ask the Ombudsman to review any decisions made under this request. The Ombudsman may be contacted by email at: info@ombudsman.parliament.nz or by calling 0800 802 602.

Ngā mihi,



Nick Allan
Manager OIA Services

Statement of confidentiality: This e-mail message and any accompanying attachments may contain information that is IN-CONFIDENCE and subject to legal privilege.
If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, use, disseminate, distribute or copy this message or attachments.
If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this message.

This e-mail message has been scanned for Viruses and Content and cleared by the Ministry of Health's Content and Virus Filtering Gateway

August 26, 2021:

Once again **New Zealand's** crown research institute, the **Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR)**, confirms they have no record of the phantom "SARS-COV-2" (including any "variants") purified from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/NZ-ESR-2021-08-26-Purification-SARS-COV-2-Variants.pdf>



Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by ESR or that pertain to work done at/by ESR. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description, for example (but not limited to): any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed by ESR and relied on as evidence of a disease-causing "virus".

Our response to your request:

Using the definition of 'purification' that you refer to in your request, ESR does not hold any records describing 'purification' of SARS-CoV-2.

We cannot provide papers that staff in ESR have downloaded or printed which may apply to your request using your definition of 'purification' as the information cannot be made available without substantial collation or research pursuant to section 18(f) of the Act. We have considered section 18A of the Act and consider that even if we were to charge you for the time to check and collate any relevant materials and extend the timeframe of the request, this would still unduly affect our team who are supporting New Zealand's COVID-19 response work.

Your right to seek a review

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Thank you for your request.

Yours sincerely



Jill Vintner
Joint General Manager health and Environment Group – Health
ESR

INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH LIMITED
Kereruhi Science Centre, 34 Kerepuna Drive, Kereruhi, Porirua 5022 | PO Box 50348, Porirua 5240, New Zealand
T: +64 4 934 0700 | F: +64 4 934 0770 | www.esr.cri.nz

New Zealand's Ministry of Health and NZ's crown research institute, the Institute of Environmental Science and Research admitted they have no records of "SARS-CoV-2" isolation: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/new-zealand-no-record-of-covid-19-virus-isolation-at-the-ministry-of-health-or-the-institute-of-environmental-science-and-research/>

Here are 5 pages of pure gold, evidencing masterful evasion plus stunning incompetence and/or fraud from **New Zealand's Ministry of Health**. Instead of providing the requested records of "SARS-CoV-2" isolation/purification and proof of accurate diagnostic tests, they blathered about genomes and cultures of the never-isolated imaginary virus; stated that PCR tests have been validated around the world and are the gold standard; and cited a February 2020 preliminary report ("The Pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 in hACE2 Transgenic Mice") that used the so-called "SARS-CoV-2" strain that had been concocted by Zhu et al. and claimed that Koch's Postulates had been fulfilled.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/NZ-Min-Health-2nd-FOI-no-records.pdf>

In relation to COVID-19, the RT-qPCR test for viral RNA is considered as 'gold standard'. This test has been validated by several means including as a purified virus. However, the most definitive comparison is when PCR's are sequenced as they are mapped to the SARS-CoV-2 viral genome. This mapping is definitive proof that the test is picking up the target SARS-CoV-2 and no other samples.

No records describing isolation of SARS-CoV-2 from a sample not already adulterated with other genetic material, admits **New Zealand's Department of the Prime Minister & Cabinet**: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/NZ-Prime-Minister-And-Cabinet-Response-scrubbed.pdf>

March 22, 2021, New Zealand's Ministry of Health confirms they still have no record describing purification of "the virus" and hence zero proof of its existence, and they choose to cite fraudulent studies instead (the infamous Harcourt et al. study mentioned above and the Australian paper cited at the top of this page). Full pdf response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/2021-03-22-NZ-MOH-Purification-SARS-COV-2-redacted.pdf>

Response to your request for official information

Thank you for your request under the Official Information Act 1982 (the Act) transferred to the Ministry of Health (the Ministry) on 12 March 2021 for:

"All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet describing the purification of "SARS-COV-2" said to have caused disease in humans (via maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge; also referred to at times by some people as "isolation"), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)."

The Ministry does not hold any information relating to your request. Therefore, your request is refused under section 18(g) of the Act, as the information requested is not held by the Ministry, and I have no reason to believe that this information is held by another agency subject to the Act. However, further information that may be of use has been previously provided to you below (refers H202100057 & H202100059):

April 19, 2021, responding to a request that had been forwarded to them by **Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern's Office, the New Zealand Ministry of Health** confirms that no record describing purification of "SARS-COV-2" is held by the Ministry or by any agency subject to the Act". Full pdf response: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/2021-04-19-NZ-MOH-Purification-SARS-COV-2-Final-Request-Redacted.pdf>

April 23, 2021 **Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern's Office, New Zealand**, again confirms they have no record describing purification of "SARS-COV-2" by anyone, anywhere, ever. Full pdf response:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/NZ-PMO-23.04.2021-2021-068-Redacted.pdf>

June 22, 2022:

Kelsey Kennard acting in the Office of the Registrar at **New Zealand's University of Otago** confessed to Michael S. that the paper titled "Characterization of the First SARS-CoV-2 Isolates from Aotearoa New Zealand as Part of a Rapid Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic" by "virologist" **Miguel Quiñones-Mateu** is merely a descriptive paper with no hypothesis and nothing to prove or disprove... in other words Miguel employed no scientific method and his paper cannot accurately be called "science".

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/2022-06-22-University-of-Otago-Scientific-Method-Response-Redacted-Complete.pdf>

August 3, 2022:

Kelsey Kennard acting in the Office of the Registrar at **New Zealand's University of Otago** insisted to Michael S. that the paper titled "Characterization of the First SARS-CoV-2 Isolates from Aotearoa New Zealand as Part of a Rapid Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic" by "virologist" **Miguel Quiñones-Mateu** (which Kelsey **already confessed**) is merely a descriptive paper with no hypothesis and nothing to prove or disprove, as shown above) proves the existence of "SARS-COV-2" in New Zealand:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/NZ-U-of-Otago-no-scientific-proof-existence-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



3 August 2022

**OFFICIAL INFORMATION REQUEST FOR ALL RECORDS IN THE POSSESSION,
CUSTODY OR CONTROL OF THE UNIVERSITY OF OTAGO THAT SCIENTIFICALLY
PROVES THE EXISTENCE OF SARS-COV-2 VIRUS**

I refer to your official information request dated 10 July 2022 for "all records in the possession, custody or control of the University of Otago that scientifically proves the existence of SARS-COV-2 virus."

We have liaised with the Department of Microbiology and Immunology in respect of your request. Research by the University of Otago, including the existence of the SARS-COV-2

request. Research by the University of Otago proving the existence of the SARS-CoV-2 virus in New Zealand has been completed and is currently in the public domain. This includes Professor Quiñones-Mateu's paper "Characterization of the First SARS-CoV-2 Isolates from Aotearoa New Zealand as Part of a Rapid Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic." This study was published using validated and internationally accepted processes. The Department does not agree that this paper is "not scientific" simply because it does not have a hypothesis.

July 19, 2022:

Landon Watt, acting as Legal Advisor for the **University of Auckland, New Zealand** provided my colleague **Michael S.** with a new nonsensical excuse for providing/citing zero records that scientifically demonstrate the existence of the theoretical **SARS-COV-2**:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/University-of-Auckland-SARS-COV-2-No-science-re-existence.pdf>

OIA: SARS-COV-2 Proof of Existence

To: Landon Watt <landon.watt@unitec.ac.nz>

Tue, Jul 19, 2022 at 2:56 PM

This is a formal request for access to general records, made under the Official Information Act.

Description of Requested Records:

All records in the possession, custody or control of **The University of Auckland** that scientifically proves the existence of SARS-CoV-2 virus.

Scientific Proof is:

- Opinions
- Speculation
- Review papers
- Descriptive papers

Scientific Method is:

- Experiments that follow the scientific method
- Repeatable experiments that produce identical results
- Experiments all have valid control groups

Scientific Method:

The scientific method is a process used to prove or disprove hypotheses. My request requires the hypothesis to include the claim of existence of SARS-CoV-2 particles. If the records do not have a hypothesis or do not have a hypothesis that claims the existence of SARS-CoV-2, then these records are disqualified from my request.

Repeatability:

I am requesting records that only use the scientific method and where the experiments have been repeated multiple times where the results were 100% the same. For any of the SARS-CoV-2 Genome Sequences, multiple experiments were conducted and produced a 100% match for the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence the researchers found. Records that have not been repeated with 100% matches for the SARS-CoV-2 genome are disqualified from my request.

Controls:

A control experiment is an experiment that has exactly the same parameters of the experimental group save the variable being tested. For cell culture experiments, the control group should have exactly the same composition sans the SARS-CoV-2 viruses. Experiments that do not have a valid control as defined above are disqualified from my request.

Summary:

Please provide all records that scientifically prove the existence of SARS-CoV-2 as defined in this request.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. file name, date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Requester Search:

I do not expect the University to search students' computers, lab's computers, or even researchers' computers. I expect the University to direct this request to the appropriate department who have experts in the field of virology & microbiology such as Michael Baker & Siouxsie Wiles.

Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Please also provide your official response in PDF format.

Kind Regards

OIA: SARS-COV-2 Proof of Existence

To: Landon Watt <landon.watt@unitec.ac.nz>

Tue, Aug 9, 2022 at 5:21 PM

I refer to your email of 19 July before, and our previous correspondence.

To avoid doubt, this is the University's official response to your OIA request. The OIA does not require decisions to be provided in any particular format. You are free to convert it to a pdf file if you wish.

Yours sincerely,
Landon Watt
Legal Advisor
Office of the Vice-Chancellor
University of Auckland

October 2020 / updated March 2022:

New Zealand's University of Auckland was disappointingly non-cooperative, opting for a sketchy "refusal" of my colleague's request. Let's face it, if the University actually had any such records (that no one else on the planet appears have) and they are publicly available, the University of Auckland would have proudly provided

links/citations. But they didn't.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/Auckland-redacted-FOI-emails-updated-2022.pdf>

New Zealand's University of Otago, where Professor Miguel Quiñones-Mateu, Ph.D. claimed months ago to have "isolated the virus", responded that they too have "no records" describing isolation of SARS-CoV-2 from a sample not already adulterated with other genetic material: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/new-zealands-university-of-otago-claimed-to-have-isolated-covid-19-virus-but-has-no-record-of-it-isolated-from-an-unadulterated-sample-anywhere-on-earth-by-anyone-ever/>

March 30, 2021 New Zealand's University of Otago confirm they still have no record of "SARS-CoV-2" isolation/purification, by anyone anywhere. Full response pdf here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/2021-03-30-University-of-Otago-Purification-of-SARS-COV-2-redacted.pdf>



I write in response to your Official Information Act request of 2 March 2021, which sought: "All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the University of Otago describing the purification of "SARS-COV-2" said to have caused disease in humans (via maceration, filtration and use of ultracentrifuge; also referred to at times by some people as "isolation"), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)".

Thank you for clarifying in your email precisely what is out of scope for this request.

I can confirm that the University holds no records which fall within the scope of your request. Accordingly, we decline your request pursuant to section 18(g) of the Act on the basis that the information requested is not held by the University.

September 22, 2021:

New Zealand's University of Otago, where researchers claimed last year ago to have "isolated the virus", confirmed once again they actually have no record of "SARS-COV-2" isolation/purification, by anyone, anywhere:

<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Otago-Sept-22-2021-03-30-University-of-Otago-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted.pdf>

October 13, 2021:

New Zealand's **University of Waikato** confirmed for the requester that they have no record describing purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (or "variants") from any patient sample on the planet:

<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/University-of-Waikato-2021-10-13-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted.pdf>

Office of the Vice-Chancellor
The University of Waikato
Private Bag 3105
Hamilton 3240
New Zealand

Phone +64 7 858 9472
www.waikato.ac.nz



13 October 2021

Official Information request - SARS-COV-2 and Variants purification by any method

I refer to your information request of 22 September 2021. The University of Waikato (University) has given due consideration to your request as follows:

"All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The University of Waikato describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; foetal bovine serum)".

The University advises that there is no research being conducted on the COVID-19 virus by any staff member or student of the University, therefore under section 18 (e) of the Official Information Act 1982 the University refuses the request on the basis that the information requested is not held.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours sincerely


Jim Mercer
Chief Operating Officer

August 1, 2022:

Jim Mercer acts as Chief Operating Officer at University of Waikato in New Zealand. The university published a blog in April 2021 entitled "Sam Bailey on isolating viruses, and why she is wrong". The blog is still posted on the university's website. Jim confessed to Michael S. that there are no records containing scientific proof of the existence of the alleged SARS-COV-2 at U of Waikato.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/U-of-Waikato-no-SARS-COV-2-Existance-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Office of the Vice-Chancellor
The University of Waikato
Private Bag 3105
Hamilton 3240
New Zealand

Phone +64 7 837 9472
www.waikato.ac.nz



1 August 2022

[Redacted block]
[Redacted block]

Official Information request – SARS-COV-2 Proof of Existence

I refer to your request for official information of 19 July 2022 requesting all records in the possession, custody or control of the University that scientifically proves the existence of SARS-COV-2 virus.

The University of Waikato (University) has given due consideration to your request and advises that there is no research being conducted on the COVID-19 virus by any staff member of the University.

On that basis, the University refuses your request under section 18(e) of the Official Information Act 1982 as the information requested does not exist or, despite reasonable efforts to locate it, cannot be found.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours sincerely



Jim Mercer
Chief Operating Officer

November 9, 2021

New Zealand Police confirmed for the requester that they have no record (evidence) describing isolation/purification of the alleged Sars-Cov-2 virus or any alleged variant:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/NZ-Police-2021-11-09-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted.pdf>



9 November 2021

Reference: IR-01-21-30354

I am writing in response to your request dated 9 October 2021. Your request has been considered pursuant to the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA). Specifically, you requested:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The New Zealand Police describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells, fetal bovine serum).

Police do not hold any information in relation to your request. Therefore, your request is refused pursuant to section 18(g) of the OIA as the information requested is not held.

You have a right under section 28(3) of the OIA to ask the Ombudsman to seek an investigation and review of my decision.

Yours sincerely



Superintendent Barry Taylor
Director: Major Events



March 9, 2021: **New Zealand's Institute of Environmental Science and Research** admits that they still have no record of "**SARS-COV-2**" isolation/purification (performed by anyone on the planet, anywhere, ever):
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/ESR-SARS-COV-2-Purification-Redacted.pdf>

One of **New Zealand's Associate Ministers of Health Jenny Salesa** has "no records":
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/NZ-Ass-Min-Health-Hon-Jenny-Salesa-Response-scrubbed.pdf>

Hon Jenny Salesa

MP for Manukau East
Minister for Building and Construction
Minister of Customs
Minister for Ethnic Communities
Associate Minister of Education
Associate Minister of Health



20 October 2020

[REDACTED]
Ref: 20-317
[REDACTED]

Response to your request for official information

Thank you for your request under the Official Information Act 1982 (the Act) to the office of the Associate Minister of Health on 15 October 2020 for:

"All records in the possession, custody or control of the Associate Minister of Health Hon Jenny Salesa describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; lung cells from a lung cancer patient)."

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:
- the culturing of something, or
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or
- the sequencing of something.

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the Associate Minister of Health Hon Jenny Salesa or that pertain to work done by the Associate Minister of Health Hon Jenny Salesa. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that the Associate Minister of Health Hon Jenny Salesa has downloaded or printed.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it).

This office does not hold any information pertaining to your request. For this reason, I am refusing your request under section 18(e) of the Act, as the information requested does not exist.

Under section 28(3) of the Act you have the right to ask the Ombudsman to review my decision to refuse your request.

Yours sincerely

Hon Jenny Salesa
Associate Minister of Health

+64 4 817 8714 Private Bag 18041, Parliament Buildings, Wellington 6160, New Zealand j.salesa@ministers.govt.nz beehive.govt.nz

Another of **New Zealand's Associate Ministers of Health Julie Anne Genter** has "no records":
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Hon-Julie-Anne-Genter-Response-scrubbed.pdf>

And another of **New Zealand's Associate Ministers of Health Peeni Henare** has "no records":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Hon-Peeni-Henare-Response-scrubbed.pdf>

October 18, 2022:

My colleague **Michael S.** required **Ayesha Verrall**, who plays "**Associate Minister of Health**" in **New Zealand**, to provide her scientific proof of the existence of "**SARS-COV-2**". Instead, Ayesha sent him links to the strictly in silico transcript called MN908947 that corresponds to nothing in the physical realm (discussed [here](#)), and

various other unhelpful webpages. Michael responded by pointing out the uselessness of Ayesha's response and laid out the remedy that he requires of her:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/NZ-Health-Min-Ayesha-Verrall-PACKAGE-redacted-Oct-2022.pdf>

Same, "no records" says **Bay of Plenty District Health Board, Tauranga Hospital, New Zealand:**
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Bay-Of-Plenty-District-Health-Board-response-scrubbed.pdf>

April 29, 2022:

New Zealand Department of Internal Affairs requires fake "covid vaccination" of its visitors, but confirmed for **Michael S.** that they have no record of the alleged "**SARS-COV-2**" being found in and purified from any diseased human on the planet by anyone, ever, and thus have no idea whether the alleged virus actually exists:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/NZ-Dept-Internal-Affairs-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

May 3, 2022:

New Zealand's Minister of Internal Affairs, Minister of Women and Ass. Minister of Education, Jan Tinetti, confirmed for Michael S. that she has no record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being found in and purified from any diseased human on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/2022-05-03-Tinetti-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted.pdf>

Hon Jan Tinetti

Minister of Internal Affairs
Minister for Women
Associate Minister of Education



Michael Speth

conzar@gmail.com

Dear Michael Speth

Official information request for records on SARS-COV-2 Purification and Variants

I refer to your official information request dated 26 April for:

*"All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of Jan Tinetti describing the **purification** of any "**COVID-19 virus**" (aka "**SARS-COV-2**", **including any alleged "variants"** i.e. "**B.1.1.7**", "**B.1.351**", "**P.1**") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of **genetic** material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)."*

The document you requested does not exist.

I am therefore refusing your request under section 18(e) of the OIA because the requested document does not exist.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Jan Tinetti".

Hon Jan Tinetti
Minister of Internal Affairs
Minister for Women
Associate Minister of Education

August 18, 2022:

Annabel Kent, acting as Legal Counsel for **“Australia’s national science research agency”, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation** aka CSIRO has confessed to my colleague Michael S. that no one at CSIRO has any records that scientifically demonstrate the existence of **“SARS-COV-2”**. We find this especially interesting given that CSIRO has a so-called dangerous pathogens research team (<https://sciencejobs.org/posting/7089260>) and people at CSIRO are complicit in so-called “COVID-19 vaccine” trials using the fake “SARS-COV-2 isolate” from Doherty Institute. Pdf:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/Aug-18-CSIRO-SARS-COV-2-Proof-of-Existance-Redacted.pdf>

Decision

I am an authorised decision maker under section 23 of the FOI Act. This letter sets out my decision and reasons for the decision in response to your request.

CSIRO does not hold any documents that fall within the scope of your specific request. I am therefore required to refuse access, pursuant to section 24A of the FOI Act, on the basis that the document[s] sought do not exist or cannot be found.

I have come to this conclusion following consultation with relevant CSIRO officers. These officers have advised me that CSIRO has not been involved in any research aimed at proving the existence of SARS COV-2 or any research that conforms with the scope of your request.

Rights of Review

In accordance with section 26(1)(c) of the FOI Act, a statement setting out your rights of review under the Act is at **Attachment A**. Since my decision is that no documents exist, an application for review would be limited to a situation where you consider that I have not identified all the documents in the CSIRO’s possession that are relevant to your request.

Yours sincerely,



Annabel Kent
Legal Counsel
CSIRO

August 1, 2022:

Darius Everett, acting as A/g Assistant Secretary, Communicable Diseases Branch, **Department of Health and Aged Care, Australian Government** insisted to Michael S. that the alleged **SARS-COV-2** is real, but confessed that there are no records containing scientific proof of its existence at the department.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/Australian-govt-DOHAC-no-scientific-proof-existence-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

SARS-CoV-2, the virus which causes COVID-19, is real. Multiple scientific studies across the world demonstrate that highly reputable laboratory medicine experts have isolated and sequenced the virus that causes COVID-19, demonstrating that the virus exists, that it exists in variant forms, that it is different from the influenza virus, and that it causes a disease that has resulted in over 6.3 million deaths worldwide.

While the department is not the custodian of the scientific studies establishing the existence of SARS-CoV-2 and the effectiveness of vaccines, this research has informed the Australian Government’s response to the pandemic. You can find those scientific studies in the public domain.

The department is a government agency and does not conduct scientific studies or laboratory testing for the SARS-CoV-2 in a laboratory. Diagnostic assays using reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) are conducted by testing laboratories throughout Australia. For more information, please see the Public

October 5, 2021: **University of New South Wales, Australia** advised that they still have no record of any “COVID-19 virus/variant” having been purified from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/University-of-New-South-Wales-PACKAGE.pdf>



UNSW Ref: ND7149

5 October 2021

Ms Christine Massey
By email: cmssyoc@gmail.com

Dear Ms Massey

Notice of decision in relation to access applications under the Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009 (NSW) (GIPA Act)

I refer to your information access application under the GIPA Act dated 1 August 2021 and received as a valid application by the University on 12 August 2021. The scope of your application was as follows:

All studies and/or reports – describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge) of any “SARS-CoV-2” aka “COVID-19 virus” (including any “variants”), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not directly from a different source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I am not requesting any private health information, or studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected “virus” and instead, have used a different method, such as a PCR test, to identify the presence of the virus.

• performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the mRNA from

• a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on the total RNA from a patient sample

• any other source, such as a cell culture, or on any unpurified substance, and/or produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to what is known as a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am simply requesting records describing a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum. I am simply requesting records that describe the purification of “the virus” (separation from everything else in the patient sample as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the University of New South Wales, or that were done at the University of New South Wales. Rather, my request includes any records made available in the above description, for example (but not limited to): any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere that has been downloaded or printed ... and relied on as requested records are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with enough URLs where possible.

Identification of information requested

I have liaised with the University's Faculty of Medicine and Research Office to attempt to identify information that is held by the University and within the scope of

your request. Based on the specific requirements of your request I have not been able to identify any information that is within the scope of your request.

Authorisation

I am authorised by the Vice-Chancellor (acting as principal officer for the purposes of s 9(3) of the GIPA Act) to make the decision of your access application.

Decision

I have decided, in accordance with s 58(1)(b) of the GIPA Act, that the information requested is not held by the University.

Your rights of review

If you are aggrieved by my decision, you may seek review under Part 5 of the GIPA Act. Your rights for review are outlined in the attached fact sheet from the Information Commissioner – Your review rights under the GIPA Act.

If you have any queries about this notice or require further information on your rights of review, please contact me.

Yours sincerely

Paul Serov
Right to Information Officer, UNSW
End.

June 16, 2021: **University of Western Australia** – home of Gates-funded researcher **Christine Carson**, who has spent countless hours on social media this past year insisting “yes the COVID-19 virus has been isolated” – provided/cited zero records of “SARS-CoV-2” isolation/purification from a patient sample, by anyone anywhere ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/UWA-Carson-no-records-redacted.pdf>

DECISION

In consideration of the above, I, Jay Guyver, Manager - Information Governance, Governance Directorate have today made the decision that:

In relation to part (A) of your application,

- despite reasonable steps, such as searches and enquiries being made, no documents have been found or surrendered which meet the specific and precise requirements of your scope.
- Enquiries of Dr Carson have yielded no such documents relating to the precise and exact isolation or purification of the virus you talk about, and research she has and is engaged in does not meet the criteria, indeed is specifically excluded by your criteria.

At this next link you will find multiple “no records” FOI responses from **Australia's Department of Health**, as recent as October 2021:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/australian-dept-of-health-has-no-record-of-covid-19-virus-isolation/>



Australian Government

Department of Health

Department Reference: FOI 3054



**NOTICE OF DECISION UNDER SECTION 24A
OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 1982**

I refer to your request of 11 September 2021 to the Department of Health (the department) seeking access under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* (Cth) (the FOI Act) to documents related to COVID-19. Your request is in the following terms:

1. All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The Australian Department of Health describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-CoV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)

Information about COVID-19

SARS-CoV-2, the virus which causes COVID-19, is real. Multiple scientific studies across the world demonstrate that highly reputable laboratory medicine experts have isolated and sequenced the virus that causes COVID-19, demonstrating that the virus exists, that it exists in variant forms, that it is different from the influenza virus, and that it causes a disease that has resulted in more than 4.8 million deaths worldwide in just over 21 months.

While the department is not the custodian of the scientific studies establishing the ~~existence of SARS-CoV-2~~, this research has informed the Australian Government's response to the pandemic. You can find those scientific studies in the public domain.

The department is a government agency and does not conduct scientific studies or laboratory testing for the SARS-CoV-2 in a laboratory. Diagnostic assays using reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) are conducted by testing laboratories throughout Australia. For more information, please see the Public Health Laboratory Network (PHLN) guidance on laboratory testing for

Same admission from **Australia's Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity** (which had publicly claimed to have "isolated the virus").

And, in February 2022, so-called scientists at **Australia's Doherty Institute** confessed to Marvin Haberland (via Eugene Toh, acting as Information Regulation Officer, University of Melbourne) that they neglected to use adequate controls when "sequencing"/assembling their *in silico* "SARS-CoV-2 genome", and thus admitted that their result is anti-scientific:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/Doherty-Inst-no-controls-Marvin-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Von: foi-officer <foi-officer@unimelb.edu.au>
Gesendet: Dienstag, 22. Februar 2022 06:23
An: [REDACTED]@berkeley.edu
Cc: foi-officer
Betreff: RE: [EXT] Request under FOI Act

Dear [REDACTED],

I have followed up with the Doherty Institute in response to your final question. The answer below was provided:

At the time there was no established method for sequencing SARS-CoV-2. In order to maximise the number of sequencing reads for nCoV-2019 (named at the time) we elected to dedicate an entire flow cell to the positive culture sample to maximise our recovery of the viral reads and not spend reads on sequencing a negative sample.

I trust this is of assistance and wish you well.

Kind regards,

Eugene

From: [REDACTED]@berkeley.edu <r[REDACTED]@berkeley.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, 8 February 2022 7:57 PM
To: foi-officer <foi-officer@unimelb.edu.au>
Subject: AW: [EXT] Request under FOI Act

External email: Please exercise caution

Dear Eugene,

Thank you very much.

Your answer fully satisfies my request.

Is there any specific reason, why the authors of the study did not perform negative controls for all the genome sequencing methodology?

Many thanks

Screenpresso.com

Same admission from **Australia's Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation** – CSIRO ("Australia's national science research agency"), which is involved in "COVID-19" vaccine trials using the so-called "SARS-COV-2 isolate" from Doherty Institute:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/CSIRO-Isolation-Response-scrubbed.pdf>



7 October 2020

Our ref: FOI 2020/50



FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST – DECISION FOI2020/50

I refer to your request of 7 September 2020, under which you sought access under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* (FOI Act) to:

"All records in the possession, custody or control of CSIRO describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; lung cells from a lung cancer patient).

CSIRO has been unable to identify any document relevant to your request. I must therefore refuse access, pursuant to section 24A of the FOI Act on the basis that the document[s] sought do not exist or cannot be found.

March 22, 2021, Australia's Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation still has no record of any "SARS-COV-2" purified from a patient, by anyone, anywhere:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/no-records-CSIRO-SARS-COV-2-Purification-Redacted.pdf>

September 27, 2021:

Australia's Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation – CSIRO (“Australia's national science research agency”), which is involved in “COVID-19” vaccine trials using the so-called “SARS-COV-2 isolate” from Doherty Institute, confirmed that they still have no record of “SARS-COV-2” (including “variants”) purification, by anyone, anywhere:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/2021-09-27-CSIRO-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted.pdf>

Decision maker

I am an authorised decision maker under section 23 of the FOI Act. This letter sets out my decision and reasons for the decision in relation to your request.

Decision

Despite an extensive search, CSIRO has been unable to identify any document relevant to your request. I must therefore refuse access, pursuant to section 24A of the FOI Act on the basis that the document[s] sought do not exist or cannot be found.

Searches conducted

Searches were conducted by the Australian Centre for Disease Preparedness (formerly the Australian Animal Health Laboratory) and by other relevant staff and it was confirmed that CSIRO does not hold any documents relevant to the scope of your request. In this regard, CSIRO's research involves virus already isolated from human samples by a non-CSIRO institute.

(January 27, 2022:

Australia's Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation – CSIRO (“Australia's national science research agency”) have also confirmed they have no record containing details of any “controls” used in anti-science, so-called “virus isolation” cell culture procedures or “whole genome sequencing” procedures:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/2022-01-27-CSIRO-SARS-COV-2-Controls-redacted.pdf>)

The next FOI letter shown in the screenshot below is in response to a request that was submitted **on the advice of Australia's Department of Health**, and has come to us via John Blaid. Addressed to Mary-Jane Liddicoat, the formal but undated letter was authored sometime after March 9, 2021 by **Dr. Nick Coatsworth**, Executive Director of Medical Services, Canberra Health Services(CHS), ACT Government **(Government of the Australian Capital Territory)**.

[When reviewing Coatsworth's response, bear in mind the following facts provided us by Darren Christison, a journalist in Sydney, Australia: *“This is the same Dr Nick Coatsworth who is the ‘poster boy’ for the Australian government’s push to vaccinate everyone until they urinate the poison, and has been a permanent fixture on TV and online in recent months (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Aep_RKawTWc). He’s also the same Dr Nick Coatsworth who recently, according to The Sydney Morning Herald, ‘admonished a “hardcore rump of activist doctors” spreading misinformation and undermining vaccine confidence’ (<https://www.smh.com.au/national/former-deputy-chief-doc-warns-australia-must-reopen-borders-prepare-for-the-return-of-covid-19-20210514-p57s1u.html>).*]

Coatsworth admitted that Canberra Health Services holds no records relevant to the topic of isolating (aka purifying) the alleged “SARS-COV-2”. His entire letter is here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/Australian-Dept-of-Health-March-2021.pdf>



Canberra Health Services

Our reference: **FOI21-10**

Ms Mary-Jane Liddicoat



Dear Ms Liddicoat

DECISION ON YOUR ACCESS APPLICATION

I refer to your application under section 30 of the *Freedom of Information Act 2016* (FOI Act), received by ACT Health Directorate (ACTHD) and transferred to Canberra Health Services (CHS) on **Tuesday 9 March 2021**. In accordance with section 57 of the FOI Act, the information you are seeking is in their possession.

This application requested access to:

'I am writing to you on advice from the Australian Department of Health (the Department) to seek clarification on information requested in a Freedom of Information (FOI) request that was sent to the Department.

I am therefore writing to you to on initial advice by the Department of Health to confirm whether you do hold the information requested in the original request to the Department, as shown in attachment "FOI 1937" and pasted again below:

"All records in the possession, custody or control of The Department of Health describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; lung cells from a lung cancer patient). Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:

- the culturing of something,*
- or the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test),*
- or the sequencing of something.*

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by The Department of Health or that pertain to work done by The Department of Health. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer reviewed study that The Department of Health has downloaded or printed."

I am an Information Officer appointed by the Chief Executive Officer of Canberra Health Services (CHS) under section 18 of the FOI Act to deal with access applications made under Part 5 of the Act. CHS was required to provide a decision on your access application by **Thursday 8 April 2021**.

Decisions

ACT Pathology does not have the ability to isolate the virus from patient samples. I am therefore satisfied that in accordance with section 35(1)(b), CHS does not hold any documents relevant to the scope of your request.

March 19, 2021: **Australian Capital Territory / Canberra Health Services** once again failed to provide / cite any record of "SARS-COV-2" purification from any patient sample on the planet (thus demonstrating that they still have zero proof that "the virus" actually exists). Full communications:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/ACT-Canberra-Health-Services-no-records-package.pdf>

Mar 16 2021: **Western Australia Minister & Department of Health** confirm they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification from any patient sample on the planet (and thus zero proof that "the virus" exists), and that PathWest Laboratory Medicine only does the quackery version of "virus isolation". Full communications:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Western-Australia-no-records-package.pdf>

April 28, 2021: **South Australia Minister for Health and Wellbeing** confirmed they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification from any patient sample on the planet (and thus zero proof that "the virus" actually exists). Full communications:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/South-Australia-package-no-records.pdf>

May 25, 2021: **New South Wales Ministry of Health, Australia**, confirmed they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification from any patient sample on the planet (and thus zero proof that "the virus" actually exists). Full communications:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/NSW-no-records-May-25-2021.pdf>

October 27, 2021:

The **UK's** new euphemistically-named **Health Security Agency** confirmed that they have no records re isolation/purification of the alleged COVID-19 virus. The requester wrote back with a lovely response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/UKHSA-2021-10-27-Replies-redacted.pdf>

Case ref: 1409 - FOI Purification of SARS-COV-2 and Variants (CF)

2 messages

Information Rights <InformationRights@ukhsa.gov.uk>

Wed, Oct 27, 2021 at 3:49 AM

The UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) has taken responsibility for requests previously addressed to Public Health England (PHE), your request was assessed based on the information held by PHE at the time of receipt.

In accordance with Section 1(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act), I can confirm that UKHSA does not hold the information as specified by your request.

We have provided information regarding the isolation of Sars-Cov2 that we hold, you may be able to find further sources from other organisations.

Under Section 16 of the Act, a public authority has a duty to provide advice and assistance. Accordingly, we have provided further information below that you may wish to view.

The SARS-CoV-2 virus has been identified by electron microscopy, which uses high resolution to visualise virus particles directly in specimens, as well as culturing in a laboratory. Evidence of the Electron Micrograph is available at the following link: <https://publichealthmatters.blog.gov.uk/2021/02/05/what-do-we-know-about-the-new-covid-19-variants/>

Viruses are not independent living entities. They require a host cell substrate to replicate. Isolation of any virus without any medium therefore is not possible. The medium provides suitable growing environment for the virus in the absence of a human or other host animal. These media and any products added are all sterile and do not contain additional genetic material.

You may be interested in the following information on the COVID-19 variants:
https://github.com/phe-genomics/variant_definitions
<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/new-sars-cov-2-variant>

A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2001017>

Yours sincerely,

Information Rights Team
UK Health Security Agency
InformationRights@UKHSA.gov.uk
www.gov.uk/ukhsa Follow us on Twitter @UKHSA

June 30, 2022:

The UK Health Security Agency and Public Health England have confessed once again that they have never found and purified any alleged covid virus from clinical samples (but cagily avoided admitting that they have no record of anyone on the planet ever doing this)... and they provided useless time-wasting links to useless time-wasting webpages... because "science".

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/UK-HSA-and-PHE-July-2022-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Response

There are no documents authored by The UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) or Public Health England (PHE) which relate to the purification of virus directly from clinical samples as described, as we did not attempt this. If you would like a purification protocol we would suggest a peer reviewed biomedical literature, citations can be found at [PubMed \(nih.gov\)](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).

No records of "SARS-COV-2" isolation, admits the **U.K. Department of Health and Social Care** (note: there are not 1, not 2, not 3, not 4, but 5 such responses from DHSC – the most recent dated October 11, 2021):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/u-k-dept-of-health-and-social-care-has-no-record-of-covid-19-virus-isolation/>



Department of Health & Social Care

Freedom of Information Team
Department of Health and Social Care
39 Victoria Street
London SW1H 0EU

www.gov.uk/dhsc

DHSC does not hold the information you have requested.

[Note The U.K. Department of Health and Social Care has now kept us waiting for almost 1 year on an FOI request for (at most) 3 days worth of analysis on the alleged "alpha variant" announced by Matt Hancock on December 14, 2020:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/UK-DHSC-handling-of-Dec14-FOI-re-socalled-variant.pdf>

UK's Government Office for Science has no record of "SARS-COV-2" isolation/purification: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/uks-government-office-for-science-has-no-record-of-a-covid-19-virus-isolated-from-an-unadulterated-sample-anywhere-on-earth-by-anyone-ever/>



Government Office for Science

Government Office for Science
10 Victoria Street
London
SW1H 0NN

+44 (0)20 7215 5000 - Public enquiries
+44 (0)20 7215 6740 - Textphone
(for those with hearing impairment)

Thank you for your email of 4/9/20 where you requested the following information:

"All records in the possession, custody or control of the Government Office for Science describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; lung cells from a lung cancer patient)."

Response

We do not hold the information you have requested. This information may be available from DHSC

September 20, 2021: **UK's Government Office for Science** confirmed once again "we do not hold the information you have requested", re "SARS-COV-2" (including any "variants") isolation/purification, by anyone, anywhere:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/2021-09-21-Government-Office-of-Science-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted.pdf>

(January 25, 2022:

The UK's Government Office for Science (Go-Science) confirmed they also have no record containing details of any "controls" used in anti-science, so-called "virus isolation" cell culture procedures or "whole genome sequencing" procedures:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/2022-01-26-Government-Office-of-Science-SARS-COV-2-controls-Redacted.pdf>

April 9, 2022:

This FOI response from **Metropolitan Police, UK** very strongly suggests that the people involved in their **COVID-19 crime investigation (reference number 6029679/21)** are either:

- 1) wildly unorganized and incompetent, or
- 2) participating in a cover-up of worldwide fraud and crimes against humanity.

We required the people acting for Metro Police to provide records that they ought to have sought as part of their investigation – records describing the first and absolutely essential (but not sufficient) step in proving the existence of the alleged "virus" (**purification from bodily fluid/tissue**), OR, if they have no such records (since none exist anywhere in the world) **studies that in their opinion prove the existence of "SARS-COV-2"**.

The people at **Metropolitan Police** claim that they would need more than 18 hours to search for said records, and hide behind an exemption based on said claim.

See page 22:

"DECISION

This letter is to inform you that it will not be possible to respond to your request within the cost threshold...Please also note that when one part of a request is refused because the cost of completing that part of the request would exceed the appropriate limit, this results in the refusal of the request in its entirety....

REASON FOR DECISION

Section 12(2) – Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit The Section 12 Exemption applies because any attempt to fulfil this request would require an extensive manual search to ascertain whether any of the information requested is held...

The appropriate limit has been specified in regulations and for agencies outside central Government, this is set at £450.00. This represents the estimated cost of one person spending 18 hours [at a rate of £25 per hour] in determining whether the MPS holds the information and then locating, retrieving and extracting the information."

(As noted in my response: "**Philip Hyland** tells me that one of the first points he made in the crime complaint was that there was an **onus on the MHRA to establish whether the virus really exists**, and he referred to my worldwide collection of FOIs responses showing that no queried public body held an isolated/purified virus and hence could not scientifically establish existence. I also provided 2 statements to the investigative team, advising them of the FOIs and their significance based on simple logic that anyone can understand.")

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/London-MOPAC-and-MPS-final-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

March 8, 2022:

London Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime (MOPAC) confirmed they have no

London Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime (MOPAC) confirmed they have no record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being found in and purified from any diseased human on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, ever, and that the men in charge of the Metropolitan Police COVID-19 crime investigation (file number 6029679/21) that was wrongfully shut down also have no record to prove "its" alleged existence. See page 8:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/London-MOPAC-and-MPS-final-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

FOI response 445 Christine Massey "SARS-COV-2" purification 08.03.2022

MOPAC FOI <FOI@mopac.london.gov.uk>
To: "cmssyc@gmail.com" <cmssyc@gmail.com>
Cc: MOPAC FOI <FOI@mopac.london.gov.uk>

Tue, Mar 8, 2022 at 9:40 AM

Dear Christine

Thank you for your Freedom of Information (FOI) request of 8 March to the Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime (MOPAC). I confirm that your request has been handled under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and that MOPAC does not hold the requested information.

The Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) may be able to assist you and you can send your request to them, here:

<https://www.met.police.uk/rqo/request/ri/request-information/rip/request-information-police/>

Same, from the **UK's Cabinet Office** and the **UK Prime Minister's Office**, in response to a query from Marc Horn. See here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Cabinet-Office-isolation-FOI2020-10121-Reply.pdf> and here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Prime-Ministers-Office-FOI-reply-isolation-SARS-COV-2.pdf>

January 14, 2022:

The **UK Cabinet Office** once again confirmed for Marc Horn that they have no record of:

- the alleged "virus" having been found in the bodily fluids of any patient on Earth and purified so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments;
- a unique RNA sequence that is tested for by any testing method which identifies the presence of the imaginary virus and no other genetic material;
- an unique harm caused by the imaginary virus;
- experimental evidence of transmission of the imaginary virus:

https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/817480/response/1951835/attach/3/CO%20FOI%20response%20FOI2021%2023894.pdf?cookie_passthrough=1

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/UK-Cabinet-Office-Jan-2022.pdf>

We are writing to advise you that following a search of our paper and electronic records, we have established that the information you requested is not held by the Cabinet Office.

You may wish to try contacting the UK Health Security Agency at this link: <https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/uk-health-security-agency> who may be able to help you with your request.

Here is a sketchy FOI reply from the **U.K. Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency** (obtained by Mr. Athanasios Kandias). The agency provided/cited no records re "SARS-COV-2" isolation. Their response includes an (apparently fraudulent) claim that such records are available in the public domain, but they provided zero links/citations despite having been asked for the location of any such records. Excerpts are shown below. Full response:

https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/documents_held_showing_sars_cov2

[2#incoming-1670059](#)

Pdf: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/UK-Medicines-and-Healthcare-products-Regulatory-Agency-no-isolation-records.pdf>

May 4, 2021: **University of Warwick** (UK) admits that they hold no record describing "SARS-COV-2" purification from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere, ever:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/University-of-Warwick-F352.20-21-May-4-2021.pdf>


Regarding "BNT162b2", the mRNA ingredient in the Pfizer-BioNTech "Covid-19 vaccine" that is transcribed from a genetic template that allegedly encodes the allegedly viral spike (S) protein of the alleged "SARS-COV-2 virus", **U.K. Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency** admitted to investigative journalist Frances Leader that: the genetic template on which it ("BNT162b2") is based "does not come directly from an isolated virus from an infected person", rather it "was generated via a combination of gene synthesis and recombinant DNA technology".

The email exchange is available here:

<https://hive.blog/proofofbrain/@francesleader/email-exchange-with-mhra-sarscov2-mrna-genomic-sequence-is-synthetic-3rd-edition>

and in a pdf here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/UK-MHRA-emails-w-FL.pdf>



No EM photos of purified "SARS-COV-2", no peer reviewed paper with the genome of purified "SARS-COV-2", no proof that "the virus" causes "COVID-19", etc — says **UK's Cabinet Office** in response to the queries shown below from Bartholomeus Lakeman; full letter here:

https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/666330/response/1589609/attach/3/FOI2020%2006375%20Draft%201.pdf?cookie_passthrough=1 and preserved here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Bartholomeus-Lakeman-Cabinet-Office-isolation-FOI-reply.pdf>

"1) Is there an electron micrograph of the pure and fully characterised virus (SARS-CoV-2)?

2. What is the name of the primary specialist peer reviewed paper in which said virus is illustrated and its full genetic information described?

3. What is the name of the primary specialist peer reviewed paper which provides unequivocal proof that the 'Covid-19' virus is the sole cause of a particular disease?

4. Where is (if there is proof of SARS-CoV-2) its antibody test that fulfils the Koch postulates and has a false positive below 30%; that can confirm being infected by SARS-CoV-2?"

I am writing to advise you that following a search of our paper and electronic records, I have established that the information you requested is not held by the Cabinet Office.

No records re isolation of "SARS-COV-2" from an unadulterated sample, says the **UK's House of Commons**, in response to a query from Marc Horn:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/House-of-Commons-FOI-reply-isolation-SARS-COV-2.pdf>

Same, from the **UK's House of Lords**, in response to a query from Marc Horn:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/House-of-Lords-FOI-reply-isolation-SARS-COV-2.pdf>

November 18, 2021:

The unidentified people working on the Disclosures Team, Customer Relations and Information, **Cheshire West and Chester Council, UK** confessed to our dedicated FOI-filer in England that they have no record describing any alleged "**Zika virus, HIV, Ebolavirus, Polio virus, MERS virus, Measles virus, HPV, Influenza virus or SARSCOV-2**" being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified, by anyone, anywhere, ever in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science").

Subject: RFI 4618 Freedom of Information Request

X-Pm-Spam: OyeAlic37iBOUChpR3Y2bi4AiOiuHVzb8miiACLWbfNvc2imUcOBi7BJC1QFR QjU1xjAOlACiWVVERjigcogMjgSwfINnyiQWaiOIAE5Nj2jANyIMByoNxaWzQ4gaWu2wdBFwNhbWai7LmcF1db0lwgAjL1UGbhFx0f9TUETTTByUEU6hFIE gE8TbFW0h2YycTBjgUEjmVcbj3kt4GXCmW4NQjH522HbY8rmzSm9cX4Gg0VYgAy9MgJ3tG9Z1Wu4GXCm4RUEfU1SUI0HEVKT11Nh3c2gZU2 aFGzgEGfERjbvTSEBcS8yzudWeXY1RUsrmvG51dBCHipN5hZ2yjVdZbSm79mcXg4522WwXzclUgtJnZsbkB1hb2cW5ab0lwgAjURPj9HTVf1ZTfRtEj B9VTSVG9tcmvEdIdVmly1mnbngQRkyWzmVccByhg

X-Pm-Origin: external

X-Pm-Transfer-Encryption: TLSv1.2 with cipher ECDHE-RSA-AES256-GCM-SHA384 (256/256 bits)

X-Pm-Content-Encryption: on-delivery

X-Pm-Spamscore: 0

X-Pm-Spam-Action: dunno

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your requests for information dated 21st October 2021 which have been logged as RFI 4618 and dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Your requests related to purification studies/reports/records regarding Zika Virus, HIV, Ebolavirus, Polio, MERS, Virus, Measles, HPV, Influenza and SARS-COV-2.

We confirm that Cheshire West and Chester Council does not hold information relating to your request. We suggest the UK Health Security Agency is the authority most likely to hold information. The contact details for the UK Health Security Agency are available on the following link: [UK Health Security Agency - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](http://www.ukhsa.gov.uk) or:-

Public Information Access Office

Wellington House

133-155 Waterloo Road

London

SE1 8UG

United Kingdom

Email

InformationRights@UKHSA.gov.uk

The Council considers that your request has been answered in full by either confirming that information is not held, providing you with the information requested, or explaining why any information has been withheld and the reasons for any redaction. Where applicable, the Council has also told you the reasons for the delay in responding to your request.

If you are unhappy with the way your request for information has been handled you can request a review by writing to the Disclosures Team within 40 working days from the date of the Council's response.

You are entitled to a review by the Council if:

- You are dissatisfied with the Council's explanation of why the application was not dealt with within the 20 working day time limit.
- All the information requested is not being disclosed and you have not received an explanation why some information is not being disclosed.
- A reason for the disclosures under the request being refused is not received.
- You consider that exemptions have been wrongly applied, and/or
- You consider that a fee has been wrongly applied.

Please set out your grounds for seeking a review together with what specific part of your request those grounds apply to and the outcome you are seeking. The Council reserves the right to ask you for clarification of the grounds for your review request if the grounds are not clear, and to delay commencing the review if such grounds are not provided.

The Disclosures Team can be contacted by email via: foi@cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk or at the following address:

Disclosures Team
Cheshire West and Chester Council
4 Civic Way
Ellesmere Port
CH64 0BE

The Disclosures Team will acknowledge a request for an internal review within 5 working days and complete the review as soon as possible and no later than 40 working days from receipt of the request.

More information about the Council's internal review process can be found via:

http://www.cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk/your_council/policies_and_performance/council_plans_and_strategies/freedom_of_information_policy/foi_internal_review_process.aspx

If you remain dissatisfied following the outcome of your review, you have a right of appeal to the Information Commissioner at:

October 22, 2021:

Someone at **Somerset County Council, United Kingdom** confessed to our dedicated FOI friend in England that no one there has any record that describes any alleged "**SARS-COV-2**" being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified, by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science"):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/Somerset-County-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Our Response:

The information you requested is not held by Somerset County Council.

Please quote the reference number 8589749 in any future communications.

October 28th, 2021:

Steve Hanratty who acts as a Business Manager, **Sunderland City Council, UK** confessed to our dedicated FOI-filer in England that the people of Sunderland City Council have no record of any alleged "**SARS-COV-2**" being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of a human and purified... in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science"):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Sunderland-City-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Subject: Sunderland [NE England] Fw: FOI_4269 - Covid-19 Virus
From: [REDACTED]
To: christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>
Date: 2022-08-03 05:35 PM

roundcube 

• North East Sunderland – Gerry Taylor.pdf (~492 KB)

Hello Christine,

Is this FOI any good to you considering the format is not what was requested?

[REDACTED]
Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

Original Message
On Thursday, October 28th, 2021 at 15:03, OCEFOI <OCEFOI@sunderland.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear [REDACTED]

I refer to your recent request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. I can confirm that the Council does not hold this information, as detailed below.

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate proving a causal link between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.

Sunderland City Council does not hold any of the requested records

This information has been provided in response to a Freedom of Information request and this must be acknowledged in any subsequent use of the information. In addition, the authority must be notified of any proposed re-use of this information for commercial or other purposes.

I hope this is satisfactory. If, however, you are dissatisfied with our response to your request for information, you can ask for the decision to be reviewed in reply to this letter, however any request for review must be submitted within 40 days of the date of this freedom of information response. The review will be removed from the Directorate and coordinated by the Council's team of Solicitors. A request for review should be directed, by email to freedom_information@sunderland.gov.uk by post or by hand addressed to Business Support, Sunderland City Council, Civic Centre, Burdon Road, Sunderland. SR2 7DN.

If this fails to resolve your concerns, then you have the right to apply to the Information Commissioner for a decision.

Kind Regards

November 1, 2021:

Wiltshire Council, UK confessed to our dedicated FOI-filer in England that they have no record describing any alleged "SARS-COV-2" (or "variant") being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science"):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Wiltshire-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act) the Council is obliged to:

- Let you know whether we hold the information you have requested
- Provide you with the requested information, if held, (subject to any exemptions to disclosure that may apply)

I can confirm that following searches the council does not hold the information you requested; this may be something that the [World Health Organisation](#) or [UK Health Security Agency](#) could help with.

If you are dissatisfied with the response to your request you can ask for a review of the handling of your request for information. Details of the complaints procedure are below

Best wishes,

Daniella Heard
Information Governance Officer
Wiltshire Council | County Hall | Bythesea Road | Trowbridge | BA14 8JN
Email: InformationGovernance@wiltshire.gov.uk | Web: www.wiltshire.gov.uk
Tel: 0300 456 0100 (Customer Services)

November 4, 2021:

The "Information Management Team" at **London Borough of Hackney** confessed to our dedicated FOI-collector in England that they have no record describing any alleged "SARS-COV-2" (or "variant") being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science"):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/LONDON-HACKNEY-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

The Council's Response

We have detailed below the information that is being released to you.

Public Health for the City and Hackney do not hold the information requested. This

October 21, 2021:

Kirklees Council confessed to our dedicated FOI-collector in England that they have no record describing any alleged "SARS-COV-2" (or "variant") being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science"):<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Kirklees-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



Kirklees Council
Governance Service
Red Doles Lane
Huddersfield
HD2 1YF

Tel: 01484 221000 (when calling
please ask for freedom of information)

freedom.info@kirklees.gov.uk

Dear [REDACTED]

I am writing in response to your request dated 19/10/2021. This has been dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

You asked for the following information:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate proving a causal link between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19. Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" (separate the alleged "virus" from everything else in the patient sample) and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.

The Council's response to your specific questions is set out below:

Kirklees Council do not hold any information relating to your request.

If you are not content with the handling of your request, you have the right to ask for an internal review. Requests for internal reviews should be submitted within 2 months of

www.kirklees.gov.uk

May 28, 2021:

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea confessed to our dedicated FOI-collector in England that they have no record describing any alleged "SARS-COV-2" (or "variant") being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science"):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Royal-Borough-Kensington-and-Chelsea-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

October 21, 2021:

Derby City Council (UK) confirmed for the FOI requester that they have no

scientific evidence that SARS-COV-2 has been isolated and actually exists anywhere in the world.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Derby-City-Council.pdf>

FOI211565413 - Response & Internal Review Decision

Inbox

 foi@derby.gov.uk

Tue, Oct 12,
2021, 11:30 AM

to me

Dear [REDACTED]

The Council does not hold the information requested - please see attached response template for more information.

January 13, 2022:

University Hospitals of Derby and Burton, of the National Health Service (UK), confirmed for the FOI requester that they have no scientific evidence that SARS-COV-2 has been isolated and actually exists anywhere in the world.
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/University-Hospitals-of-Derby-and-Burton.jpg>

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 January 2022 17:24
To: FOI (UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF DERBY AND BURTON NHS FOUNDATION TRUST)
<uhdb.foi@nhs.net>
Subject: SARS covid

Hi

As a matter of information I would request an answer to the following question.
Could you please supply me with any scientific evidence that you have to say that
SARS covid 2 and SARS covid 19 has been isolated and actually exists anywhere in
the world ?

Regards
[REDACTED]

FOI.22.015

Inbox

FOI (UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF DERBY AND

BURTON NHS FOUNDATION TRUST)

Thu, Jan 13, 1:45
PM (22 hours ago)

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Further to your enquiry below, I can confirm that our Trust, as an independent NHS
organisation, does not hold this information.

May we would refer you to the World Health Organisation (WHO), who would be best
placed to answer a globally orientated question.

<https://www.who.int/about/contact-us>

Kind regards

December 14, 2021:

London Borough of Bromley confirmed for the requester that they also have no record of **ANY of the following alleged “viruses”** having been purified from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, or any study proving a causal link to the suspected infectious diseases:

- * SARS-COV-2
- * SARS-COV-1
- * Any common cold coronavirus
- * Ebola
- * HIV
- * HPV
- * Influenza
- * Measles
- * Polio
- * Zika
- * MERS
- * ANY VIRUS on the Childhood Immunization schedule:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/BOROUGH-OF-BROMLEY-many-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

LONDON BOROUGH OF BROMLEY

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ENQUIRY - RESPONSE

Date:	14 December 2021
Request ID:	S29JF44R94
Subject:	Virus Information/Infectious Disease
Request Type:	Freedom of Information Request
Subject Type:	FOI/EIR
Which department do you require information from?	Adult Services FOI/SAR and Housing FOI

Response: We can confirm that London Borough of Bromley (LBB) does not hold the information requested in your FOI enquiry.

NB: For future reference, please be aware that LBB does not hold any data pertaining to the purification/causation of sickness in living man regarding any viruses known to man.

Request: Please address my request in full * and respond in kind on letter head notepaper with a reference number, referencing the information requests made-a separate response letter for each request made that is dated and signed by whomever is responsible for the decision contained within the response letter 'claims of 'virus' isolation/sickness causation' for the sake of clarity and transparency to the publick. I do not wish to create accounts and passwords and all manner of other property to prolong the delivery of evidences/publick records and further attempts to obtain my property will be posted in the publick domain.

I have included link to Public Health England's response letter by way of example as to how it is usual to respond and lay out the response also a response link from Derby to purification of the polio virus. Should you require a copy of PHE's response or further help on how to respond to requests please let me know soonest.

As evidences/publick record these communications will be placed in the public domain rendering them live. For further clarity as i have submitted more than 1 request to the Director of Public Health for Bromley – Nada Lemic, including SARS-CoV-1 'virus' purification/causation of sickness in living man, for HPV, INFLUENZA 'virus' and so forth in the last few weeks would they please indicate what request is referred to.

Page 1 of 1

October 29, 2021:

Norfolk County Council, East of England (covers Great Yarmouth) confirmed for the requester that they have no record of any alleged "SARS-COV-2" (including any scariants) having been found in and purified from the bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of any diseased "host" on the planet (in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments) by anyone, anywhere, ever.
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/Norfolk-UK-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Our response:

Information relating to the medical and scientific analysis of the Covid-19 virus is not held by Norfolk County Council. The Council's Public Health remit extends only to those measures and activities required in discharge of responsibilities as directed by the Secretary of State, and our response to the covid-19 pandemic is predicated on guidance issued by Public Health England (now The UK Health Security Agency).

Under section 11 of the Health and Social Care Act 2012, the Secretary of State for Health has a duty to "take such steps as the Secretary of State considers appropriate for the purpose of protecting the public in England from disease or other dangers to health". By virtue of the Local Authorities (Public Health Functions and Entry to Premises by Local Healthwatch Representatives) Regulations 2013 local authorities have a statutory duty to carry out certain aspects of the Secretary of State's duty to protect the public.

December 30, 2021:

Leicester City Council confirmed for the requester that they have no record of any "SARS-COV-2" having been purified from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, or any studies suggesting a causal link between "it" and the suspected infectious "COVID-19":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Leicester-City-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Response:

Leicester City Council does not hold the information requested. It is not a laboratory and does not undertake any of the procedures listed in the request. This is therefore a refusal notice under section 17.1 of the FOI Act: **Information Not Held.**

You may re-use the information under an [Open Government Licence](#).

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, please write, explaining your grounds of appeal, to:

Internal Review
Information Governance & Risk
Email: info.requests@leicester.gov.uk

Yours sincerely

Pravina Chandarana
Information Governance Officer

November 10, 2021:

London Borough of Lambeth confirmed for the requester that they have no record of any "SARS-COV-2" having been purified from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, or any studies suggesting a causal link between "it" and the suspected infectious "COVID-19":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/London-Borough-of-Lambeth-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



10 November 2021
Our ref: IRN6651758

Freedom of Information Act 2000

This request is being handled under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Request:

Please note that I am using 'purification' in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. Please note that I am not requesting records/studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" (separate the alleged 'virus' from everything else in the patient sample) and instead:-

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.

Response:

We do not hold the information requested. Lambeth council does not own or operate any clinical laboratory facilities.

Right to review

If you are dissatisfied with the way in which your Freedom of Information request has been dealt with you can request an internal review. Tell us why you are unhappy with our response within 40 working days, and it will be looked at afresh. We will aim to provide you with our review response within 20 working days.

By email: foi@lambeth.gov.uk (Please quote the reference number above) or by writing to:

London Borough of Lambeth
Freedom of Information
P.O. Box 734
Winchester
SO23 5DG

If you remain dissatisfied with the outcome of the review you have a further right to appeal to the Information Commissioner, who regulates the implementation of the Freedom of Information Act. The Commissioner can be contacted at the following address:

October 22, 2021:

Lincolnshire County Council confirmed for the requester that they have no record of any “**SARS-COV-2**” having been purified from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, or any studies suggesting a causal link between “it” and the suspected infectious “COVID-19”:

<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Lincolnshire-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere. This includes your own website which claims to have the virus isolated; given your body corporate/politick receives public treasure, the worldwide ‘interest’ and that more than 100 institutions other have no virus isolate this is for public record/evidence.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Response:

Lincolnshire County Council does not hold this information


Please quote the reference number 2634405 in any future communications.

October 25, 2021:

Nottinghamshire County Council in the UK confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of any “**SARS-COV-2**” having been purified from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, or any record proving a causal link between “it” and the suspected infectious disease “Covid-19”:

<https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Nottinghamshire-1.pdf>

This matter is being dealt with by:
Freedom of Information Team
Reference: FOI/167
T 0115 977 2788
E complaints@nottsc.gov.uk
W nottsc.gov.uk



25/10/2021 07:19:24

Dear Von

Request FOI/167

I write further to your request for information received on 20/10/2021 in which you requested the following information:

Covid

Your request has been given careful consideration under the Freedom of Information Act 2000/Environmental Information Regulations 2004 however **we have established that the information you requested is not held by Council**.

If you have any queries about this email please do not hesitate to contact us directly, quoting the reference number listed at the top of this email in all communications.

If you are unhappy with the Council’s response to your request and wish to make a complaint, please write to us or complete the on-line complaint form that can be found on our website.

In addition to this and for future reference, Nottingham County Council regularly publishes previous FOIRs and answers on its website, under Disclosure logs, that may provide details relating to your query. (see link)

You can use the search facility using keywords i.e. 'funerals'.

If you wish to raise any concerns about the way your request was dealt with, then please write to the Team Manager, Complaints and Information, County Hall, West Bridgford, Nottingham, NG2 7QP or e-mail foi@notfsc.gov.uk quoting the reference number above.

If after going through the Council's Internal Review process you are still not satisfied, you can contact the Information Commissioner direct.

Information Commissioner	Helpline:	0303 123 1113
Wycliffe House		01625 545 745
Water Lane	Email:	casework@ico.org.uk
Wilmslow	Website:	www.ico.org.uk
Cheshire SK9 5AF		

December 13, 2021:

Rutland County Council in the UK confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of any "SARS-COV-2" having been purified from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, or any record proving a causal link between "SARS-COV-2" and the suspected infectious disease "Covid-19":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Rutland-sc2.pdf>

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Response:

Rutland County Council does not hold this information.

You are free to use any documents supplied for your own use, including for non-commercial research purposes. The documents may also be used for news reporting. However, any other type of re-use, for example by publishing the documents or issuing copies to the public will require the permission of the copyright owner, where copyright

Central Bedfordshire Council confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs they have no record describing purification of "SARS-COV-2" from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Central-Bedfordshire-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



Von Hobbs Email: accesstoinfo@centralbedfordshire.gov.uk
Our ref: 4982869
Date: 27 October 2021

Dear Von Hobbs

Freedom of Information Act 2000

Request regarding Covid studies/reports

I am writing to advise you that, following a search of our paper and electronic records, I have established that the information you requested is not held by Central Bedfordshire Council.

Please quote the reference number 4982869 in any future communications.

Croydon Council confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs they have no record describing purification of "SARS-COV-2" from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, and no records proving a causal link between "Sars-Cov-2" and the suspected infectious

disease Covid-19:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Croydon-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Derby City Council in the UK confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of any "SARS-COV-2" having been purified from a patient sample, by anyone on the planet:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Derby-City-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Hertfordshire County Council in the UK confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of any "SARS-COV-2" having been purified from a patient sample, by anyone on the planet:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Hertfordshire-County-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

October 19, 2021: **East Midlands – Leicestershire County Council** in the UK confirmed for the requester that they have no record of any "COVID-19 virus/variant" purified from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet – and thus zero evidence of "its" existence:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/East-Midlands-Leicestershire-%E2%80%93-Mike-Sandys-SARS-CoV-2-PURIFICATION-FOI-RESPONSE-v2.pdf>



Response:

Unfortunately, we do not hold the information you have requested.

With regards to reports published in medical journals / databases, we would suggest searching for the relevant reports online.

Your request has been handled under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

If you are not happy with how we've dealt with your request, you can complain and ask us to review our decision. If this is the case, please email foi@leics.gov.uk or write to us at the above address, quoting the FOI Request Number. We will usually only be able to consider complaints about requests if they are submitted to us within two months (from the date of this letter).

Further information about your rights to information is available from the Information Commissioner's Office at:

Address: Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Website: www.ico.org.uk

Kind regards,

FOI Team
Leicestershire County Council

October 25th, 2021: **Bedford Borough Council** in England confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification, by anyone on the planet:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Bedford-Borough-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

October 25th, 2021: **Brent Council** in England confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification, by anyone on the planet, and no records proving a causal link between the imaginary virus and "covid-19":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Brent-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

October 30, 2021:

Suffolk County Council in England confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification, by anyone on the planet, and no records proving a causal link between the imaginary virus and (PCR results packaged as) "covid-19".

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Suffolk-County-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



Freedom of Information – Response – 21103

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultra- centrifugation and chromatography) of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate proving a causal link between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" (separate the alleged "virus" from everything else in the patient sample) and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.*

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a "virus" without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe

its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study

authorised by anyone, anywhere. This includes your own website which claims to have the virus isolated; given your body corporate/politick receives publick treasure, the worldwide 'interest' and that more than 100 institutions other have no virus isolate this is for publick record/evidence.
If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Suffolk County Council do not hold this information.

October 20, 2021:

Public Health London Hammersmith and Fulham in the UK confirmed for the requester that they have no record of any "COVID-19 virus/variant" purified from any patient sample by anyone, anywhere on the planet, and no record proving a causal link between the alleged virus and "COVID-19" – and thus zero evidence of "its" existence:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/London-Hammersmith-and-Fulham-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



Assessment and care coordination

Hammersmith & Fulham Council
Town Hall, King Street
Hammersmith
London W6 9JU

Reference: 2029609
Email: caseworkinformation@lbfh.gov.uk
Date: 20 October 2021

Dear [REDACTED]

Freedom of Information Act 2000

This request is being handled under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

I am writing to advise you that, following a search of our paper and electronic records, I have established that the information you requested is not held by London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham.

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate proving a causal link between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.

We do not have any records that relate to your query

Please quote the reference number 2029609 in any future communications.

If you aren't happy with the council's response, please contact H&F within 40 working days of us sending you our response, with details of why you're unhappy. You can do this by:

- visiting H&F's website: <https://www.lbhf.gov.uk/councillors-and-democracy/complaints>
- emailing handfintouch@lbhf.gov.uk
- writing to the Resident Experience team, Hammersmith Town Hall, King Street, London, W6 9JU

Your concerns will be passed to H&F's Information Management Team to consider as an internal review. There's no charge for requesting an internal review.

October 28, 2021:

Brighton and Hove City Council in England confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification by anyone on the planet:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Brighton-SARS-COV-2-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Our response:

In processing your request we liaised with colleagues within Public Health as they would hold the information in relation to your request were this information to be held by the council.

We can confirm that the information you have requested is not held by the council.

The council does not hold any documents describing the purification of any Covid-19 virus, including variants.

(Click here to see a series of "COVID-19" FOI requests submitted by Marc Horn to various agencies: https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/user/marc_horn)

Same, from **Public Health Scotland** in response to Athanasios Kandias:<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/PH-Scotland-RESPONSE-2020-000133.pdf>



Date 7 October 2020]
Our Ref 2020-000133
Enquiries to phs.foi@nhs.net

Dear Athanasios Kandias

Freedom of Information Reference: 2020-000133

I refer to your request of 9 September 2020 under the above legislation for information about:

All records in the possession, custody or control of Public Health Scotland, describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material

(i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; liver cancer cells).
Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-CoV-2" refers "instead" to:
• the culturing of something, or
• the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or
• the sequencing of something.
Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the PHS or that pertain to work done by the PHS. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that the PHS has downloaded or printed.

I am writing to advise you that following a search of our records, I have established that under Section 17(1) of the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002, Public Health Scotland (PHS) does not hold the information you requested.

PHS has not been involved in any studies where methods of isolation described have been performed. Such studies may have been performed in a number of Universities but PHS is not aware of any specific studies to be able to direct you to them for more information.

If you have any questions please contact me on phs.foi@nhs.net.

If you are unhappy with our response to your request, you do have the right to request us to review it. Your request should be made within 40 working days of receipt of this correspondence, and we will reply within 20 working days of receipt.

1 South Gyle Crescent, Edinburgh EH12 9EB

Glasgow office: 5 Cadogan Street, Glasgow G2 6QE

Same, for the 2nd time from **Public Health Scotland** in response to my colleague in NZ: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Public-Health-Scotland-Response-2020-000158.pdf>

September 10, 2021: **Public Health Scotland** confirmed once again, this time for

Richard Anthony, that they have no purified sample of the alleged "COVID=19 virus/variants" and know of no one in Scotland who does, and they equated isolation/purification with meaningless, unscientific monkey cell culturing. Pdf: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Scotland-PH-FOI-2021-000848-2.pdf>



Additional Information

It is not the remit of PHS to undertake viral culture. However information on the culture process of SARS-CoV-2 is available to Public Health Scotland. Note that this is published by labs who carry out the process. I include the following web links

- COVID-19 research repository
- fraudulent monkey cell cultures ↗ isolation/purification
- WHO global research on Coronaviruses

"If you do not have these do you know of any laboratories who do have them here in Scotland?"

Response

Public Health Scotland does not hold the information you requested. This is a formal notice that Section 17(1) - Information not held applies to your request, under the Freedom of Information Scotland Act (2002).

Additional Information

I can advise that that your request would be more appropriately addressed to University of Glasgow, you can contact them via foi@glas.ac.uk.

Scottish Information Commissioner's website:
For information I include a link to the Regulator's website.

If you are unhappy with our response to your request, you do have the right to request us to review it. Your request should be made within 40 working days of receipt of this correspondence, and we will reply within 20 working days of receipt. The review will be undertaken by a reviewer who was not involved in the original decision-making process. The reviewer can be contacted as follows:

The FOI Reviewer
Public Health Scotland
Gyle Street
1 South Gyle Crescent
Edinburgh
EH12 9EB
Email: foi@phs.scot

If our decision is unchanged following a review and you remain dissatisfied with this, you then have the right to make a formal complaint to the Scottish Information Commissioner within 6 months of receipt of our review response. You can do this by using the Scottish Information Commissioner's Office online appeals service at www.sicpublicknowledge.info/Appeal. If you remain dissatisfied with the Commissioner's response, you then have the option to appeal to the Court of Session on a point of law.

5 Cadogan Street, Glasgow G2 6QE

Edinburgh office: 1 South Gyle Crescent, Edinburgh EH12 9EB

February 15 and March 9, 2022:

Scotland: The **Scottish government's Directorate for COVID Public Health, COVID: COVID Ready Society** says that having a clear route for synthesising scientific evidence and presenting that to Government is important.

Nevertheless, Jason Carroll also confirmed that the Scottish government has no record of "SARS-CoV-2" being found in the bodily fluids of any sick human on Earth and purified... which would be necessary so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized, studied with controlled experiments and shown to exist.

In March 2022, a response to an appeal re the above yielded confirmation – no records.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Scottish-govt-w-appeal-2022-PACKAGE.pdf>

Response to your request

This is a formal notice under **Section 17(1)** of FOISA that the Scottish Government does not have the information you have requested.

Throughout the epidemic, having a clear route for synthesising scientific evidence and presenting that

Public Health Wales provided Dr. Janet Menage a sketchy excuse for not properly assisting with her request (Dr. Menage has submitted a complaint to the PHW 'Corporate Complaints' team); see PHW's response here:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Public-Health-Wales-453-Isolation-of-Sars-COV-2.pdf>



Freedom of Information request to Public Health Wales

FOI Reference:	FOI 453
Date request received	06 October 2020

Thank you for your recent request. Public Health Wales has not produced any of the above mentioned material. Any records that may be in possession of Public Health Wales would be material that is already in the public domain, which we would decline to supply under Section 21 of the Freedom of Information Act.

Under our duty to assist, we would ordinarily be willing to provide links or advise where you may be able to find such documentation if we held it within our systems, however the information above would prove to be too wide in terms of search parameters for us to identify any records with certainty that we hold.

Here is a 2nd & more recent dodgy response from **Public Health Wales** yielding no record, or citation of any record, of "SARS-COV-2" isolation/purification done by anyone, anywhere, ever. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/PHWales.pdf>

Below is a screenshot of a Freedom of Information response to Mr. James McCumiskey, author of The Latest Conspiracy: The Biomedical Paradigm, from the University College Dublin, explaining that **Ireland's National Virus Reference Laboratory has no records describing "how the Novel Coronavirus was purified"**.

Click the link for more details.



scientific paper, which demonstrates how the Novel Coronavirus was purified? Surely, if the NVRL is able to detect the Novel Coronavirus, it should also be able to demonstrate how it is purified?"

In the original decision, Ms Scanlan refused part 1 of your request on grounds that the University do not hold records to answer your request (Section 15 (1) (a)).

I have now conducted an internal review in accordance with Section 21 of the Act. I wish to inform you that I affirm the original decision.

The University's position is that matters of academic debate cannot be conducted under FOI and we would not regard academic research material as administrative records of an FOI body that would make them available for release under the legislation. The NVRL have advised that they do not culture live SARS-CoV-2 or purify SARS CoV 2 antigens. They detect SARS-CoV-2 RNA in diagnostic samples, as per the PCR assay that was shared with you previously. As such, there are no relevant records held and no further searches that may be taken for records that would provide an answer to your query. Section 15 (1) (a) of the FOI applies.

The University is committed to its obligations under the Act to provide requesters with access to records held by it and with reasons for its decisions that affect them. In this case, we regret that we cannot assist you further.

Under the Act, the University is required to advise you of your right, following receipt of your internal review decision, to make a further review application by writing to the Information Commissioner, 18 Lower Leeson Street, Dublin 2.

Yours sincerely,

Mr Julian Bostridge
Director of Legal Services

December 23, 2020:

Ireland's Health Service Executive (HSE) informed Mr. Robert Pye that they have no record describing isolation/purification of "SARS-COV-2" from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere (I believe this is the response reported on by Gemma O'Doherty last year – I finally obtained a copy):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Ireland-HSE-PACKAGE.pdf>

Following consultation with my colleagues, both from the scientific and medical areas of HPSC can confirm that we would hold no records in relation to your request. These are the reasonable steps I have taken to ascertain the whereabouts or existence of such records and unfortunately I must inform you that having undertaken these searches we were unable to locate the records in question. I am satisfied that all reasonable steps have been taken to locate the records you have requested and must refuse therefore your request under Section 15.1(a) of the FOI Act 2014.

September 15, 2022

Ireland's National Virus Reference Laboratory at University College Dublin

confessed, in 2 separate FOI responses, that they have no signed, documented and verified studies re the causative agent of covid, and no signed, verified validation studies for the procurement of PCR “testing” equipment:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/NVRL-UCDublin-causative-agent-and-PCR-validation-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



UCD Records Management and
Freedom of Information Unit

Aonad Bainistiochta Taifead &
Saoráil Faisnéise UCD

Roebuck Castle,
University College Dublin,
Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

Caisleán an Ruabhoic,
An Coláiste Ollscoile, Baile Átha Cliath,
Belfield, Baile Átha Cliath 4, Eire

Page 5 of 11

15 September 2022

Reference: FOI 12 1 988

Dear [REDACTED]

I refer to your request under the Freedom of Information Act 2014 for access to records held by the University. You requested the following:

"as the named laboratory for my daughter's PCR test, please provide to us the signed, documented and verified studies for the causative agent of Covid-19."

As no records exist, I therefore invoke Section 15(a) of the FOI Act which states:

15. (1) "A head to whom an FOI request is made may refuse to grant the request where the record concerned does not exist or cannot be found after all reasonable steps to ascertain its whereabouts have been taken"

The NVRL is a diagnostic laboratory that performs diagnostics investigations on patient samples using validated assays. The NVRL has advised our office that the following website - <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/> - is for the NIH's National Library of Medicine, where one could search for peer-reviewed articles on SARS-CoV-2 and Covid-19.

If you are dissatisfied with this reply to your FOI request, you may have it reviewed. You must make your application for internal review in writing to this office within four weeks of receiving this letter. The making of a late application for internal review may be permitted in appropriate circumstances.

Where you are dissatisfied with the outcome of the internal review, you can appeal in writing to the Information Commissioner, 18 Lower Leeson Street, Dublin 2. You have six months to make such a request, but in certain circumstances, late reviews may be permitted.

Yours sincerely,



PP. Dee Symott – Information Compliance Officer

Debbie Scanlan
Information Compliance Manager

Statens Serum Institut, Denmark told Alex Holmstedt that (translation): "*The Statens Serum Institut can state that we have now carried out a journal search for documentation that has convinced the Statens Serum Institut about the real existence of SARS-CoV-2, the alleged cause of COVID19 and moreover, we have in some other way tried to locate relevant documents. Statens Serum Institut can note that we are not in possession of the requested documents...*"

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Denmark-The-Statens-Serum-Institut-Alex_Holmstedt_w-English.pdf



Request for access to documents

Dear Alex Holmstedt

By email on 10 August 2020, you requested to documents as follows:

"In continuation of the reply of Statens Serum Institut of 8 July 2020 as to the request for access to bibliographies, to which the Administration has Informed that it "is not in possession" of.

Bibliography, ... showing articles on SARS-CoV-2 having been isolated and purified.

*"Purified" is to be understood according to the principles described here:
<https://www.news-medical.net/life-sciences/Virus-Purification-Methods.aspx>"*

In accordance with the Public Access to Information Act, full access to documents is sought as to the following:

Documentation that has convinced Statens Serum Institut about the real existence of SARS-CoV-2, the alleged cause of COVID-19 - since it must be assumed that indisputable evidence for the real existence of SARS-CoV-2 must lie behind the actions that have been imposed on the Danish society."

On 11 August 2020, Statens Serum Institut* acknowledged receipt of your request, stating that due to the special circumstances applied to Statens Serum Institut, we were unable to inform you as to when a final answer to your request would be made.

On 25 August 2020, Statens Serum Institut stated that we expected to be able to respond to your inquiry within 14 work days.

We regret to inform you that due to the special circumstances that apply to Statens Serum Institut, we were unable to answer your request until now.


Statens Serum Institut has now reviewed the case.

1. DECISION

Statens Serum Institut hereby states that we have now carried out a journal search for documentation that has convinced Statens Serum Institut about the real existence of SARS-CoV-2, the alleged cause of

* The Danish Central Health Laboratory

Related video: **Denmark health officials** became indignant and defensive during a press conference when asked by journalist Kristofer Krarup about the FOI responses from their own institutions indicating they have zero proof of "the virus":
<https://www.bitchute.com/video/AiwB5h4VCnTH/>



April 2020: In response to a query from Dr. Kevin Corbett, **Public Health England** admitted using fake virus material to evaluate "COVID-19" tests, that the gold standard is not isolated virus, and more: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/public-health-englands-answers-to-covid-19-testing-questions/>

Subject: OFFICIAL: RE: Data request
From: WNCov.virology <WNCov.virology@phe.gov.uk>
Date: 28-Apr-20, 17:45

CC: Maria Zambon <Maria.Zambon@phe.gov.uk>, WNCov.virology <WNCov.virology@phe.gov.uk>

OFFICIAL

Professor Zambon asked that we respond to your request for data, as below.

- i) RT-PCR tests –
 - the gold standard for PCR tests is not virus isolation
 - PCR tests are developed using synthetic transcripts; field use data are not widely available yet

No records re isolation of "SARS-CoV-2" from an unadulterated sample, **Public Health England** told Andrew Johnson, a Technology Tutor at a UK University:
<https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/679566/response/1625332/attach/html/2/872%20FOI%20All%20records%20describing%20isolation%20of%20SARS%20COV%202.pdf.html>. This is Andrew's write-up on his FOI request:
<https://cvpandemicinvestigation.com/2020/08/phe-has-no-real-evidence-that-sars2-cov2-causes-covid-19-chromosome-8-blood-plasma-treatment-and-more/>.

Months ago, the **StandUpX Science Committee** published an open letter dated June 22, 2020 to the British Prime Minister, Boris Johnson. Below is a screenshot from their letter, demanding scientific proof of the alleged "COVID-19 virus". (Their entire letter can be viewed and/or downloaded here:
<https://kevinctcorbett.com/onenewmedia/Signed%20StandUpX%20definitive.pdf>)

Therefore, we demand that by July 22nd 2020, Public Health England must:

1. Produce independently peer reviewed scientific evidence proving that the Covid-19 virus has been isolated and purified in the reference laboratories run by Public Health England under the leadership of Professor Zambon (Imperial

2. Produce independently peer reviewed scientific evidence proving that Covid-19 virus causes disease using all of the Koch Postulates.

If the United Kingdom Government's own health agency - Public Health England – CANNOT show independent and peer-reviewed proof that a virus exists which causes COVID-19 then the Government must DECLARE THERE IS NO SUCH VIRUS AND CEASE MEASURES AGAINST SOMETHING WHICH DOES NOT EXIST, including producing a vaccine and the Government's Track+Trace policy.

StandUpX Committee member Piers Corbyn also made the demand verbally outside the headquarters of the UK government; video footage of the demand is available at this url (**not** the embedded video below – that is a different video featuring Peirs Corbyn; WordPress would not embed the footage of the demand for some reason, so please click on this url to see the demand, not on the image below):

<https://youtu.be/4FpuzGBa36c>

Below is footage of Piers Corbyn calling out the UK government for the non-isolation of their theoretical "SARS-COV-2 virus".

<https://www.bitchute.com/embed/1eDDh3eqFPAJ/?feature=oembed#?secret=fSiAlVe09M>

ERRATUM: In the description underneath the video (on the bitchute page) the authors of the publication on the Drosten PCR test are referred to has 'Drosten et al' when it should read 'Cromen et al'.

StandUpX has a petition entitled "If there's no proof the virus exists end all Lockdowns/Masks/Trax/Vax actions". If you can tell the difference between isolation and fraudulent monkey business, please consider signing it, here:<https://www.gopetition.com/petitions/if-theres-no-proof-the-virus-exists-end-all-lockdownsmaskstraxvax-actions-2.html>

In April StandUpX committee member Dr. Kevin Corbett MSc PhD ([@KPCResearch](#) on Twitter) published a paper describing issues around the non-isolation of the theoretical SARS-COV-2 virus. Below is a screenshot from his paper entitled "WHERE IS THE EVIDENCE FOR THE EXISTENCE OF THE 'NOVEL CORONAVIRUS', 'SARS-CoV-2', AND THE ACCURACY OF THE TESTS?", which you may access here: <https://kevinpcorbett.com/onewebmedia/WHERE%20IS%20THE%20EVIDENCE%20FOR%20THE%20EXISTENCE%20OF%20THE%20CORONAVIRUS%20FINAL2.pdf>

Ogenstad et al (2020) are clearly admitting that no purified infectious 'novel Coronavirus' ('SARS-CoV-2') has ever been adequately demonstrated as coming from patients (e.g. see Huang et al 2020). The implication is that the 'novel Coronavirus' RNA/antibodies whose veracity are assumed by PHE/FDA may not actually prove to be 'viral' but could represent other phenomena. For example some scientists like Andrew Kaufman (Kaufman, 2020) suggest these may be 'exosomes', whilst others point to numerous confounding process artefacts (Schierwater et al 2009), or due to the laboratory 'quality processes' which appear remarkably open to errors and misinterpretation (Bustin and Nolan 2017). Until the proper research is suitably undertaken (and reproduced) regulators cannot scientifically claim that the tests are accurate.

Guess "**WHO**" advised Public Health England (and the rest of the world) not to isolate "the virus" as a routine diagnostic procedure, and "**WHO**" encourages the **conflation of isolation with culturing?** See the screenshots below from page 4 of the Interim Guidance document dated March 2, 2020 "*Laboratory testing for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in suspected human cases*" kindly provided by Dr. Corbett of StandUpX: <https://www.fluoridefreepel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/WHO-COVID-19-laboratory-2020.4-eng.pdf> and page 8 of the *Diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2 Interim guidance 11 September 2020* <https://canucklaw.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/WHO-2019-nCoV-laboratory-September-11-2020-Guidelines.pdf>

COVID-19 virus are encouraged to work with laboratories with more experience with this pathogen to have their initial test results confirmed and to improve their own performance.

Virus isolation is not recommended as a routine diagnostic procedure.

Viral isolation

Virus isolation is not recommended as a routine diagnostic procedure. All procedures involving viral isolation in cell culture require trained staff and BSL-3 facilities. A thorough risk assessment should be carried out when culturing specimens from potential SARS-CoV-2 patients for other respiratory viruses because SARS-CoV-2 has been shown to grow on a variety of cell lines [183].

Update, October 1, 2020: My colleague in New Zealand recently received a "no records" response from **Public Health England** – identical to the "no records" response above that was already provided to Andrew Johnson. You may access this 2nd response from PHE here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Public-Health-England-scrubbed.pdf>

Update November 1, 2020: Marc Horn also queried **Public Health England** for records describing "SARS-CoV-2 isolation" from a sample not unadulterated with additional genetic material. Response: no records.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/PHE-FOI-reply-re-SARS-CoV-2-isolation.pdf>

Another "no records" FOI response from **Public Health England** dated November 3, 2020, in response to a request from Athanasios Kandias for records (re SARS-CoV-2 isolation) held by the **National Biological Standards Board**.

https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/701311/response/1669071/attach/2/1740%20FOI%20NIBSC%20records%20on%20SARS%20COV%202.pdf?cookie_passthrough=1 (Preserved here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/PHE-1740-FOI-NIBSC-no-records-SARS-CoV-2-isolation.pdf>)

No records supporting the claim that the alleged "SARS-CoV-2 virus" causes "COVID-19" symptoms says **Public Health England**, in response to a query from Marc Horn. Note that PHE cited 3 publicly available studies, none involving isolation of "SARS-CoV-2" from a sample not unadulterated with additional genetic material.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/PHE-FOI-reply-re-SARS-CoV-2-isolation-and-causation-of-COVID-19.pdf>

September 7, 2021:

Public Health England failed once again to cite any record of "SARS-CoV-2"

purification:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/PHE-Sept-7-2021-PACKAGE.pdf>



PHE's microbiology teams use the term "isolation" to mean culture in the laboratory. It is used sometimes interchangeably to mean isolation from a patient or clinical material – but usually implies that the organism has been grown in culture. An organism is identified by looking for its unique genetic material in a clinical sample and further identification is refined and confirmed by whole genome sequencing.

The Virus Reference Laboratory at PHE, Colindale, London has grown the virus, SARS-CoV-2. The virus culture method has been published in the following peer-reviewed paper: <https://www.eurosurveillance.org/content/10.2807/1560->



September 20: **Public Health England** confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that it does not hold any information re purification of any "COVID-19 virus" or "variants".
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/PH-England-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



Public Health
England

Protecting and improving the nation's health

By email

Public Accountability Unit
Wellington House
133-155 Waterloo Road
London SE1 8UG

www.gov.uk/phe

Our ref: 18/09/21/ag/1345

20 September 2021

Dear Yvonne Hobbs,

Re: Covid-19

Thank you for your request received on 18 September 2021 addressed to Public Health England (PHE). In accordance with Section 1(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act), I can confirm that PHE does not hold the information you have specified.

Request

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultra-centrifugation and chromatography) of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-CoV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate proving a causal link between Sars-CoV-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.
Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" (separate the alleged "virus" from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or*

produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture

In accordance with Section 1(1)(a) of the FOI Act, PHE can confirm that it does not hold the information you have requested.

Viruses are not independent living entities. They require a host cell substrate to replicate.

September 28, 2021:

Public Health England confirmed that they still have no record of "SARS-CoV-2" (including "variants") purification, by anyone, anywhere:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Public-Health-England-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted-2021-09-28.pdf>

NHS Grampian confessed to Kevin McKenzie that they have no record of the imaginary **SARS-COV-2** being found in and purified from anywhere, anywhere, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/NHS-Grampian-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Kevin's bitchute channel is here:

<https://www.bitchute.com/channel/mckenzie123456789/>

(purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

I can now respond as follows:

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and /or reports from anywhere in the world describing the purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2" including any alleged "variants") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Clarification of Request

Please note I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead:

- * cultured something, and/or
- * performed an amplification test (i.e. PCR), and/or
- * fabricated a "genome" from millions of sequences (allegedly) detected in an impure substance, and/or
- * produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and am not requesting records that describe replication of a "virus" without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient information.

I simply require records that describe purification (separation of the alleged virus from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.
In relation to all of the above NHS Grampian can advise it does not hold any studies or reports into what is requested; s.17 of the Act refers – Information not held.

July 27, 2021: In England, the **Pennine Acute National Health Service Trust** and the **Salford Royal National Health Service Foundation Trust** disclosed in FOI responses:

- that they have in total zero records re "SARS-CoV2" satisfying Koch's Postulates (and yes, we know that a strict application of Koch's would not be possible, even if the imaginary "virus" actually existed; note that these institutions provided plenty of unrequested data but no records whatsoever re "virus" isolation/purification);
- PCR tests have been run with up to 42 cycles;
- <10 children died within 28 days of a positive convivid test;
- "cause of death is not recorded in our clinical systems". Full response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/NCA-FOI-11135-package.pdf>

Response – Salford Royal Foundation Trust

1. I would like to know the number of cycles you have been using on PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) test as standard and if that number has ever been changed at anytime for whatever reason.

The Trust uses the following Commercial CE IVD assays - Hologic Panther, Cepheid, BD Max, Abbott Alinity, Abbott M2000 and AusDiagnostics Hi-Plex. The number of thermal cycles across all the platforms in use varies slightly and the maximum is 42; the parameters for all these assays are available from the commercial suppliers. The Trust does not alter them for any reason.

2. I would also like to know how many children under the age of 16 have been logged as a death from SARS-CoV2 without any underlying health issues.

There were no children under the age of 16 who died within 28 days of a positive COVID-19 test result.

3. And can you tell me if you have any records of SARSCov2 going through Koch's Postulates.

The Trust has not recorded any cases going through Koch's Postulates.

No records supporting the claim that the alleged "SARS-COV-2 virus" causes "COVID-19" symptoms, says the **UK's House of Commons**, in response to a query from Marc Horn: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/UK-House-of-Commons-FOI-reply-re-COVID19-causation.pdf>

No records supporting the claim that the alleged "SARS-COV-2 virus" causes "COVID-19" symptoms, says the **UK's House of Lords**, in response to a query from Marc Horn: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/UK-House-of-Lords-FOI-reply-re-COVID19-causation.pdf>

Britain's Health and Safety Executive confirmed for Athanasios Kandias on November 3, 2020 that they hold no information relating to isolation of "SARS-COV-2".
https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/documents_held_showing_sars_cov2_3
(Preserved here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Health-and-Safety-Executive.pdf>).

Here is an exasperating email exchange between myself and **Imperial College London**, home of the disgraced "COVID-19" modeller Professor Neil Ferguson and Public Health England's Deputy Director of National Infection Service and Director of Reference Microbiology Services, British virologist, Professor **Maria Zambon** FMedSci FRCPPath. The College clearly has no records describing "SARS-COV-2" isolation/purification but is unwilling to admit such:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Imperial-College-London-FOI-exchange-SARS-COV-2-isolation-updated.pdf>

We explained in our response to you that we do not keep records of what material has been printed or downloaded by our staff and students. In order for us to deal with your request in its present form we would need to ask all staff and students at the College whether they had downloaded or printed information on the isolation of the virus and ask them to provide details. As the college has about 20,000 students and 8,000 members of staff that approach is not practical. The exemption at Section 12 of the Freedom of Information Act, which provides that organisations need not respond to Freedom of Information Act requests if it would take more than 18 hours to extract and compile the information requested, would apply.

Kepa Ormazabal submitted a Freedom of Information request to **Spain's Ministry of Health** for bibliographic records of studies describing "SARS-COV-2" isolation/purification ("*the term "isolation" is used in the sense given by the Real Academia Espanola Dictionary*"); the Ministry's response yielded no records:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Spain-Ministry-of-Health-isolation-request-reply-w-translation.pdf>

September 8, 2021: **Spain's Ministry of Health** provided an uber-evasive response to an organization called Liberum, re isolation/purification, characterization, culturing etc. of the alleged COVID-19 virus. Here are the actual questions posed by Liberum (provided by a member), with an (automated) English translation, and the responses also with an (automated) English translation. The Ministry's total evasion re purification, and their admission that they don't even bother with bogus monkey cell cultures, speaks volumes:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Spain-Ministry-of-Health-Liberum-request-PACKAGE.pdf>

On genomic sequencing of the virus you can consult the following link:

https://www.mscbs.gob.es/profesionales/saludPublica/ccayes/alertasActual/nCov/documentos/Integracion_de_la_secuenciacion_genomica-en_la_vigilancia_del_SARS-CoV-2.pdf

The Ministry of Health does not have a SARS-CoV-2 culture for testing, and it does not have a registry of laboratories with culture and isolation capacity for testing.

In relation to the SARS-COV-2 diagnostic tests, and in general, with issues related to the SARS-Cov-2 pandemic, the Ministry of Health works with the aforementioned documents, which are updated according to epidemiological need, to enable decision-making in relation to the management of the pandemic, and the dissemination of information to third parties that can use it in their specific environments. In this sense, the most conceptual and definitional issues remain more in academic and teaching environments, with the Ministry of Health playing a more secondary role and not acting on these issues in its power.

Finally, the evaluation of patients in relation to their state of health, be it COVID-19 or another disease or pathology, is the responsibility of the reference health professionals. The tests, by themselves, are not usually sufficient to determine disease, requiring an expert evaluation of the person who has been tested. Either way, the case definition can be found at the following link:

September 15, 2021: here is a report from Spain discussing an apparent class action lawsuit demanding proof of “the virus, in the form of a sample to be subjected to independent verification:

https://digitalwarriors.uk/great-news-out-of-spain/?fbclid=IwAR376xHErLyVCZRCruYC_60spufsbPnb0a-4GknQluYjE4cqZcwVhdeIz_o

The Director of the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Andrea Ammon, has admitted to having no documentation, even for the ECDC's methodology to prove that a virus exists, let alone proof of SARS-COV-2: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/DOCUMENT-REQUEST-ECDC-AND-RESPONSE.pdf>



Stockholm, 16 September 2020
Our ref.: DPR-2020-OUT-3176-KEEKh

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Re: Your application for access to documents – Ref 20-3696

We refer to your email dated 31 August 2020 in which you make a request for access to documents, registered on 1 September 2020 under the above mentioned reference number, and your follow up email on 2 September 2020 that has been handled under the same reference number as well.

We regret to inform you that no documents were found that would correspond to the description given in your application.

Indeed, as specified in Article 2(3) of Regulation 1049/2001, the right of access as defined in that Regulation applies only to existing documents in the possession of the institution.

According to the website of **Slovenia's University of Ljubljana**, their **Faculty of Medicine** has been involved in "...implementation of the latest molecular diagnostic procedures; an attempt to isolate the virus **in cell cultures** [oxymoron], which is a precondition for testing anti-viral agents and vaccines...". The Faculty formally admitted on November 30, 2020 to having no record (even obtained from others) of "SARS-COV-2" isolation or proving a causal link to "COVID-19"; also that 40 PCR cycles have been used across Slovenia since the beginning of testing. The Faculty's original response and an English translation are available here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/FOI-reply-Slovenia-University-of-Ljubljana-re-isolation.pdf>

domnevno nalezljivo boleznijo Covid-19. UL MF Inštitut za mikrobiologijo in imunoilogijo znanstvene študije, ki bi izpeljala dokaz o izolaciji virusa Sars-CoV-2 v skladu s Kochovimi postulati, ni izvajal. UL MF Inštitut za mikrobiologijo in imunoilogijo prav tako ni izvedel znanstvene študije, ki bi dokazovala vzročno zvezo med Sars-CoV-2 in domnevno nalezljivo boleznijo Covid-19. Organ tako z zahtevanim dokumentom ne razpolaga, zaradi česar je bilo potrebno glede 3. točke zahteve odločiti, kot izhaja iz izreka tega sklepa.

More recently, **Slovenia's Ministry of Health** stated that they had no records re "SARS-COV-2" purification, PCR tests, etc. and transferred an FOI request to the **Institute of Microbiology and Immunology (IMI) at the University of Ljubljana**. The IMI eventually admitted in February 2021 that they have no records re "virus" purification and that PCR tests have been conducted using up to 40 cycles. Both letters are here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Slovenian-Dept-Health-transfers-to-IMI-at-U-of-Ljubljana-no-isolation-40-PCR-CT.pdf>

And more recently still, **Slovenia's National Laboratory for Health, Environment & Food (Nacionalnega laboratorijsa za zdravje, okolje in hrano, NLZOH** – "the central and largest Slovenian public health laboratory that handles environmental protection, diagnostic and public health microbiological activities, chemical and microbiological analyses of different types of samples, and also performs research activities") failed to provide or cite any record of "SARS-COV-2" purification or proof of existence, despite an intervention by the Information Commissioner. NLZOH also cited a "business secret" in responses re queries re PCR testing.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Slovenia-Lab-package-w-translations.pdf>



July 9, 2021: **Slovenia's** main medical centre – **Univerzitetni klinični center Ljubljana, UKCLJ (University Medical Centre Ljubljana)** – provides/cites zero records of "SARS-COV-2" isolation/purification but nevertheless claims Koch's Postulates are fulfilled, and cites typical "monkey business" papers:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Slovenia-UKCLJ-request-response-redacted.pdf>

K vprašanju pod zaporedno številko 3 se posreduje povezavo:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7184405/pdf/ciaa325.pdf>

In pojasnilo; podajamo referenco, iz katere je razvidno, da SARS-CoV-2 izpolnjuje Kochove postulati. Vlagatelju ob tem pojasnjujemo, da je Koch s svojim sodelavcem Henlejem postulati objavil, precej preden so bili odkriti virusi. Kasneje so, predvsem v luči spoznanj virologije, Kochovi postulati bili prilagojeni novim odkritjem. Ne vemo sicer, kaj vlagatelj pojuje pod pojmom "paper", domnevamo, da gre za neposrečen prevod angleškega izraza "paper", ki v tem primeru pomeni članek.

December 22, 2021:

Argentina's National Administration of Laboratories and Health Institutes "Dr. Carlos Malbrán" is described as "a decentralized public body dependent on the Ministry of Health", and is a Collaborating Center on Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance with guess "WHO".

Monica Tous ("Virology Department Head") responded to Edgar Horacio Russo's request for records describing "SARS-COV-2" purification with a letter about PCR "tests", "sequencing" of RNA soups, oxymoronic "virus isolation" attempts in monkey cell cultures, and this gem: "The LNR does not handle the use of the electron microscope."

Upon appeal it was admitted "there are NO records of what was requested under the specified conditions since viral purification is not performed" (see p 16, 21):
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Argentina-Natl-Admin-of-Labs-and-Health-Institutes-PACKAGE-not-redacted.pdf>

2.pdf) a punto de confirmar el resultado deseable dependiendo del valor de CT, se lleva a cabo la extracción de deoxirribonucleicos y el RNA extruido es enviado a la Plataforma de Genómica donde se realiza el muestreo de secuenciación de genoma completo utilizando protocolos establecidos y comprobados. Los resultados obtenidos son analizados y están disponibles en la Plataforma de Genómica (https://www.mss.uts.edu.ar/PlataformaGenómica/). (https://www.mss.uts.edu.ar/PlataformaGenómica/). La técnica de secuenciación de genoma no se utiliza como método diagnóstico de rutina para la detección de SARS-CoV-2. El LIM no maneja el uso del microscopio electrónico.

Por lo que según el informe de la Plataforma de Genómica se lleva a cabo el lavado de cultivos de cultivos para su posterior cultivo, a partir de suero clínico. Para ello, los muestros son lavados previamente con fibres para proteger de 0,22 µm y se infunden en cultivos de cultivos celulares epiteliales del riñón de un mono verde africano (Vero) para la amplificación del virus. Los resultados de la secuenciación de genoma se obtienen a través de la amplificación de la secuencia de ADN de la Plataforma de Genómica. En virtud de lo anterior, el informe de la Plataforma de Genómica indica que el resultado de la prueba de ADN es negativo.

No obstante ello, el señor URSO consideró que la respuesta no se ajustaba a lo solicitado manifestando que "... (E) LIM afirma que no lleva a cabo técnicas que permitan fabricar genomas, pero eso es lo que hace, aunque es un culpable por no adherirse a las normas de la Plataforma de Genómica. La Plataforma de Genómica es la que hace la secuenciación de genoma creando arbitrariamente a partir de secuencias genómicas, donde un software decide arbitrariamente como y donde se ampliarán. El LIM afirma que 'realiza un muestreo de secuencias genómicas y a partir de ahí se obtiene el RNA extruido y se analiza mediante PCR'". En virtud de lo anterior, el informe de la Plataforma de Genómica indica que el resultado ante esta AAP es negativo en los términos del artículo 15 de la Ley N° 27.275, que da origen a las presentes actuaciones.

En cumplimiento de la Resolución AAP 4-6/2018, mediante NO-2021-119677532-APN-DRPM/AAIP se requirió al señor URSO que presentara los procedentes del caso y todo otra documentación y/o información que considerase relevante para la resolución del reclamo.

En respuesta a dicha requerencia, mediante NO-2021-12264216-APN-INEASANALIS el señor URSO amplió su respuesta en la que señaló "... NO se tienen registros de la actividad en las condiciones especificadas que no se realizó en el año 2020, ni se tienen datos que se ciñan en la actividad NO-2021-11755442-APN-INEASANALIS del 3 de diciembre del corriente año".

Atento a lo expuesto y que corresponde ajustarse a lo previsto en el Criterion N° 3 establecido por Resolución AAP N° 48 del 27 de julio de 2018, según el cual se procederá al archivo de todo reclamo cuando "... (E) El reclamo hubiese sido iniciado en la medida en que no se procediera a su respuesta o a su respuesta que no se ajustase a lo establecido en el artículo 15 de la Ley N° 27.275, que da origen a las presentes actuaciones".

Atento a lo expuesto y que corresponde ajustarse a lo previsto en el Criterion N° 3 establecido por Resolución AAP N° 48 del 27 de julio de 2018, según el cual se procederá al archivo de todo reclamo cuando "... (E) El reclamo hubiese sido iniciado en la medida en que no se procediera a su respuesta o a su respuesta que no se ajustase a lo establecido en el artículo 15 de la Ley N° 27.275, que da origen a las presentes actuaciones".

De no estar de acuerdo el reclamante mediante la información recibida podrá iniciar un nuevo reclamo por ser distintos los motivos que originaron el presente.

Atento a lo expuesto y a la ejecución de la gestión y el normal funcionamiento del organismo, de acuerdo a lo establecido en la Resolución AAP N° 30 del 14 de mayo de 2018, se le recomienda la atención del despacho y la resolución de los asuntos concernentes a la competencia del titular de la AGENCIA DE ACCESO A LA INFORMACIÓN PÚBLICA, a su señor Director Nacional de Protección de Datos Personales, Dr. Eduardo Hernán CIMATO, designado por la Plataforma de Genómica.

Notificarse al presente al reclamante conjuntamente con la NO-2021-12264216-APN-INEASANALIS, y al MINISTERIO DE SALUD a través de su Responsable de acceso a la información pública.

AUTO-TRANSLATION (Reviewed)

result". By virtue of this, on December 7, 2021, he presented before this AAP a claim under the terms of article 15 of Law No. 27.275, which gave rise to these proceedings.

In compliance with Resolution AAP 4-6/2018, through NO-2021-119677532-APN-DRPM/AAIP, the obliged subject was required to submit the background of the case and all other documentation and / or information that is considered relevant to the resolution of the claim.

In response to said request, through NO-2021-12264216-APN-INEASANALIS the obliged subject responded its answer in which it clarified "... there are NO records of what was requested under the specified conditions since viral purification is not performed. The methodologies used are those cited in note NO-2021-117553442-APN-INEASANALIS of December 3 of the current year".

In view of the foregoing, it is appropriate to comply with the provisions of Criterion No. 3 approved by AAP Resolution No. 48 of May 27, 2018, according to which all claims will be filed when "... (E)-The claim had been initiated due to incomplete or unsatisfactory response and the agency expands the information previously provided, or it duly substantiates the denial".

If the applicant does not agree with the information received, he may initiate a new claim because of being different the reasons that originated the present.

In the absence of the head of the AAP and in order to ensure the normal functioning of the agency, in accordance with the provisions of AAP Resolution No. 30 of May 14, 2018, the National Director of Personal Data Protection, Dr. Eduardo Hernán CIMATO, designee of the Plataforma de Genómica, has been entrusted

June 18, 2021: **Argentina's Ministry of Health** strongly suggested in an FOI response to Ana Maria Daverede (re the meaningless "COVID-19" PCR tests) that they too have no record of "the virus" having been purified. In point 4 they mention "**“by not having viral isolates available ...”** (Also in point 9: "*“does not serve to discriminate carriage, infection, disease, contagiousness, transmissibility ...”*)

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Argentina-no-aislamiento-del-sarscov.pdf>

VIDEO: Admiten que no existe el coronavirus: Develan la gran farsa

<https://canal7salta.com/2021/06/24/argentina-video-admiten-que-no-existe-el-coronavirus-develan-la-gran-farsa/>

Hall of Shame

On February 15, 2021 Kepa Ormazabal submitted an FOI request re isolation/purification of the phantom "virus" to the **Basque Country (Spain) Office of the President and Department of Health** and all dependent institutions. Months later Kepa wrote:

"According to the law, they have 30 days to respond; 60 if the question is especially complex. Today is May 2nd and I have not heard from them."


[Zuzenean] 2021/009739 - Buzón de Euskadi.eus - aislamiento sars-cov2
De: <Zuzenean-No-Reply@euskadi.eus>
Destinatario: REDACTED
Fecha: 15.02.2021 15:27

Datos de la solicitud
Datos personales: Kepa Ormazabal Sanchez
Correo electrónico: REDACTED
Número de expediente: 2021/009739
Tipo de solicitud: Información
Asunto: aislamiento sars-cov2
Texto:
Solicito se me proporcione toda la información que conste en Lehenbarratza, el Departamento de Salud y en cualquier organismo dependiente de ellos sobre registros bibliográficos científicos, en cualquier soporte, que describan el aislamiento del virus SARS-CoV2 directamente a partir de muestras tomadas de pacientes diagnosticados de covid-19. Mi solicitud no se refiere a registros bibliográficos científicos basados en muestras que hayan sido mezcladas con otras fuentes de material genético, como, por ejemplo, células de riñón de simio o células de hígado canceroso. Notése que se usa el término "aislamiento" en el sentido que le da el diccionario la Real Academia Española de "aislar algo solo y separado de otras cosas" o "separar un elemento o un cuerpo de una combinación o del medio en que se halla, generalmente para identificar o analizar". Por tanto, no estoy solicitando registros bibliográficos científicos sobre cultivos celulares, ni resultados de amplificación de material genético (ya sea por técnica PCR u otras) ni secuenciaciones de material genético.

No es necesario contestar a este mensaje. Atenderemos su petición en el menor plazo posible.
Mensaje enviado a kepa.ormazabal@bizkaia.eus. Si usted no ha recibido la consulta, por favor, [envíe la solicitud](#).
Atentamente
Zuzenean - Servicio de Atención ciudadana
Nota: para cualquier otra información puede contactar con [Zuzenean - Servicio de Atención ciudadana](#)

On March 30, 2021 Kepa Ormazabal submitted another FOI request re isolation/purification of the phantom “virus” to the **flagship of Spanish research, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas /Higher Council of Scientific Research** (the image below indicates the reception of the request by the institution). Months later Kepa writes: *“Again, they have not responded and, therefore, their silence is refusing access to the information they may hold in regards to my question.”*

“The CSIC and the Basque government are public institutions and, therefore, must comply with the Ley de transparencia, buen gobierno y acceso a la información pública/Law of transparency, good governance and access to public information. Article 20.4 of this law states that, if after 30 days there has been no response from the public administration, this silence is to be understood as meaning that the request to access the public information solicited has been refused.”

REGISTRO ELECTRONICO

Estimado/a Sr./Sra. KEPA MIRENA ORMAZABAL

Su solicitud de registro con número de registro REGAGE21e00003676931 en fecha 31/03/2021 12:37:05, va a ser tramitada por la oficina de registro Registro General del Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas.

Puede consultar el estado de su solicitud en Carpeta Ciudadana en <https://sede.administracion.gob.es/carpeta/recAfe/consulta.html>

La Carpeta Ciudadana es un portal web que le permite, de una forma sencilla y sin tener que registrarse, conocer los asuntos que sean de su interés en relación con las Administraciones Públicas, por ejemplo el estado de sus expedientes, las notificaciones y comunicaciones que reciba o las solicitudes presentadas a través del Registro electrónico. Puede acceder a Carpeta ciudadana en la dirección <https://sede.administracion.gob.es/carpeta>.

Links:

[1] <https://sede.administracion.gob.es/carpeta/recAfe/consulta.html>

Continue Reading:

Original Article:<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

READ NEXT



The Simple Truth Is That There Was No Pandemic • Covid-19 Was The Flu Rebranded

Dr Vernon Coleman - MB ChB DSc The story that the coronavirus was made in a laboratory in China (funded by America) is back in the news. It's ...

BY DYLAN ELEVEN · MAR 11, 2023



Degrade The Graphene Oxide In The Body + Controlling EMFs In The Environment To Minimize Graphene Oxide Activation

The Exposé Graphene oxide, a substance that is poisonous to humans, has been found in the Covid 19 “vaccines”, in the water supply, in the air we...

BY DYLAN ELEVEN · MAR 10, 2023



Support Truth11.com

Dylan Eleven • Truth11.com True journalism reports the truth. Today the only way to report the truth is to work independently from mainstream...

BY DYLAN ELEVEN · MAR 8, 2023

Subscribe to Truth11.com

Receive Articles By Email

 [Subscribe now](#)

[Support Truth11.com](#) • [Make A Donation](#) • [Become A Subscriber](#)

Armed With The Truth • United We Stand

